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New York State Board of Regents
Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color

Background
Over the past several years, diverse groups representing foundations, think tanks, and national/local leaders from a variety of arenas have emphasized an aggressive agenda dedicated to improving college and career readiness rates of our nation’s students. However, the goals articulated by these and other stakeholders are unlikely to be achieved in the absence of a greater policy emphasis on supporting all students especially students who are victims of the access and opportunity gap. These disparities are most apparent for boys and young men of color.

Throughout the educational pipeline, both nationally and locally, too many males of color do not realize their full potential in our nation’s schools and school systems. Numerous reports and studies have indicated that too often our schools have not served these students well. In many cases, in fact, we have simply failed them.¹

Boys of color graduate at lower rates, drop out at higher rates, participate less in Advanced Placement courses and preparatory tests, such as the PSAT, and are suspended from school at dramatically higher rates than their white counterparts.² As a nation and as a state, we cannot continue to ignore the consistent challenges and pitfalls that males of color experience throughout their schooling.

Purpose
Providing boys and young men of color with viable educational advancement opportunities is a matter of both social justice and economic importance. For many young men of color, earning a college degree or specialized postsecondary training can change the course of their lives and the lives of generations that follow. The New York State Board of Regents Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color is charged to develop and propose a series of policy recommendations that reflect informed judgment, innovative “best “practices and collaborative efforts that must be taken across the Pre K- 20 pipeline to right the inequities that have hampered the educational opportunities, and ultimately life opportunities for boys and young men of color in New York State.

¹ Call for Change: A Preliminary Blueprint to Improve Educational Excellence and Opportunity for African American Males in Urban Public Schools, August 27, 2012;
Call for Change Providing Solutions for Black Male Achievement, December, 2012; and
Saving black and Latino boys: What schools can do to make a difference, February 2012.

Structural Considerations

A review of relevant research reveals the following themes that are associated with changing outcomes for males of color:

1. Ensuring equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K-12 & Postsecondary education;
2. Executing differentiated approaches based on need and culture, that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate;
3. Providing access to comprehensive and coordinated support services;
4. Establishing prevention, early warning, and intervention services;
5. Engaging families & community;
6. Improving professional capacity (rethinking teacher/administrator preparation);
7. Developing a unified vision: ensuring that leaders at various levels, particularly elected officials, are armed with the information necessary to make better and informed decisions;
8. Responding to structural and institutional racism;
9. Monitoring strategies to ensure that students are on track; and
10. Specifying the availability of second chance opportunities.

It is suggested that the above themes guide the Workgroup’s deliberations.
Potential Blue Ribbon Committee Members:

1. David Banks, President of Eagle Academy Foundation, Founding Principal of Eagle Academy HS
2. Mary Bassett, M.D., NYC Commissioner of Health
3. Joe Brewster, M.D., and Michèle Stephenson, authors of Promises Kept: Raising Black Boys to Be Successful in School and Life
4. Hector Calderon, Director of Organizational Learning for the Expanded Success Initiative at the NYC Department of Education
5. Suzanne Carothers, Professor in The Steinhardt School of Education, Department of Teaching and Learning at New York University
6. Lisa Delpit, Eminent Scholar and Executive Director of the Center for Urban Educational Excellence at Florida International University in Miami and Felton G. Clark’s first Distinguished Professor at Southern University in Baton Rouge, Louisiana
7. Shawn Dove, Chief Executive Officer, Campaign for Black Male Achievement
8. Eduardo Fergus, Assistant Professor NYU
9. Ron Ferguson, Professor of Economics, Kennedy School at Harvard University; Director Harvard University’s Achievement Gap Initiative
10. W. Cyrus Garrett, Director of NYC Young Men’s Initiative, (YMI)
11. Shaun Harper, Executive Director, Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education, Higher Education Division, Graduate School of Education, University Of Pennsylvania.
12. Jacqueline Jordan Irvine, Charles Howard Candler Professor of Urban Education in the Division of Educational Studies at Emory University
13. Gloria Ladson-Billings, Professor at University of Wisconsin-Madison
14. Michael T. Nettles, Senior Vice President of ETS’s Policy Evaluation & Research Center and ETS’s Edmund W. Gordon Chair for Policy Evaluation & Research.
15. Sonia Nieto, Professor Emerita of Language, Literacy and Culture in the School of Education, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
16. Pedro Noguera, Peter L. Agnew Professor of Education at New York University
17. Charles Payne, Sally Dalton Robinson Professor of History, African American Studies and Sociology Director of African and African American Studies Duke University
18. Luis O. Reyes, Research Associate at the Center for Puerto Rican Studies, Hunter College, CUNY
19. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz, Associate Professor, Teachers College.
20. Warren Simmons, Executive Director, Annenberg Institute of School Reform
21. Claude Steele Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost at the University of California, Berkeley
22. Albert Tatum, Assistant Professor, Literacy Education, Northern Illinois University. Dr. Tatum's research foci are adolescent literacy, teacher professional development, and the literacy development of African American males.
23. Ivory Toldson, an author, a Howard University counseling psychology professor and senior researcher for the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation
24. Ronald Walters, Founder & CEO of Coalition of Schools Educating Boys of Color, COSEBOC
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SUMMARY

Issue for Discussion

The Board will be presented with an overview of the “My Brother’s Keeper” initiative for discussion and determination of next steps.

Reason(s) for Consideration

Implementation of Policy.

Background Information

“That’s what ‘My Brother’s Keeper’ is all about. Helping more of our young people stay on track. Providing the support they need to think more broadly about their future. Building on what works – when it works, in those critical life-changing moments.”

- President Barack Obama, February 27, 2014

In February 2014, as part of his plan to make 2014 a year of action focused on expanding opportunity for all Americans, the President unveiled the “My Brother’s Keeper” (MBK) initiative to address persistent opportunity gaps faced by young men of color and ensure that all young people can reach their full potential. As part of the initiative’s launch, the President also established the My Brother’s Keeper Task Force to review public and private sector programs, policies, and strategies and determine ways the federal government can better support these efforts, and how to better involve State and local officials, the private sector, and the philanthropic community.

There are over two million Black males in the U.S. with a college degree, many of whom have made significant contributions in business, science, education and the arts
(Schott Report, 2015). Yet in the face of these contributions, there still remain systemic challenges that create outcomes far below those we should desire for any person.

Today, too many Black and Latino males do not reach their full potential in our schools. A number of reports and studies, including the Council of the Great City Schools’ report—A Call for Change: The Social and Educational Factors Contributing to the Outcomes of Black Males in Urban Schools—indicate that too often our schools have not served these students well. There is now broad consensus that the nation’s public schools need to vastly improve the quality of education these students need in order to succeed in college and careers.

Black and Latino students have and continue to experience a pattern of inequality in our nation's schools. According to data from the Department of Education’s Office of Civil Rights, Black and Latino students are suspended and expelled at much higher rates than White students and attend schools with less-experienced teachers. Many also attend schools that do not offer advanced math and science courses.

Young males in particular are at a disadvantage. Black and Latino males are less likely to graduate from high school than White males, but also less likely than Black or Latino females. And in elementary school, they already fall far behind their White counterparts in reading skills: According to the National Assessment of Educational Progress, only 14 percent of Black males and 18 percent of Latino males scored proficient or above on the fourth-grade reading tests in 2013, compared with 42 percent of White males and 21 percent of both Black and Latino females.

In September 2014, President Obama issued a challenge to cities, towns, counties and tribes across the country to become “MBK Communities.” This challenge represents a call to action for all members of our communities, and mayors in particular, as they often sit at the intersection of many of the vital forces and structural components needed to enact sustainable change through policy, programs, and partnerships.

The MBK Community Challenge encourages communities (cities, rural municipalities, and tribal nations) to implement a coherent cradle-to-college-and-career strategy for improving the life outcomes of all young people to ensure that they can reach their full potential, regardless of who they are, where they come from, or the circumstances into which they are born.

My Brother's Keeper is focused on six milestones:

- **Getting a Healthy Start and Entering School Ready to Learn**
  All children should have a healthy start and enter school ready – cognitively, physically, socially and emotionally.

- **Reading at Grade Level by Third Grade**
  All children should be reading at grade level by age 8 – the age at which reading to learn becomes essential.

- **Graduating from High School Ready for College and Career**
  Every American child should have the option to attend postsecondary
education and receive the education and training needed for quality jobs of today and tomorrow.

- **Completing postsecondary education or training**
  Tuition dollars spent on postsecondary education must result in successful program completion and the creation of life-long opportunity.

- **Successfully Entering the Workforce**
  Anyone who wants a job should be able to get a job that allows them to support themselves and their families.

- **Keeping Kids on Track and Giving Them Second Chances**
  All children should be safe from violent crime; and individuals who are confined should receive the education, training and treatment they need for a second chance.

The My Brother’s Keeper initiative will also address the needs of Asian-American and Native American males.

These milestones are consistent with the priorities of the New York State education reform agenda.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the Department establish a workgroup to articulate the alignment between MBK and the New York State reform agenda and report back to the Board this summer with recommendations.
Attachment

Currently, 60 of the nation’s largest school districts have joined the MBK initiative to improve the educational futures of young Black and Latino boys, beginning in preschool and extending through high school graduation.

The school districts, which represent about 40 percent of all Black and Latino boys living below the poverty line, have committed to expand quality preschool access; track data on Black and Latino boys so educators can intervene as soon as signs of struggle emerge; increase the number of boys of color who take gifted, honors or Advanced Placement courses and exams; work to reduce the number of minority boys who are suspended or expelled; and increase graduation rates among Black and Latino boys.

Council of Great City School District – Member Districts

- Albuquerque Public Schools
- Anchorage School District
- Atlanta Public Schools
- Austin Independent School District
- Baltimore City Public Schools
- Birmingham City Schools
- Boston Public Schools
- Bridgeport Public Schools
- Broward County Public Schools
- Buffalo Public Schools
- Charleston County School District
- Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools
- Chicago Public Schools
- Cincinnati Public Schools
- Clark County School District
- Cleveland Metropolitan School District
- Columbus City Schools
- Dallas Independent School District
- Dayton Public Schools
- Denver Public Schools
- Des Moines Public Schools
- Jefferson County Public Schools
- Kansas City Public Schools
- Long Beach Unified School District
- Los Angeles Unified School District
- Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
- Miami-Dade County Public Schools
- Milwaukee Public Schools
- Minneapolis Public Schools
- New Orleans Public Schools
- New York City Department of Education
- Newark Public Schools
- Norfolk Public Schools
- Oakland Unified School District
- Oklahoma City Public Schools
- Omaha Public Schools
- Orange County Public Schools
- The School District of Palm Beach County
- The School District of
• Detroit Public Schools
• District of Columbia Public Schools
• Duval County Public Schools
• East Baton Rouge Parish School System
• El Paso Independent School District
• Fort Worth Independent School District
• Fresno Unified School District
• Guilford County Schools
• Hawaii State Department of Education
• Hillsborough County School District
• Houston Independent School District
• Indianapolis Public Schools
• Jackson Public Schools

• Philadelphia
• Pittsburgh Public Schools
• Portland Public Schools
• Providence Public School District
• Richmond Public Schools
• Rochester City School District
• Sacramento City Unified School District
• San Diego Unified School District
• San Francisco Unified School District
• Santa Ana Unified School District
• Seattle Public Schools
• Shelby County Schools (formerly Memphis City Schools)
• St. Louis Public Schools
• St. Paul Public Schools
• Toledo Public Schools
• Wichita Public Schools
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Over the past several years, a wide variety of groups—from foundations to think tanks to national leaders—have collectively advanced an aggressive agenda focused on improving college completion and increasing postsecondary degree attainment rates among Americans. Yet goals articulated by these and other stakeholders are unlikely to be realized in the absence of a greater policy emphasis on supporting students from populations with the highest college dropout rates.

One widely recognized example is Black men: Two-thirds of Black undergraduate men who start at public colleges and universities do not graduate within six years, which is the lowest college completion rate among both sexes and all racial groups in U.S. higher education. Researchers in the past decade have called attention to these high attrition rates and other troubling trends concerning Black male collegians. In an effort to combat these trends, educators and administrators at all levels have employed numerous strategies to improve Black men’s pathways to and through postsecondary education. Several philanthropic organizations have generously funded some of these efforts; in addition, the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation, the United Negro College Fund, the College Board, and numerous other groups have sponsored convenings in an effort to elevate the conversation on issues facing this population. But missing from this multidimensional landscape of important initiatives is a complementary policy agenda that aims to improve Black men’s college readiness, postsecondary educational outcomes, and degree attainment rates.

Given the systemic nature of racial achievement and opportunity gaps in education and their disproportionate impact on Black men, postsecondary institutions alone cannot close them. Participation from multiple stakeholder groups is necessary. This report calls for greater involvement by federal and state policymakers, high school counselors, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA, the policy-making organization for intercollegiate athletics), community–based organizations, and other groups in ongoing efforts to improve the status of Black undergraduate men. In support of this goal, this report presents policy–relevant data from the U.S. Department of Education, U.S. Department of Justice, NCAA Federal Graduation Rates Database, and other sources to paint a statistical portrait of Black male students in postsecondary contexts. Also included is a summary of selected efforts on college campuses across the country that illustrate some of the promising practices that can make a difference for Black men. Nonetheless, it is clear that well–intentioned institutional activities on their own will not substantively improve the condition of Black male collegians. Thus, the report concludes with suggestions for policymakers and other stakeholders. The current imperative to increase our nation’s competitiveness in the global economy is linked to the attention and resources that policymakers devote to citizens for whom college completion rates are persistently lowest, thus Black men must be a high priority.
INTRODUCTION

A recent book, *College Men and Masculinities: Theory, Research and Implications for Practice*, points out many alarming trends and statistics about undergraduate men in a range of post-secondary institutional contexts.\(^1\) As the authors note, it is common to see news headlines such as “The Boy Crisis: At Every Level of Education, They’re Falling Behind” (*Newsweek*, January 2006) and “The New Gender Divide: At Colleges, Women Are Leaving Men in the Dust” (*New York Times*, July 2006).

Across all levels of education, young men’s comparatively lower levels of educational achievement and attainment, as well as problematic behavioral trends (e.g., sexual assault, binge drinking, property destruction, suicides, campus shootings), have garnered attention from journalists, educators, school administrators, parents, and others. Conversations have included male undergraduates from a range of racial backgrounds. However, disproportionate emphasis has been placed on Black undergraduate men, a population that is repeatedly characterized as one of the most underrepresented, stereotyped, disengaged, and lowest performing students on college and university campuses.\(^2\)

In the past decade, several books and research reports, as well as more than 60 peer-reviewed academic journal articles, have been written about Black male colleagues.\(^3\) The challenges these young men face on college campuses have been a recurring topic of discussion at annual education conferences and meetings sponsored by philanthropic foundations. Furthermore, they have been subjects of featured stories on CNN as well as *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, *Inside Higher Ed*, and other news sources. Despite the attention that has been devoted to their current condition in U.S. higher education, only recently have Black men emerged as a serious focus among federal and state policymakers. This report argues that they should be a center of attention.

The purpose of this report is threefold:

1. To provide a summary of policy–relevant trends and issues concerning Black male college students;
2. To offer a snapshot of current initiatives that aim to address the problematic condition of college success for Black undergraduate men; and
3. To propose a role for policymakers at all levels—institutional, federal, and state—as well as other relevant groups such as the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA), and influential organizations such as foundations, community–based organizations, and higher education associations in improving Black men’s educational outcomes and postsecondary degree attainment rates.

Two key positions undergird this report. First, aggressive and intentional efforts to improve college access, success, and degree attainment among Black men contribute to the economic viability of our nation. Increasing the number of Black male students who complete postsecondary education is necessary to realize the goal of reestablishing the United States as the leader in the number of college–educated adults. Second, social programs and compensatory initiatives currently offered by U.S. colleges and universities are insufficient to improve postsecondary degree attainment and educational outcomes among Black men. A complementary policy response is urgently needed.

Unfortunately, policymakers have not been at the forefront of conversations and important efforts focused on improving Black men’s college outcomes and increasing their postsecondary degree attainment rates. Given the pervasiveness of this problem, more advocacy and action among policymakers and other governing bodies are crucial steps in moving forward the completion agenda.
Much has been written about Black males in P–12 schools; their educational histories and challenges have been a topic of discussion for more than a decade. Many Black undergraduate men bring with them to college educational histories that are often blighted by low expectations for their success in school and society, insufficient exposure to Black male teachers, and culturally unresponsive curricula and teaching methods.

Their same–race male peers are also overrepresented among students who are unfairly disciplined and expelled from school, heavily concentrated in the lowest academic tracks, and misdiagnosed for placement into special education programs. High school is a critical pathway to postsecondary education, yet Black male students have the lowest high school graduation rates in most states. In 2008, 47 percent of Black male students graduated from high school on time with their entering cohorts, compared with 78 percent of their White male peers. If Black males continue to face these and other challenges in their P–12 schooling contexts, fewer of them will be likely to enroll in college. Nonetheless, this report focuses squarely on Black undergraduate men in postsecondary education.

**National, state, and specific athletic conference data highlight the troubled status of Black male students on college and university campuses. These trends do not necessarily represent the worst–case scenarios, but they do convey the urgency of the problem:**

- **Low Postsecondary Enrollments:** The persistent underrepresentation of Black men is a problem in postsecondary education. Like men from other racial groups, Black male students' college enrollments increased between 1980 and 2010 (see **FIGURE 1**). Despite incremental gains over this 30–year period, the proportion of men of color to White male students across all degree levels remains disparate.

![FIGURE 1](image-url)
TABLE 1
Average Six–Year Graduation Rates at Public Universities in Michigan, Cohort Years 2001–04

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Black Men %</th>
<th>Students Overall %</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Michigan University</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>−13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Michigan University</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>−17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferris State University</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>−22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Valley State University</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>−10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Superior State University</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State University</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>−22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Technological University</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>−12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan University</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>−21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland University</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>−22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saginaw Valley State University</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>−23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Michigan–Ann Arbor</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>−21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Michigan–Dearborn</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>−21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Michigan–Flint</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>−11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne State University</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>−27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan University</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>−18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


• Low College Completion Rates: In four cohorts of Black male undergraduates at public four–year colleges and universities, 33 percent earned bachelor’s degrees within six years at the institutions where they started, compared with 48 percent of students overall. For example, TABLE 1 includes data for public universities in Michigan, where Black men completed baccalaureate degree programs, on average, at a rate nearly 17 percentage points lower than students overall.\(^8\) Completion gaps between Black men and the overall student population are similar at public institutions across the United States, and are of increasing concern for institutions interested in pursuing a diverse study body.

• Overrepresentation in Revenue–Generating College Sports: In 2009, Black men accounted for less than 4 percent of full–time undergraduates at public colleges and universities,\(^9\) but were 55 percent of football and basketball team members at public Division I institutions, the NCAA’s highest and most financially profitable competition level.\(^10\) TABLE 2 illustrates Black men’s overrepresentation in revenue–generating sports in the Southeastern Conference. These and similar disparities raise questions and concerns about the extent to which Black men are exploited for athletic purposes, the millions of dollars that are generated by the NCAA and its member institutions, and how those dollars are put to use.


\(^9\) Federal and other analyses frequently use six–year graduation rates; some students may graduate after this period.

FIGURE 2
Sex Differences in Postsecondary Degree Attainment, All Levels, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Percentage of Undergraduates</th>
<th>Percentage of Football/Basketball Teams</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: U.S. Department of Education Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System and NCAA Federal Graduation Rates Database.

• Inequities in Student-Athlete Graduation Rates: Across four graduating cohorts (2002–05), 46 percent of Black male student-athletes earned bachelor’s degrees from public Division I institutions within six years, compared with 59 percent of student-athletes overall. TABLE 3 highlights gaps in six-year graduation rates across four cohorts of student-athletes at colleges and universities in the Big Ten athletic conference. These differences suggest that more resources are needed to support Black men who participate in college sports.

TABLE 2
Black Men’s Overrepresentation in Revenue-Generating Sports, Southeastern Conference 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Percentage of Undergraduates</th>
<th>Percentage of Football/Basketball Teams</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Alabama</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>–64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn University</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>–64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arkansas</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>–58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Florida</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>–60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Georgia</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>–70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Kentucky</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>–64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana State University</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>–65.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi State University</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
<td>–72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Mississippi</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>–67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of South Carolina</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>–61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Tennessee</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>–59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderbilt University</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>–36.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inequities in NCAA Division I Revenue-Generating College Sports, forthcoming.
These trends are particularly policy-relevant, as they pertain to college opportunity, degree attainment, and racial inequities. In addition to these trends, scholars have also called attention to Black undergraduate men’s underpreparedness for college-level work; comparatively lower rates of engagement inside and outside the classroom; troubled masculinities and gender identity conflicts; professors’ low expectations of them in college classrooms; frequent encounters with racism and racial stereotyping; how they are negatively affected by the conservative ethos of Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs); and their insufficient sense of belonging on predominantly White campuses.

In response to these problems, campus leaders and others have made a multitude of efforts to improve the status of Black male students in higher education. The next section of this report presents examples of these efforts. The range of recent institutional activities can be easily characterized as expansive. However, the lessons of these efforts do not necessarily reach a broader audience, including policymakers. Even though institutional efforts in and of themselves have been insufficient to eradicate participation and achievement gaps among Black men in postsecondary education, it is instructive to look at how some institutions are facing these issues and what promising practices might be applicable in a broader context.
WHAT INSTITUTIONS ARE DOING TO IMPROVE BLACK MALE STUDENT SUCCESS

This section presents clusters of initiatives on campuses across the country and in two public university systems. The aim is not to highlight them as exemplars or “best practices,” but to showcase myriad ways that educators, administrators, and others are working to improve Black male student success and degree attainment rates. These initiatives are among the most widely known and well established. In addition, they are representative of the scope of efforts that have been enacted nationally, ranging from single-institution initiatives to those that span multiple postsecondary education systems, and from daylong summits to multiyear programs. Key components and common elements of these efforts are described below.

Student Organizations
At the individual level, student organizations are common on many campuses and can be an affirming, supportive way to help Black men navigate the process of earning a degree and provide them with a ready-made peer group. For example, Harvard Black Men’s Forum is a campus organization founded and led by students. Its purpose is to provide a venue for conversations on topics of cultural significance, including race, gender, and academic experiences at Harvard, as well as world politics. The organization also participates in campus activities and community service projects. Student-led Black men’s groups are also hosted at the University of Pennsylvania, the University of Michigan, Arizona State University, Cornell University, and elsewhere. The University of Southern California, Dillard University, Rutgers University, and several other institutions have collegiate chapters of the 100 Black Men of America. Students in groups such as these often advise each other on navigating the campus, responding productively to racism and racial stereotypes, and utilizing important institutional resources.19

Founded in 1990 on the campus of Georgia Southwestern State University, the Student African American Brotherhood (SAAB) has expanded to 25 community colleges and 205 four-year institutions (as well as 26 middle schools and high schools) across the nation. Although SAAB chapters vary by campus, each is united by the organization’s core principles of accountability, proactive leadership, self-discipline, and intellectual development. The SAAB model also emphasizes academic success, unity, and a collective commitment to community service. The organization has a national headquarters that offers support and guidance to chapters and individual members. SAAB also hosts an annual national conference.

Bringing Together Stakeholders
Some institutions have convened internal and external stakeholders to consider the theme of Black male success. For example, the University of Akron annually hosts a Black Male Summit that brings together educators, administrators, students, and community members from across Ohio and neighboring states. The Summit includes high-profile keynote speakers and workshops with customized tracks, one for students and another for professionals and citizens who are concerned about student success. The University of Florida, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, the University of Illinois at Chicago, Princeton University, and University of California Irvine have hosted similar one- to two-day events in the past four years.

Campus Initiatives

Many campuses have also convened stakeholders as part of a broader initiative that includes longer–term activities. Multidimensional initiatives to support Black male students have been implemented at Philander Smith College, the University of California, Los Angeles, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis, Morehouse College, St. Louis Community College, Gallaudet University, and the University of Maryland–College Park. Activities on these campuses range from social programming to mentoring and academic support. These initiatives include a mix of programs targeted at Black male students throughout the school year. Two goals that resonate across these programs are to provide social support to make campuses more welcoming and affirming for Black men, and to share knowledge and resources needed to navigate college campuses and access campus supports.

These types of initiatives can also go beyond current undergraduate enrollment to prepare Black men for graduate school or beyond. For example, in 2009 the Graduate School of Education at the University of Pennsylvania introduced an initiative to nurture and support Black undergraduate men's educational aspirations beyond the baccalaureate. The Grad Prep Academy annually invites applications from college juniors across the country who articulate career and intellectual interests that are related to the field of education. Ten Academy Scholars are selected each year. Their experience includes a four–day visit to the University of Pennsylvania campus, as well as a free three–month Kaplan course to prepare for the Graduate Record Exam. Additionally, each Academy participant is paired with a doctoral student in education who mentors him through the graduate school application process, offers feedback on essays and other application materials, and advises his selection of doctoral programs.

Centers and Institutes

Some institutions have decided to integrate their efforts within a formal structure on campus. For example, the Todd A. Bell National Resource Center on the African American Male (Bell Center) at the Ohio State University and the Center for Male Engagement (CME) at the Community College of Philadelphia are offices staffed by full–time professionals. Their efforts range from outreach to Black male high school students to analyses and reporting on institutional data on trends in Black male student outcomes. Situated within a major research university, the Bell Center also conducts studies to inform social and public policy on the educational and life outcomes of Black men. Given its location in an urban community college, CME offers a summer program that helps prepare Black male Philadelphia residents for college.

Another example is the UCLA Black Male Institute (BMI), which is directed by a tenured professor who brings together more than 20 undergraduates and graduate students to conduct practical, useful research and interventions that aim to improve the educational and social status of Black male students across all levels of education. BMI also engages educational leaders, community members, and policymakers, as well as expert scholars at and beyond UCLA. More than 500 middle and high school students have come to UCLA to participate in BMI workshops on preparing for college, and hundreds of educators and community members have attended BMI’s annual Black Male Think Tank conference.

Credit–Bearing Courses

Another way of formalizing a focus on Black men is to create credit–bearing courses with culturally sensitive curricula targeted toward helping Black men adjust to campus life and learning. For example, Wake Forest University, the University of Southern California, UCLA, and the University of Pennsylvania offer courses designed to facilitate learning, critical reflection, and dialogue about the status and experiences of Black undergraduate men. These courses, which are taught by faculty members and campus administrators, involve guest lectures, readings, and assignments (e.g., papers, presentations, journaling). A key activity of these courses is acquainting Black male students with institutional resources that help ensure successful transitions, engagement, academic success, and persistence toward degree attainment.

State/System–Level Initiatives

Given the wide range of initiatives on individual campuses, it is often crucial to bring these efforts to the state or system level in order to be most effective and reach as many Black men as possible. The University System of Georgia (USG) African-American Male Initiative is a coordinated systemwide effort that aims to improve Black male student enrollments, persistence, and degree attainment rates in the state's public community colleges and four–year institutions. A variety of campus–based programming, services, and outreach efforts that target Black male students at critical junctures along their educational pathways have been implemented at 25 USG institutions over the past decade. The City University of New York's (CUNY) Black Male Initiative is the coordinating body for the system's efforts to improve the representa-
tion and success of Black men in postsecondary education. Faculty and administrators across CUNY campuses have implemented and currently oversee student development, academic enrichment, and mentoring programs. In addition to supporting and coordinating campus-based efforts, the initiative annually hosts a distinguished speaker series and conferences that bring together students, educators, and national experts.

Consortia and Collaboratives
Another type of collaboration can happen across institutions or systems, sometimes in different states. Two of these initiatives unite multiple institutions to share effective educational practices, solutions for barriers to institutional change, and professional expertise. Both are governed by eight standards that Harper and Kuykendall developed to enhance the effectiveness of Black male campus initiatives (see Figure 3).

**FIGURE 3**
Eight Standards for Black Male Campus Initiatives

| 1 | Inequities are transparent and data are used to guide institutional activities. |
| 2 | Black undergraduate men are meaningfully engaged as collaborators and viewed as experts in designing, implementing, and assessing campus initiatives. |
| 3 | Actions are guided by a written strategy document that is collaboratively developed by various institutional stakeholders, ranging from undergraduate students to the college president. |
| 4 | Learning, academic achievement, student development, and improved degree attainment rates are prioritized over social programming. |
| 5 | Initiatives are grounded in published research on college men and masculinities in general and on Black male undergraduates in particular. |
| 6 | Efforts are enhanced by insights from Black male student achievers. |
| 7 | Institutional agents engage in honest conversations about racism and its harmful effects on Black male student outcomes. |
| 8 | At every level, institutional agents are held accountable for improving Black male student retention, academic success, engagement, and graduation rates. |


• The Arkansas African American Male Initiative (AAAMI) is a statewide consortium of 17 community colleges and four-year institutions (see Table 4) that convenes students and professionals for an annual conference, engages stakeholders in sharing resources and knowledge throughout the year, and requires annual reports that demonstrate how each AAAMI member institution’s activities align with the eight standards. One member institution, the University of Arkansas at Little Rock (UALR), has a full-time coordinator and part-time staff members, centralized mentoring and student support resources, and a first-year experience course for the target population. The UALR chancellor, vice chancellor for educational and student services, and multiple faculty and staff members have been meaningfully involved in AAAMI planning, sustainability, and assessment activities.


21 The consortium is largely funded by a grant from the Winthrop Rockefeller Foundation.
Coordinated by the Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education at the University of Pennsylvania, and funded by Lumina Foundation, the Institutional Change for Black Male Student Success Project unites teams from five campuses engaged in strategic efforts to improve a range of Black male student outcomes. Each campus team, which includes administrators, tenured professors, and undergraduate students, began work with an institutional self-study; members then convened on the University of Pennsylvania campus in June 2010 for a series of workshops on organizational learning, the legal parameters of race/gender-specific programming, and strategic approaches to Black male student success. The campuses subsequently launched initiatives that are aligned with Harper and Kuykendall’s standards. One example is Beyond the Game at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, a collaborative effort among the athletics department, career services, the School of Education, and Wisconsin’s Equity and Inclusion Laboratory. Beyond the Game focuses explicitly on preparing Black male student-athletes for postcollege careers beyond professional sports. Another member, North Carolina Central University, offers a learning community and numerous centralized resources for its Centennial Scholars program, for Black male first-year students whose high school grade point averages were below 3.0. Other institutions in the project are Stanford University, the Community College of Philadelphia, and UCLA.

This is only a sampling of initiatives that colleges and universities across the country have implemented in recent years. Several other postsecondary institutions are employing a variety of approaches to enroll, engage, retain, and graduate Black male students. Despite this aggressive (and arguably impressive) slate of institutional activities, the problems concerning Black male college achievement and postsecondary degree attainment cannot be solved by one institution or one initiative at a time; a complementary policy response is also warranted. Efforts described in this section are important and should be sustained, but they must be driven by standards and accompanied by a broader set of policy activities that extend beyond a single campus.

---

**TABLE 4**

Arkansas AAMI Participating Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community and Technical Colleges</th>
<th>Four-Year Colleges and Universities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arbor Education and Training</td>
<td>Arkansas Baptist College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas Technical College</td>
<td>Arkansas State University—Main Campus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Arkansas Community College</td>
<td>Arkansas State University—Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-South Community College</td>
<td>Henderson State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Community College</td>
<td>Philander Smith College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouachita Technical College</td>
<td>Southern Arkansas University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski Technical College</td>
<td>University of Arkansas at Fayetteville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Arkansas at Little Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Central Arkansas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beyond institutional efforts, federal and state policymakers, higher education associations, the NCAA, foundations, and others have several ways to respond to social, political, and economic threats to Black male student success and college completion. This is not to suggest that these efforts should replace the initiatives currently offered on college and university campuses, but both institutional and policy responses are necessary to improve Black men’s educational outcomes and postsecondary degree attainment rates.

Drawing from existing research and promising practices from institutional initiatives, the following recommendations are examples of ways to complement institutional efforts with new policies, practices, and resources.

**Funding**

In this era of fiscal constraints, new funding may not always be available. Nonetheless, it is important to focus new and existing funding on measures and programs targeting student populations that are least likely to enroll in college, such as Black men.

- **Increase Investments in College Preparation Programs:** Increasing the number of Black male students who graduate from high school and matriculate into college is critical. Given the key role of these programs in supporting academically-prepared students from underserved populations, federal and state policymakers, institutional leaders, and community-based organizations can play a key role by supporting and advocating on behalf of college outreach and preparation initiatives (including federal TRIO programs), particularly during times of fiscal exigency, when these resources are especially vulnerable to budget cuts or elimination. In turn, publicly funded college preparation and outreach programs must be held accountable for effectively serving Black men and meeting measurable goals to transition these students into postsecondary education.

- **Address Funding Inequities that Disadvantage Public HBCUs:** A 2006 study of 19 southern states revealed, “Public 4-year Historically Black Colleges and Universities are the only sector [of higher education] in which Blacks consistently approach or achieve equity in enrollment and degree completion.” Moreover, HBCUs outperform Predominantly White Institutions proportionately in graduating and preparing Black students for careers in high-need industries, such as the health professions and the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics fields, despite enrolling a significantly higher proportion of first-generation students and Pell Grant recipients. Yet per-student expenditures at these institutions and at community colleges are among the lowest in U.S. higher education. These inequities have persisted since the passage of the second Morrill Land Grant Act of 1890, which aimed to establish separate but equal public systems of higher education in the United States. Funding inequities that disadvantage particular public postsecondary institutions, especially those that confer disproportionate shares of bachelor’s degrees to Black men and other underrepresented racial minority students, must be corrected.

- **Increase Federal and State Financial Aid for Lower-Income Black Male Students:** Many students who drop out of college do so because they cannot afford the cost. Financial aid plays a significant role in Black men’s persistence and academic success.

---

success, especially at highly selective institutions.27 Urgently needed are more aid initiatives that permit lower-income students to attend college without the burden of working more than 20 hours per week in off-campus jobs. Proportionately, Black students are more likely to receive Pell Grants than their White counterparts.28 Hence, federal policymakers must increase, or at a minimum maintain, spending on Pell Grants (instead of reducing current levels) and other forms of financial aid that will allow Black male students from lower-income families to attend college.

- **Match Incarceration and Educational Investments:** The U.S. Department of Justice estimates that 85,600 Black men between the ages of 18 and 24 (the traditional college-age population) were serving sentences in federal and state prisons in 2010.29 On average, taxpayers paid $32,226 that year per inmate.30 In Connecticut, the per-inmate cost ($50,262) was roughly the same as the cost of tuition and fees, room and board, and books and supplies that year at Yale University, which is located in the same state. Nearly three California residents could have attended full-time and live on campus at San Diego State University in 2010 at the cost of what taxpayers spent on a single imprisoned person that year ($49,161 versus $47,421). These data make a case for increased state spending on schools and programs that increase postsecondary degree attainment rates. The issue of incarceration is complex and the overrepresentation of Black males in the criminal justice system cannot be simply attributed to funding decisions. However, the disproportionate amount of funding that is invested nationally toward incarcerating Black males in comparison to educating them is alarming. Thus, we recommend that state policymakers enact an investment strategy that matches taxpayer dollars spent on incarcerating 18- to 24-year-old Black men with race/gender-specific efforts that improve their pathways to and through college.

**Data, Assessment, and Information Sharing**

Informing policy requires using rigorous data and assessment of initiatives focused on college completion. In the case of Black men, many efforts exist, but it is not always clear which policies and practices are the most successful or scalable, and the information may not get to the stakeholders who need it most. However, this situation could be addressed in several ways:

- **Require Assessment in State-Funded Initiatives:** Institutions receiving state funds for any Black male initiative must use a core set of standards for alignment and assessment. In the absence of such standards, the likelihood is far greater that initiatives will fail to meet their desired outcomes and resources will be unwisely invested. In addition, the programs may be poorly understood or difficult to replicate. Consistent standards should be used as a framework for program design, reporting, evaluation, and other efforts to document effectiveness and ensure accountability. For example, systems, institutions, or programs can adopt Harper and Kuykendall’s Eight Standards for Black Male Campus Initiatives (see **FIGURE 3**) to ensure that initiatives meet their stated goals and outcomes.

- **Establish Consortia in Public Postsecondary Systems:** It is imperative to establish and support consortia such as the Arkansas African-American Male Initiative and systemwide efforts such as the CUNY Black Male Initiative and the University System of Georgia’s African-American Male Initiative. Such collaborative efforts can facilitate information and resource sharing among institutions and better equip them to address systemic barriers to Black men’s postsecondary success.

- **Develop a National Study that Monitors College Access and Success of Minority Males:** The Higher Education Opportunity Act of 2008 requires the U.S. Department of Education to conduct a study on the state of academic achievement for underrepresented males, with a particular focus on Black and Hispanic students. This mandated study is an excellent opportunity to conduct further research on minority male college access and success. Using existing data sources, the study could focus on the comprehensive set of issues that affect this population, and make specific recommendations to Congress and state superintendents of education on new approaches to increase the number of Black and Hispanic males preparing for college, graduating high school and entering college, graduating college, and successfully entering careers where they are most underrepresented. Additionally, data compiled from this study could be coupled with existing federal (and state) databases to monitor more accurately the status and progress of young men of color from

---

middle school through the latter stages of postsecondary education. Such a directive may produce a series of reports that ultimately yield policy suggestions for local, federal, and state policymakers and offer innovative research–based policy suggestions for leaders of precollege initiatives, K–12 schools, and universities.

**Rethink How College Athletics Affect Black Men**

One cannot discuss policies related to Black men in college without offering suggestions to the NCAA, which has tremendous influence on policies that affect the college outcomes of approximately one in ten Black men, as well as on policymakers who oversee public universities with revenue–generating sports teams. The pervasive racial inequities in student outcomes in Division I revenue–generating sports continue to be problematic, especially given the overrepresentation of Black male student–athletes on Division I football and basketball teams. The need for additional resources, advising, and support programs is clear, but other possibilities could illuminate the issues and bring them into public discussion:

- **Require Transparency in College Athletics:** The documented gaps in graduation rates between Black and White male student–athletes and the student–athlete population overall make clear that something must change. The NCAA collects data from higher education institutions, but the data do not always reach key audiences. State policymakers and system–level leaders should require public institutions to annually collect, analyze, and publish data concerning the overrepresentation of Black men among revenue–generating college student–athletes, as well as the racial/gender disparities in graduation rates. Institutions that show inequities from year to year should be required to submit a plan for reversing these problematic trends.

- **Consider Banning Post–Season Play for Sports Teams that Sustain Racial Inequities in graduation rates:** In the six major Division I athletic conferences (ACC, Big East, Big Ten, Big 12, Pac 12, and SEC), Black male student–athletes on revenue–generating sports teams graduate at rates lower than student–athletes overall, undergraduate students overall, and Black undergraduate men overall. Given these racial inequities, the NCAA should consider a regulatory response that would hold accountable institutions that routinely sustain these inequities. For example, U.S. Secretary of Education Arne Duncan has suggested that any team failing to graduate at least 40 percent of its players should be ineligible for participation in post–season play and championship contests. A new policy intervention by the NCAA is especially important for the teams on which Black male student–athletes are most overrepresented and racial inequities in six–year graduation rates are most pervasive.

**Other Important Considerations**

Other issues that institutions and policymakers should keep in mind include:

- **Promote Policies and Practices that Advance Equity:** Over the past decade, affirmative action policies and race–conscious practices in university admissions have been intensely scrutinized (and eliminated in some states). Consequently, the representation of historically underrepresented students in general and of Black undergraduate men in particular has sharply declined at the nation’s most competitive and selective public universities. A substantial body of empirical research confirms that institutional diversity is beneficial to the learning, growth, and development of all college students. Thus, policymakers at all levels must be willing to defend race/gender–conscious initiatives on college and university campuses. Data concerning Black male student enrollments, academic outcomes, and degree attainment rates justify the protection of policies and practices that help ensure college opportunity and success.

- **Reclaim Near–Completers:** In September 2011, IHEP convened policymakers and other stakeholders for a National Summit on Near Completion. The meeting focused on students who have left colleges and universities without earning their degrees, but are eligible to receive associate’s degrees or, with assistance, can complete the few remaining courses required to earn bachelor’s degrees. Project Win–Win is an example of IHEP’s collaborative efforts to improve the associate’s degree attainment of near–completers at community colleges.

---

situation exists at many four-year institutions. As previously mentioned, two-thirds of Black undergraduates who start baccalaureate degree programs do not graduate within six years—the lowest college completion rate across both genders and all racial/ethnic groups in U.S. higher education. Some of those who stopped out or dropped out of college are near-completers. Therefore, federal and state policymakers should aim to reclaim Black men who discontinued college enrollment and help them craft plans to complete their degrees.

This list of recommendations is far from exhaustive. As evidenced by the examples of institutional activities in this report, problems concerning Black male college success and degree attainment demand a multitude of thoughtful, aggressive, and standards-driven responses. No one initiative is likely to be sufficient on any campus at which racial and gender inequities that disfavor Black male students are numerous. Likewise, the array of policy-relevant challenges that undermine young Black men’s educational attainment and social mobility require a substantive policy agenda that includes, but extends far beyond the recommendations offered in this report.

CONCLUSION

Policymakers have an important role to play in improving Black men’s college opportunities and postsecondary degree attainment rates. The economic vitality of our nation depends on the effectiveness of efforts to reduce the number of college dropouts. As noted throughout this report, only one of every three Black undergraduate men who start college graduate within six years. Failure to graduate negatively affects their employability and a host of other social outcomes.

Institutional initiatives aimed at addressing this problem are indeed necessary, but insufficient. The educational challenges confronting this population are enormous and far too complex for localized responses. Policymakers at all levels must join educational leaders and others in efforts to remove barriers that cyclically undermine the enrollment and persistence of Black male students and other populations with low college completion rates.

Although this report has focused on postsecondary education, we recognize that a corresponding P–12 policy agenda aimed at improving the lives of young Black men is also required. Application and enrollment are first steps on the pathway to college completion, and so policymakers at federal, state, and local levels must do more to support (financially and otherwise) efforts in college preparatory programs, community organizations, schools, and postsecondary institutions to strengthen Black male students’ pathways to higher education. But simply having these men enroll does not guarantee they will persist through graduation. Ensuring they have viable opportunities to attend and complete degree programs is an important step in meeting the U.S. postsecondary attainment goals espoused by national leaders and others. Investing more resources into efforts to better educate Black male students in P–12 schools and in postsecondary education would yield greater returns for society.
The Pathways to College Network is an alliance of national organizations that advances college opportunity for underrepresented students by promoting evidence-based policies and practices across the K–12 and higher education sectors. The Pathways to College Network promotes the use of research-based policies and practices; the development of rigorous and actionable new research; and the alignment of efforts across middle school, high school, and higher education in order to promote college access and success for all students. To learn more about the Pathways to College Network, visit www.pathwaystocollege.net.

The Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education unites University of Pennsylvania scholars who do research on race and important topics pertaining to equity in education. Principally, the Center aims to publish cutting-edge implications for education policy and practice, with an explicit focus on improving equity in P-12 schools, colleges and universities, and social contexts that influence educational outcomes.

The Institute for Higher Education Policy (IHEP) is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization committed to promoting access to and success in higher education for all students. Based in Washington, D.C., IHEP develops innovative policy- and practice-oriented research to guide policymakers and education leaders, who develop high-impact policies that will address our nation’s most pressing education challenges.
Saving black and Latino boys
What schools can do to make a difference

Solving the educational challenges facing black and Latino boys is an American responsibility, not just the responsibility of the black and Latino communities.

By Pedro A. Noguera

On all of the indicators of academic achievement, educational attainment, and school success, African-American and Latino males are noticeably distinguished from other segments of the American population by their consistent clustering at the bottom (Schott, 2010). With few exceptions, these dismal patterns exist in urban, suburban, and rural school districts throughout the United States. Nationally, African-American and Latino males are more likely than any other group to be suspended and expelled from school (Fergus & Noguera, 2010). In most American cities, dropout rates for African-American and Latino males are well above 50%, and they’re less likely to enroll or graduate from college than any other group (Schott, 2010).

African-American and Latino males are more likely to be classified as mentally retarded or to be identified as suffering from a learning disability and placed in special education (Losen & Orfield, 2002). They’re more likely to be absent from gifted and talented programs, Advanced Placement and honors courses, and international baccalaureate programs (Noguera, 2008). Even class privilege and the material benefits that accompany it fail to inoculate black males from low academic performance. When compared to their white peers, middle-class African-American and Latino males lag significantly in grade point average and on standardized tests.

These patterns have become so common and widespread that a recitation of the dismal statistics no longer generates surprise or even alarm. But, in recent years, private foundations and local, state, and federal officials have called for urgent measures to subvert these trends and reverse the patterns. In August 2011, New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg announced that he and billionaire philanthropist George Soros were donating $200 million and redirecting another $500 million of public funds to a variety of initiatives.
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addressing the “crisis” confronting Latino and African-American males. Similar initiatives have been launched in communities throughout the country.

There is no magic to be found in merely separating boys of color from their peers.

There is a growing awareness that early intervention within schools may be the most effective way to prevent some of the problems facing males of color during adulthood. More educators are embracing the idea that the educational and social challenges confronting black and Latino males can be solved, or at least ameliorated, through single-sex education; such schools specifically designed for young men of color are now proliferating across the nation. Of course, single-sex schools are not a new idea or invention. Since the 18th century, parochial schools, military academies, and elite boarding schools have served young men in all-male learning environments. However, today, single-sex schools are spreading based on the idea that young men are best educated when they’re separated from girls in public and charter schools. Spurred by a desire to address the underachievement of boys and justified by highly questionable research that suggest boys learn differently than girls (Gurian, 2011), single-sex schools are rapidly growing. In 1998, there was only one single-sex public school in the United States — Detroit’s Malcolm X Academy (Leake & Leake, 1992; Watson & Smitherman, 1996).

Today, there are over 300 single-sex public and charter schools and hundreds of other single-sex classrooms in schools that are ostensibly coeducational, according to the Coalition of Schools Educating Boys of Color (www.coseboc.org). Most of the new single-sex schools have been designed specifically to serve African-American and Latino males based on the assumption that the best way to educate and “save” young men of color is to separate them from the rest of the population.

Research is needed

The need to act on the problems confronting black and Latino males is apparent, but no research supports the notion that separating young men is the best way to meet their academic and social needs.

In 2006, U.S. Department of Education regulations were reinterpreted to allow single-sex classes in coeducational schools under limited circumstances without violating Title IX. The provision required that such single-sex classes must be “substantially related” to the achievement of an important governmental or educational objective.

Thus, the new single-sex schools have been justified because of the belief that they’ll benefit young black and Latino men and thereby satisfy the “important government or educational objective.” However, a review commissioned by the U.S. Department of Education to compare academic outcomes in single-sex and coeducational classrooms concluded that no positive benefits could be discerned. Similarly, large-scale reviews in Great Britain, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, as well as analyses of data from the Programme for International Student Assessment, found scant overall difference between academic outcomes obtained in single-sex and mixed-sex academic classrooms (OECD, 2009; Smithers & Robinson, 2006; Thomson & Unger-leider, 2004; Marsh & Rowe, 1996; Harker, 2000). Some advocates of single-sex schools claim that brain research evidence shows that boys learn differently from girls and that separation on the basis of sex would support and enhance their educational needs. However, none of their claims about innate learning differences have been supported by neuroscientists who actually study the human brain, and their ideas about the types of teaching strategies that constitute “best practices” for boys are also unsupported by scientific evidence (Tyrer, 2008).

In the absence of clear and compelling research
and well-developed theories on how to respond to the problems facing black and Latino males, the schools that serve black or Latino males have designed curriculum, created mentoring and rites of passage programs, and implemented counseling and recreation services without the benefit of clear and compelling research to support the design of these interventions. Most of these initiatives are being carried out by individuals who are sincere and well-intending about their desire to “save” young men of color, but, in many cases, they lack a clear sense of how to approach their work. Given the proliferation of single-sex schools and the wide gulf between practice and evidence-based theory, there’s a pressing need for an applied research agenda that can shed some light on whether single-sex schools are indeed the best way to improve the educational attainment and social mobility of black and Latino males.

Learning from schools that work

In 2009, the center that I direct at New York University — the Metropolitan Center for Urban Education — studied dropout rates among black and Latino males in New York City. The results were disturbing. In one of the few cities with a rising graduation rate (official graduation rates in New York City are now over 65%), less than 40% of black and Latino males were graduating, and less than 30% were graduating with a Regents diploma, the certificate used to determine college readiness. However, during our study, we also identified over 20 New York City high schools with graduation rates for black and Latino males exceeding 80%. We were surprised both by the significant number of schools that were achieving at this level and by the fact that the knowledge they had gained was not being shared widely among New York City schools.

At schools like Frederick Douglass Academy and Thurgood Marshall Academy in Harlem and Eagle Academy in the South Bronx, high graduation rates have been the norm for several years. These schools serve students from low-income backgrounds who come from some of the most troubled and disadvantaged neighborhoods in the city, but they’ve found ways to create school cultures that counter the influence of gangs and affirm the importance of learning. Our research in these schools showed us that strong, positive relationships between teachers and students are critical ingredients of their success. Equally important is the need to provide a personalized learning environment with mentors, counseling, and other supports that make it possible for schools to intervene early and effectively when problems arise. Naturally, these schools have strong and effective school leaders, but that doesn’t mean they are authoritarian and intimidating. On the contrary, students report that principals like David Banks at Eagle Academy and Tim King at Urban Prep in Chicago — another urban high school with high graduation rates — are regarded more like big brothers and father figures.

The problem is not who we serve but how well they are served.

These are safe schools where students feel as though they can be themselves, where the peer culture reinforces the value of learning, and where character, ethics, and moral development are far more important than rigid discipline policies.

Some of these high-performing schools are all male, but not all of them. At coed schools like Thurgood Marshall, a mentoring program was created specifically for 9th-grade males who were paired with high-performing female seniors. The principal realized that if girls are better at school than boys in many cases, why not have them model success for their younger peers? Similarly, former principal Juan Mendez at Enterprise, Business, and Technology High School in Brooklyn learned early that great counseling and a strong focus on internships that lead to real job opportunities could keep his young men engaged and his highly successful school never embraced the idea that boys needed to be separated.

---

THE EDUCATION OF BLACK MALES | BY THE NUMBERS

Are there states where black males graduate more often than white males?

Yes, four states with small black populations have higher graduation rates for black males than for white males (p. 16).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percent graduating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>47% 78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>96% 81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>93% 86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>83% 78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>83% 77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---
Conclusion

While some of the schools that are successfully educating black and Latino males are single-sex, others are not. A four-year study that I led at the Metropolitan Center for Urban Education found that some but not all of the single-sex schools that have been created over the last few years are quite successful. Many single-sex schools have been created without a clear sense of instructional supports that the students they serve will need. They also haven’t created a learning climate conducive to academic success and positive youth development. Not surprisingly, these schools are foundering, and the students they serve are not thriving. Clearly, there is no magic to be found in merely separating boys of color from their peers.

The good news is that there are several schools across the country that are succeeding at educating black and Latino males. These schools remind us that the problem is not who we serve but how well they are served. This point must be made clear to educators, especially those who have lapsed into blaming the students they serve for their own failures or, by extension, their parents. Poverty, crime, gangs, and other social problems that are endemic to some neighborhoods pose formidable challenges. The pull of the streets and all of the dangers associated with it is drawing many young males of color onto the path of delinquency at an early age (Anderson, 1990; Majors & Billson, 1992). However, schools that are successful with black and Latino boys show us that educators can counter and even overcome these obstacles when they work closely with parents and community to design positive learning environments that meet the needs of the children they serve.

Of course, creating such schools is not easy. If it were, the problems facing black and Latino males would have been solved long ago. Moreover, the challenges facing young men of color aren’t purely educational. The fact that many children come to school sick, hungry, without adequate housing or social and emotional support, and from families in distress makes the job of educating them much more difficult. Our schools need help meeting the needs of those they serve, especially those most vulnerable and most likely to fail in American society — black and Latino males. We must address this issue with urgency and treat it as an American problem, rather than as a problem that only those who directly experience it should be concerned about. The continued failure of so many young men not only increases the likelihood that they’ll end up in prison, permanently unemployed, or dead at an early age, but that our society will accept such conditions as normal. As that begins to occur, all of us are endangered.
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On February 27, 2014, President Barack H. Obama announced a new national initiative called My Brother’s Keeper (MBK), which proposed to "address persistent opportunity gaps faced by boys and young men of color" (White House, 2014, para 1). Through substantial financial support and partnerships with private organizations and foundations committed to the initiative, MBK aims to promote promising practices and programs that demonstrate effectiveness and offer males of color, regardless of their income, geography, or family circumstances, the greatest opportunities to succeed in school and beyond. Evidence has mounted demonstrating how critical education is for success in today’s American economy, yet too many males of color are not experiencing optimal outcomes at the elementary, secondary, and postsecondary levels. It is also widely understood that meager educational outcomes often lead to poorer life chances. With these facts in mind, MBK proposed to concentrate on improving school readiness for early childhood education, grade-level reading proficiency, and rates of high school graduation, college-going, and completion of post-secondary education and training. By concentrating on the aforementioned areas, MBK stands to measurably improve the life chances for males of color.

Challenges experienced in the early education stages intensify over time as evidenced by national high school graduation rates for men of color. According to the Schott Foundation (2012), Black and Latino males graduate from high school at significantly lower rates than their White peers. The four-year graduation rate for Black and Latino males is 52% and 58%, respectively, while the rate is 78% for White males. More revealing are the differences, across states. For example, in the District of Columbia, only 38% of Black males and 46% of Latino males graduate from high school. In New York, only 37% of Black and Latino males graduate. Other states—including South Carolina, Mississippi, Michigan, Georgia, Florida, Delaware, Alabama, Colorado, and Connecticut—also have graduation rates below 60% for Black and Latino males.

Institutions across the U.S. and throughout the educational pipeline (e.g., elementary, secondary, and postsecondary) have been confronted with innumerable challenges achieving parity in educating males of color compared to their White and Asian male counterparts. For example, only 18% of Black boys are proficient in fourth grade mathematics compared to 55% and 64% for their White and Asian peers, and 27% and 28% for Native American and Latino boys. Similar trends are also evident in eighth grade mathematics, where only 13% and 21% of Black and Latino young men are at proficient or above, respectively. Moreover, these educational disparities are evident in other key subject areas, including reading (NAEP, 2013).

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4th Grade</th>
<th>8th Grade</th>
<th>4th Grade</th>
<th>8th Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For men of color, these negative trends also persist at the postsecondary educational level. At four-year colleges, only 33.2% of Black males and 44.8% of Latino males earn a bachelor’s degree within six years—rates strikingly lower than those of their White (57.1%) and Asian (64.2%) peers (Digest of Education Statistics, 2012). At two-year colleges, only 32.1% of Black males and 30.2% of Latino males earn a certificate, degree, or transfer to a four-year institution within six years, compared to 39.8% for White males and 43.4% for Asian males (BPS, 2009).

Although often characterized as an at-risk population, boys and men of color possess the intellectual capacity to excel in PreK-12 schools and postsecondary contexts when educational policies and practices support their success. Collectively, the contributors to this brief believe that “every system is perfectly designed to achieve the results it gets” (quote attributed to W. Edward Deming and to Paul Batalden). Thus, the existing educational policies and practices that routinely fail to produce positive results for boys and men of color demand scrutiny. MBK represents a major undertaking in that it seeks to diagnose the pitfalls that plague educational achievement among males of color and comprehensively catalogue proven solutions to the problem. To this end, this brief aims to contribute to this effort by proposing specific educational policies and practices that should be implemented to improve outcomes for boys and men of color at every junction of their education.

The recommendations offered were derived from internationally- and nationally-recognized researchers who are leaders of major research centers throughout the United States. These include The Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education (University of Pennsylvania), Minority Male Community College Collaborative (San Diego State University), Morehouse Research Institute (Morehouse College), Project MALES and the Texas Education Consortium for Male Students of Color (University of Texas at Austin), Todd Anthony Bell National Resource Center on the African American Male (The Ohio State University), Black Male Institute (University of California, Los Angeles), and Wisconsin’s Equity and Inclusion Laboratory (University of Wisconsin-Madison). These researchers all have rigorously studied factors that influence educational, social, and occupational opportunities for boys and young men of color. To this end, this brief reflects their collective ideas, perspectives, and recommendations.
Pipeline Recommendations

The following recommendations are divided into three sections. The first section focuses on educational pipeline recommendations, including policy interventions spanning preschool to doctoral education. The second section focuses specifically on PreK-12 policy recommendations, and the last section outlines postsecondary education policy recommendations, with major emphases on two-year and four-year colleges and universities.

Pipeline Recommendations

Create a National Clearinghouse on Exemplary Studies, Practices, and Policies on Males of Color in Education

Over the past several decades, educational practitioners have increasingly recognized the need for greater support of boys and men of color in education through established programs, conferences, symposia, and initiatives designed to improve outcomes throughout the pipeline. Likewise, these intensified efforts have been mirrored in the scholarly community resulting in the establishment of research centers, peer-reviewed journals, and academic conferences focused on issues relevant to males of color in education. These combined efforts have produced effective policies at various levels of governance (i.e., school, district, state, national) as well as innovative practices (e.g., teaching strategies, counseling techniques, evaluation standards) and tools for research, assessment, and evaluation that can inform educational interventions for boys and men of color. However, access to and awareness of these newly developed resources is limited; no centralized location exists where such information is maintained, organized, and disseminated. A national clearinghouse or repository featuring exemplary studies, practices, and policies focused on males of color in education would go a long way toward meeting this need. The U.S. Department of Education’s Institute of Education Sciences already houses the What Works Clearinghouse, which features information on effective interventions for the general student population. A similar clearinghouse on educational interventions focused on boys and men of color in education should also be established either as a standalone entity or as a combined endeavor of the existing clearinghouse. Promising educational practices, studies, and policies featured in the repository should be subject to a rigorous review process by a board with extensive research experience and expertise on males of color.

Implement a National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Dataset that Tracks Males of Color across PreK-12 and Postsecondary Education

Social and behavioral science research continues to affirm that even as many boys and men of color successfully navigate PreK-12 and postsecondary educational systems, many continue to face distinct barriers throughout the educational pipeline. These challenges may include, but are not limited to poverty, access, single-parent households, and negative stereotypes. A national database, implemented through NCES, could track individuals throughout the pipeline and identify indicators of their past, current, and future educational status. A NCES data tracking system would also provide insight into enrollment, retention, and graduation trends throughout the educational pipeline, helping to optimize institutional success in society’s high-skilled labor economy. The dataset should yield information on undergraduate participation, engagement at the graduate and professional level, and detailed employment plans of boys and men of color. Because NCES currently records demographic information and associated behaviors that impact achievement, persistence, and outcomes in education, a tracking system devoted to males of color would inform and embolden innovative high-touch educational policy and practice that intentionally and holistically serves this population. This evidence-based practice, rooted in accurate record-keeping, would not only help identify emerging trends in educational progress but also enable researchers to identify challenges and opportunities related to educational achievement. These efforts would facilitate research with significant implications for today’s PreK-20 educational systems and the broader 21st century workforce.
Refine Ethnic Classifications Collected by the U.S. Department of Education to Better Account for Within-Group Differences

The racial classifications currently collected by the U.S. Department of Education are in desperate need of refinement. For example, data from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) report racial demographic data in six categories: White, Black, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaskan Native, and two or more races. Particularly troubling are categories for Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander students. Unfortunately, these data hide critical disparities across subpopulations that may otherwise heighten the need for subgroup-specific interventions. For example, Asian/Pacific Islander data currently conceal deleterious outcomes for Pacific Islander and Southeast Asian men (e.g., Hmong, Laotian, Cambodian, Vietnamese) who have academic experiences and outcomes on par with those of other underserved men of color. As a result, it is recommended that a more expansive classification formula be used, which includes a greater number of categories such as Asian American, Southeast Asian, South Asian (e.g., Indian, Pakistani, Sri-Lankan), Pacific Islander, and Filipino. Similar problems are evident with respect to the Hispanic classification, which may mask the needs of the large percentage of students of Mexican/Mexican-American descent, particularly in the Southwestern U.S. At a minimum, the Hispanic category should be divided into two groups: Mexican/Mexican-American and Latino (excluding Mexican heritage).

Mandate that Institutions Create Equity Plans to Improve Success of Boys of Color

Using quantitative and qualitative data derived from periodic self-studies and external evaluations, school districts and postsecondary institutions should be required to create equity plans for promoting student success. These equity plans should identify areas for intervention derived from regular analyses of experience and outcome disparities. Plans should also identify goals for student access, retention, and completion for student populations in general and by race/ethnicity within gender. Further, the equity plan goals should be accompanied by clearly specified outcomes and resultant courses of action focused on building institutional capacity to better serve student populations, particularly boys and men of color. Additionally, the plans should address both resourcing strategies and methods for evaluating the success of planned interventions, with benchmarks and mechanisms for performance monitoring. All plans should be submitted to the Department of Education for review every two years with an accountability infrastructure in place to ensure that identified courses of action and evaluation of these actions are performed. Moreover, equity plans should be publically shared documents that are available and accessible to prospective students and their families.
Facilitate Curricular Partnerships Across the Pipeline

Currently, a lack of alignment and collaboration across the different levels of the education pipeline may hinder efforts to effectively serve challenging student populations. Courses taken in high school may not necessarily prepare students for college-level coursework. Similarly, in many locales, community college coursework allows a student to transfer; however, due to a misalignment in course learning outcomes, transfer students are often forced to obtain a substantially greater number of total academic credits than expected had they attended a four-year institution alone. To address these concerns, the federal government should require school districts, community colleges, and public four-year institutions to partner in designing curricula that create seamless pathways for students to matriculate across each sector. These partnerships should focus specifically on ensuring that students meet academic expectations at each level of schooling, adequately covering foundational content at each stage and prioritizing English and mathematics skills in particular. By providing enhanced opportunities for information- and resource-sharing, pipeline partnerships represent a key strategy for improving outcomes for historically underrepresented boys and men of color. Through partnerships, educators can identify common exit points in the educational pipeline where attrition among boys and men of color frequently occurs. Institutions can then work collaboratively to reduce attrition at those junctures. The federal government can also facilitate such partnerships by incentivizing collaborations across institutional-types. Given the unique needs of pipeline collaborations across region, federal grants monies can be directed to state governments to support the implementation of partnership structures.
Literacy matters. The third and fourth grade marker is a foundational point in students’ academic journeys that has direct implications for future achievement. Outcome data presented in Table 1 of this report reveal that only 14% of Black and 18% of Latino males are proficient in reading by fourth grade, while rates for their White peers are 2.5 to three times higher (NAEP, 2013). Unfortunately, the longer males of color remain in school, the wider the literacy gap grows. Moreover, males of color who are not reading at grade level by the time they enter fourth grade are less likely to ever reach grade level proficiency in reading, are more likely to be referred to special education, and are more likely to drop out of school. Given the importance of early grade level reading proficiency, schools should provide specific interventions aimed toward students who are not demonstrating reading proficiency by third grade. These interventions should include supplemental learning opportunities with an intense literacy focus in the form of after-school programs, summer school, literacy sessions, or Saturday academies. School districts and state departments of education should also be required to investigate whether their current policies contribute to “student push out” becoming commonplace. For example, the Los Angeles Unified School District recently dismantled their “willful defiance” policy, which led large numbers of Black and Latino males to be suspended for extended periods of time. To this end, it is imperative for all school districts to evaluate their current policies and practices to identify those that may be inappropriately used as a conduit for the removal of groups of students from learning communities in schools. It is also recommended that school districts consider the development and implementation of equity scorecards (see Harris, Bensimon & Bishop, 2010 for example), which would spotlight schools for their success in identifying strategies and pedagogical practices to keep boys of color in the classroom. These scorecards could also include suspension, special education, and gifted education placement data for students, disaggregated by race within gender and socioeconomic categories.
Focus on Increasing Men of Color Teachers, Principals, and School Counselors

There is growing concern that the current pool of school teachers, administrators, and school counselors do not mirror the growing racial/ethnic diversity of students. While there are promising programs (e.g., Call Me Mister program at Clemson University) focused on encouraging male college students of color to pursue teaching careers, colleges of education across the nation should do more to create pathways to the education profession to attract larger numbers of these male students. Young men of color in high school need a critical mass of men of color educators as positive male role models and mentors to better understand their own identities and to develop plans for college enrollment. Through unique partnerships between local high schools, colleges of education, and other institutions of higher education, potential men of color educators can learn about the dire need to diversify the education profession, consider the benefits of becoming a teacher, and set long-term career goals to advance into educational administration. The federal government, through the Department of Education and the National Science Foundation, should implement demonstration grants that focus on bolstering the pipeline of men of color entering the education field.

Tighten Accreditation and State Certification Standards for Teacher Education and Counselor Education Programs

Many educational problems that disproportionately affect young men of color (e.g., higher rates of suspension and expulsion) are attributable to a lack of substantive engagement of these issues in the curricula of programs that prepare educators for PreK-12 schools. The overwhelming majority of pre-service teachers in the U.S. are White, and most are White women. Education preparation programs do not devote enough of their curricula to enhancing the cultural competence of aspiring education professionals. Likewise, most academic training programs that prepare future guidance counselors provide too few courses on race and diversity, and they do not adequately prepare guidance counselors for the complexities of counseling in inadequately resourced high schools that enroll students largely from low-income families. Additionally, many counselor education programs include just one course on counseling high school students and their families on the vast landscape of postsecondary options. Given these deficits, these programs and the educators they prepare for careers in PreK-12 schools warrant more rigorous accreditation and state certification standards. Accreditors and state licensing entities should demand greater evidence from schools of education and alternative teacher preparation programs of curricular efforts that vigorously engage aspiring professionals in meaningfully complex exercises that awaken and disrupt their assumptions about students and communities of color. Current state policies and certification or licensure standards do too little to ensure that highly qualified teachers and counseling professionals are prepared to effectively educate young men of color as well as other diverse student populations and families.
PreK-12 Recommendations

Implement Sustained Professional Development Structures for Effectively Working with Boys of Color

Students of color are disproportionately concentrated in schools with underqualified and less experienced educators. In contrast, certified teachers with greater levels of experience are more likely to teach in predominantly White and affluent schools. The limited numbers of qualified teachers who do teach in majority-minority schools are retained at lower rates, and often transition to schools with greater resources that can provide enhanced job security. Given these dynamics, the least capable teachers too often teach students that demand the most qualified teachers. In addition to these challenges, PreK-12 educators tend to be disproportionately White and female who often struggle to connect with young boys of color personally and pedagogically. These educators may inadvertently perpetuate social messages that school is not a domain suited for boys of color. A professional development infrastructure is needed to train teachers to work more effectively with boys of color. Districts and departments of education should be mandated to develop sustained professional development structures that assist practitioners in developing the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to effectively work with boys of color. Professional development activities should focus on the practical implementation of promising practices that enable teachers to better understand, work with, and support boys of color.

Develop High School Policies and Practices that Improve Male of Color Participation in Advanced Academic Programs

Extant research highlights the benefits that accrue to high school students who enroll in college preparatory coursework. However, national data reveal that few high school males of color enroll and complete dual-enrollment college courses, Advanced Placement (AP) courses, honors courses, International Baccalaureate (IB) courses, and other types of college preparatory courses. Ample evidence has shown that students who engage in college preparatory coursework are more likely to enroll in college, navigate the transition to college more smoothly, and are better prepared for academic expectations in college. While many high schools offer advanced coursework, we believe that high schools need to do more to encourage historically underrepresented students (particularly male students of color) to enroll in these courses. One strategy supporting this recommendation would be to mandate reporting of completion rates and access ratios to advanced coursework, disaggregated by race/ethnicity within gender. Advanced coursework in a 21st century school should be open and available to all students. Schools with enrollment in advanced coursework enrollment that fall below a specified threshold proportionate to their overall demographics should be identified for program improvement. For some male students of color, their participation in these types of academic courses may serve as a catalyst for their improved academic motivation to attend and succeed in college.
Postsecondary Recommendations

Require all Institutions to Implement an Institutional-Level Early Alert System

Many postsecondary institutions have support services (e.g., academic advising, counseling, tutoring, financial aid, etc.) that can curb challenges that inhibit student success in college. However, few institutions have mechanisms in place that can readily connect these resources to students when they are needed. Early alert systems have been identified as an important strategy to remedy this problem. These systems enable college personnel to identify and intervene with students who demonstrate warning patterns (e.g., low test scores, absenteeism, missing assignments) associated with premature departure. In optimal circumstances, early alert systems detect concerns early in an academic semester/quarter, allowing time for appropriate interventions to occur before final course marks are significantly impacted. For instance, if a student misses several classes in a row, an automatic alert would be generated as soon as attendance records are updated by faculty members. In these cases, the student would receive an electronic communication informing him that he is required to meet with an intervention specialist (e.g., academic advisor or college counselor) immediately. If a student fails to report to the intervention specialist by the specified time frame, a follow-up is made by telephone. The specialists work individually with students to identify root cause(s) of the challenges they face (i.e., academic, personal, institutional), providing guidance and referrals to key campus resources that can assist students. Unfortunately, early alert systems are almost uniformly underutilized, targeted primarily toward students in select areas (e.g., small retention programs, athletics). The federal government should require all Title IV degree-granting institutions to implement institutional-level early alert systems with associated standards of practice. Moreover, mandated training should be routinized that facilitates better utilization of the early alert system among campus personnel who provide and respond to referrals.

Disaggregate Student Right-to-Know Data by Race/Ethnicity within Gender

In November of 1990, Congress passed the Student Right-to-Know and Campus Security Act, requiring all Title IV institutions to disclose completion and graduation rates for current and prospective students. Specifically, per the Act, colleges and universities must report completion and graduation rates for certificate or degree-seeking full-time students. Student Right-to-Know data are essential for enabling the public to hold institutions of higher education accountable for student outcomes and allowing prospective students to make more informed decisions about where to attend college. However, the aggregate data mask disparities across racial/ethnic and gender groups, particularly among men of color. For that reason, Student Right-to-Know data should be disaggregated by race/ethnicity within gender. For Title IV institutions, disaggregated data by race and gender are already available for student athletes (per the Student Athlete Right-to-Know). Data for the general student population should be similarly available. This approach would provide prospective students and the general public a more nuanced understanding of how colleges and universities foster differential outcomes by student backgrounds. The Act itself is somewhat flawed because it focuses specifically on full-time students—even as men of color overwhelmingly attend institutions such as community colleges and for-profit colleges part-time. Thus, the law could be strengthened by specifying that rates for part-time students also be reported. Altogether, these revisions to the Act would assuredly stand to benefit men of color as well as other subgroups experiencing deleterious outcomes.
Postsecondary Recommendations

Mandate that Institutions Conduct a Self-Study of Student Experiences and Outcomes with Data Disaggregated by Race within Gender

The U.S. Department of Education requires all institutions of higher education to track the academic achievement and graduation rates of their students. However, many institutions seem to have only a very limited understanding of the specific personal factors (e.g., socioeconomic status, work ethic, self-efficacy), in-college factors (e.g., student leadership, joining a fraternity, studying abroad), and institutional factors (e.g., freshmen orientation, number of required years of on-campus living, advisement system) that foster success. While analyses of national datasets of college students can yield interesting results regarding predictive factors, these studies should serve as a guide rather than a prescription of colleges and universities. National studies include a diverse set of schools and often aggregate data that may mask wide variation within the data set. For instance, the impact of living on campus in rural Iowa may differ from the impact of living on campus in Washington, DC. It is imperative that each institution understand the impact of various factors on its campus. Further, many institutions do not consider the extent to which factors that foster college success vary by race and gender. Institutions of higher learning should examine these factors specifically among segments of the student body that do not reach their full academic potential. According to national statistics, males of color, often Black and Latino males, are not performing as well as members of other racial/gender groups, despite the potential to do so. If postsecondary institutions truly wish to understand and facilitate the success of all of their students, then they have a moral obligation to investigate and scale up what works for males of color and scale down what doesn’t. Regular self-studies should be conducted that document student experiences and outcomes with data disaggregated by race within gender. Whenever possible, this assessment should incorporate a combination of research methods (e.g., surveys, focus groups, archival research, and interviews) that allow for the authentic voices of males of color to be heard. The use of mixed methods will also add confidence to the results.

Ultimately, high quality self-studies allow institutions to improve their selection and support of males of color; therefore, these practices should be integral to the operations of institutions of higher learning.

Require Federally Designated Minority-Serving Institutions to include, “Serving Historically Underserved Students” in their Strategic Plan with Stated Student Success Goals

A considerable share of men of color students in postsecondary education are enrolled in minority serving institutions (MSIs). Some of these institutions, namely Tribal colleges and historically Black colleges and universities (HBCUs), have historically maintained a mission to specifically serve populations of color. However, a large contingent of MSIs receive that designation based solely on the percentage of their respective student populations who are students of color. The MSI designation allows institutions to qualify for federal grants as Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSIs), Asian American and Native American Pacific Islander Service Institutions (AANAPISIs), and predominantly Black institutions (PBIs). The scholarly community has levied criticism that some of these institutions are minority enrolling, not necessarily minority serving. Specifically, some MSIs have striking outcome gaps for students of color, and in particular, men of color. These outcome gaps raise concerns about whether funding from the federal government intended to serve historically underrepresented students in these institutions actually reach the intended student populations. In light of these concerns, the federal government should require that all federally designated minority-serving institutions include the statement “serving historically underserved students” or similar phrases (e.g., “serving men of color,” “serving Latino students,” “serving Asian Americans”) in their strategic plan. Moreover, the federal designation should also require institutions to set specific student success goals and associated benchmarks for achievement within their strategic plans along with mechanisms to monitor performance toward identified targets. These modifications will help ensure that institutions with an MSI designation are actually serving the needs of the student populations they are designed to support.
Providing boys and men of color with viable educational advancement opportunities is a matter of both social and economic importance. For many young men of color, earning a college degree or specialized postsecondary training can change the course of their lives and the lives of generations that follow. The policy recommendations proposed herein are reflective of the innovative and collaborative efforts that must be taken across the PreK-20 pipeline to redress the inequities that have hampered educational opportunities—and ultimately life opportunities—for boys and men of color.

While these efforts aim specifically to improve educational outcomes for boys and men of color, it should be noted that these recommendations also stand to positively impact outcomes for other underrepresented and underserved students. Moreover, the proposed recommendations do not focus solely on remediating student deficits but instead address institutional and systemic problems that enable outcome disparities to persist. To this end, it is essential to build on the capacity and effectiveness of educators who have a direct impact on the experiences of boys and men of color within schools and classrooms. Likewise, decision-making and practice at all levels should be informed by data and knowledge derived from rigorous research and assessment. Finally, given the complexity of challenges facing males of color, and the interdependent nature of social and educational systems, efforts to improve educational outcomes for boys and men of color must be collaborative, entail sustained partnerships with school districts, community partners, researchers, colleges and universities, policymakers, and other key stakeholders.

Readers are encouraged to visit the appendix of this brief to review the published research that served as the basis for the recommendations described in this brief. Moreover, each research center involved in the development of this brief stands by available to advise and support policymakers who are interested in pursuing efforts to enhance outcomes for boys and men of color in society.
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The Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education
(University of Pennsylvania)

The Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education unites University of Pennsylvania scholars who do research on race and important topics pertaining to equity in education. Principally, the Center aims to publish cutting-edge implications for education policy and practice, with an explicit focus on improving equity in P-12 schools, colleges and universities, and social contexts that influence educational outcomes.

Minority Male Community College Collaborative
(San Diego State University)

The mission of the Minority Male Community College Collaborative (M2C3) is to develop knowledge and advance promising practices that enhance access, achievement and success among historically underrepresented and underserved men in the community college. Through institutional- and program-level needs assessment, we facilitate capacity building among community colleges to better serve these men.
The Morehouse Research Institute (MRI) is a self-supporting research and service unit at Morehouse College. Established in 1990, the MRI is a national clearinghouse of information about the more than 18 million African-American males in the United States. Our major thrust is research, publications and symposia to address the dearth of scholarship on issues affecting African-American men.

**Project MALES and the Texas Education Consortium for Male Students of Color**
*(University of Texas at Austin)*

Project MALES (Mentoring to Achieve Latino Educational Success) is multi-faceted research and mentoring initiative based within the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement (DDCE) at the University of Texas at Austin. Project MALES encompasses three interrelated initiatives: an ongoing research agenda focused on understanding the experiences of Latino males across the education pipeline; a mentoring program that aims to cultivate an engaged support network for males of color at UT-Austin and across the Central Texas community; and, a newly launched statewide P-16 Consortium focused on the success of male students of color.
The mission of the Todd Anthony Bell National Resource Center on the African American Male is to examine and address critical issues in society that impact the quality of life for African American males throughout the lifespan. The Center achieves these goals by conducting robust research studies and evaluations that inform social policy and theory on African American males and developing research-based programs, models, and initiatives that could be replicated at other institutions.

Black Male Institute
(University of California, Los Angeles)

The primary goal at the Black Male Institute is to conduct reliable research, practical interventions, and effective programs that enrich the educational experiences and life chances of Black males in the United States. It does this work by engaging researchers, scholars, practitioners, community based organizations, policy makers and students in our work across the P-20 spectrum.
The mission of Wisconsin’s Equity and Inclusion Laboratory (Wei LAB) is to design, conduct, and disseminate research that informs policymakers, practitioners, and concerned citizens on how to best promote equitable and inclusive learning and work environments in education in general, and higher education in particular. The Lab’s research agenda and priorities seek to engage the most difficult and important equity and inclusion topics confronting the educational system.
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A Call for Change: A Preliminary Blueprint for Action to Improve Educational Excellence and Opportunity for African American Males in Urban Schools

America’s Great City Schools educate approximately one-third of the nation’s African American male students. Many of these students do well and go on to take important leadership positions in their chosen fields. They make substantial contributions to the nation, raise and support loving families, and serve as role models for others.

Still, too many African American males do not realize their full potential in our schools. A number of reports and studies, including the Council of the Great City Schools’ report—A Call for Change: The Social and Educational Factors Contributing to the Outcomes of Black Males in Urban Schools—indicate that too often our schools have not served these students well. In many cases, in fact, we have simply failed them.

To be sure, there is now broad consensus that the nation’s urban public schools need to vastly improve the quality of education these students need to succeed in college and careers. Others—institutions at the local, state, and national levels—also need to do a better job, but the Great City Schools are stepping up to the plate, taking responsibility, and working to reverse the trend and provide the quality of life and future opportunities for our African American youth.

In this draft paper, the nation’s leading urban school districts, academics and scholars, and community activists outline the steps that schools and others should take to increase African American male access to rigorous core instruction, elevate the quality of education, strengthen personal and social supports needed to bolster their achievement, and overturn the low expectations that were born of one group’s misbegotten sense of superiority over another.¹

Also, the paper specifically addresses these areas of school-based policies and programs: early childhood education, reading, writing and intellectual development, mathematics, gifted and talented programs, college and career readiness, equity and access, effective teaching and

¹ Recommendations in this report were derived from a series of “solution briefs” commissioned by the Council of the Great City Schools with support from the Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Foundation. Authors of the papers included Oscar Barbarin (Tulane University), Leticia Evans-Smith (NAACP Legal Defense and Education Fund), George Garrow and Esther Kaggwa (Concerned Black Men), Robert Green (Michigan State University), Kevin K. Green, George White, and James Moore (Ohio State University) and Lamont Flowers (Clemson University), Robert Moses and Omuwale Moses (Algebra Project), Michael Nettles (ETS), Pedro Noguera (New York University), Aisha Ray (Erickson Institute), Hal Smith (National Urban League), Alfred Tatum (University of Illinois, Chicago), and Ron Walker, Rhonda Bryant, and Edward Fergus (Coalition of Schools Educating Boys of Color). All papers—in draft form—are available at the National Summit on Educational Excellence and Opportunity for African American Males.
learning environments, mental health and safety, out-of-school learning time, and partnerships and mentoring.

The recommendations in the paper are presented as discrete actions in designated categories. But the research on the improvement of urban schools and school districts clearly shows that academic gains are rarely realized by pursuing reforms in such separate and disconnected ways. Instead, the evidence shows that schools and districts with the largest academic gains among their African American students pursued the kinds of recommendations put forth in this Blueprint simultaneously to form a coherent strategy for improvement.

Each action step presented in this document—and others—are critical, but it is unlikely that, taken in isolation, any of them could result in better outcomes for the African American males in our urban schools. Instead, it is the combined force of these reforms and how they lock together that is likely to make the biggest difference for our students. Consequently, we are urging a strategic and systemic approach that uses the broadest possible array of action steps presented in this paper.

Still, it is clear from our familiarity with the broad policy strategies and more programmatic tactics that help improve urban schools that a more dedicated and focused series of steps need to be taken to support the attainment of African American males in our urban schools who have not been well served historically.

Many of these specific measures are articulated by the authors whose work undergirds this draft Blueprint. Underneath their proposals are concepts of academic rigor and full and equitable access to it; personal respect and support for our students and the talents, assets, and perspectives they bring to our classrooms; full inclusion and participation in the academic, health, and social-emotional life and benefits of schooling rather than ways to exclude, omit or ignore African American male students; community and parent engagement and buy-in; and adult accountability for results—from the earliest years through graduation and the transition into careers. Together, the authors and this Blueprint derived from their work argue for a fundamental shift in the historic role of schools to shift and sort children for the benefit of some and the detriment of others.

Finally, the paper does not try to lecture anyone else on what they need to be doing to improve conditions for African American males, although there is more than enough work to go around. Instead, the paper is meant to be a broad roadmap for urban schools to follow as they strive to improve the academic attainment of their African American male students. It is both a forum for soliciting input and help from others, and a public commitment to improvement.

We hope that this work and what flows from it will benefit and inform the work of President Obama’s Commission on African American Education and, more importantly, will help galvanize the urban public schools that serve so many African American male students to move urgently on behalf of these valuable young people.
EDUCATION AND SCHOOLING

A. School-based Policies and Programs for Equity and Access

In many cases, schooling in America has not been set up to meet the academic needs of too many African American males and other historically underserved students. In fact, the institution was organized and operated to sort children in ways that matched society’s perceptions of students. If we are to begin addressing the needs of all students, urban schools could start by pursuing the following steps:

1. Articulate in the school district’s mission statement a clear belief that all students, including African American males, are valuable and can achieve at the highest levels.

2. Ensure that African American males have comprehensive access to a core curriculum in their schools, and ensure that curriculum is grounded in rigorous college and career-ready standards for all.

3. Closely monitor instruction for African American males to ensure that the content or rigor of their courses are not being diluted or watered down.

4. Implement early intervention strategies for African American males if data on early-warning indicators suggest that they are falling behind.

5. Review the school district’s instructional policies and programs to ensure that they are comprehensive, systemic, and integrated enough to address the economic, social, emotional, and psychological needs of African American males at both individual and institutional levels. Develop a plan for the evaluation of these policies and practices for their effectiveness with African American males.

6. Pursue aggressive and research-based school turnaround initiatives in schools with large numbers of African American males that have chronically failed their students, and transform them into centers of excellence. Explore special academies for African American males.

7. Encourage and guide African American male students to participate in extended-time academic programs—in school and out-of-school—to strengthen literacy, math, and science skills. Involve families in this effort and encourage their active involvement.

8. Ensure that African American males have full access to positive behavioral supports and interventions, and access to mental health and diagnostic services.

9. Clearly differentiate instructional and behavioral interventions to ensure they are sensitive to the ethnic, racial, and socio-economic differences among African American and Latino males.

10. Review policies and practices to ensure they are not stigmatizing students while they are attempting to provide supports to them. Consider policies and practices at both the individual and institutional levels of change, and at the school and district levels.
11. Develop a strong system of social supports, mentors, and interventions to create an environment for positive interpersonal interactions for African American males.

12. Ensure that parents and guardians of African American male students are actively encouraged and welcome to attend and participate in school and Parent Teacher Organization or Parent Teacher Association (PTO/PTA) activities. Students are less likely to be ignored or mistreated if their parents or guardians are visible regularly in the schools. In addition, parents should have ample choices of excellent educational opportunities for their children.

**B. Early Childhood**

The need for early childhood programming for African American males is profound and overdue. Yet the nation has not seriously confronted or addressed the inequalities and disparities facing some children from their earliest years—inequities that contribute to negative outcomes over a life-time. The research indicates that early childhood programs can produce substantial benefits for students and that urban schools should:

1. Establish high-quality educational and developmentally appropriate preschool and early childhood programs and supports to which African American males have full access to. These programs should have small teacher-to-child ratios, an age-appropriate curriculum that is integrated across subjects, well-trained teachers in child development, and mechanisms for engaging parents or guardians.

2. Set clear goals for the developmental progress of African American children participating in early childhood programs. Monitor student progress, evaluate the results regularly, and follow students as they move up the grades.

3. Ensure that early childhood programs also connect developmentally to kindergarten and first-through third-grade curriculum, address social, emotional, health, nutritional, and physical development needs of children, and use developmentally appropriate assessments.

4. Consider implementation of home visitation efforts, pre-school centers, and pre-school classes in the schools to address the multiple needs of some African American males.

5. Ensure that teachers and aides in early childhood programs serving African American males are early-childhood certified; have adequate training in child development (particularly as it applies to African American males); understand the effects of negative stereotyping and the appropriate use of assessments, and are compensated commensurate with other teachers. Provide mentors in cases where teachers struggle.

6. Make sure that early childhood programming that serves African American males is staffed with appropriately trained teachers, aides, parent-resource personnel, community liaisons, nurses, psychologists, and social workers. (Early grades could use these supports as well.) Staff compensation should be in line with others in the district.

7. Make certain that pre-school programming includes services starting at age two and spanning two years; that parents or guardians have the opportunity to volunteer and participate in program decisions; that there is a curriculum in place that focuses on language and early
literacy and is aligned with the curriculum of later grades, and that these programs offer health screenings, nursing services, and free or reduced price meals.

8. Eliminate the use of out-of-school suspensions to discipline students participating in early childhood programming.

C. Reading, Writing, and Intellectual Development

Critical to the academic success of students, particularly African American males, is the instruction they receive in reading, writing, listening, and speaking. New standards being pursued by many states and local school districts have the potential to improve academic achievement, but urban schools will need to ensure that all students have the academic literacy skills necessary to access rigorous course content. To address the literacy needs of African American male students, the best evidence suggests that we should:

1. Define the content of classroom instruction for African American males (and others) around college and career-ready English-language arts (ELA) standards (and math) at every grade level. Multi-disciplinary texts at every grade level should be appropriately rich and complex for that grade, with instruction that engages students in close and careful reading to draw evidence and meaning from the material.

2. Make sure school and district instructional programs used with African American male students are integrated across subjects; teach sentence and text structure and vocabulary; blend reading and writing; provide differentiation according to student needs, and use appropriate assessments to inform progress.

3. Review the instructional materials used in classes with large numbers of African American males to ensure that those materials prioritize language development from the earliest grades; include content and reading lists that will motivate and engage students to read more; encourage discussion, and build comprehension across subjects. Supplement basal texts if necessary. Do not buy or use materials that do not nurture strong language development among African American male students.

4. Incorporate the findings of the National Reading Panel (2000)\(^2\) in curriculum development, professional development, and classroom instruction, including the importance of providing explicit instruction to build phonemic awareness, fluency, vocabulary acquisition, and comprehension strategies, particularly for African American male students who may be at risk for reading problems. However, avoid overly narrow approaches to literacy development that rely exclusively on phonics and/or “leveled texts,” and programs that have shown little impact, and do not emphasize comprehension, understanding, and broad intellectual development.

5. Use various supports and data to determine if, when, and how to implement ELA interventions with African American male students. Avoid placing African American male students in interventions or remedial classes based solely on test scores or any single criterion.

---

\(^2\) National Reading Panel (2000). *Teaching Children to Read.*
6. Provide principals and teachers who are working with large numbers of African American males with comprehensive professional development on the multiple vital signs of strong literacy development.

7. Ensure that assessment results on reading and writing are used by teachers and other academic staff to inform instructional strategies for African American males, but do not reduce their achievement to the sole measure of test scores.

8. Decline to hire new teachers in urban schools who lack adequate training in reading, writing, and intellectual development to work with African American male students.

9. Develop a defined and ethical research agenda around effective literacy strategies with African American males to better determine what works and doesn’t.

D. Mathematics Achievement

African American males are significantly under-represented in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) fields, and few urban school systems graduate large numbers of students with the skills necessary to pursue postsecondary education and careers in this field. The best evidence on this issue suggests that urban schools should:

1. Define the content of classroom instruction for African American males (and all others) around college and career-ready standards in mathematics at every grade level. Curriculum and texts at every grade level should include strong examples of the most important math concepts and applications that will be studied in depth.

2. Make sure that math programs and materials serving African American male students include experiential projects, observations, investigations, applications of interest to students, and group discussions. Math programs should also include connections to STEM careers, offer tutoring, provide field trips, and offer mentors with strong math backgrounds.

3. Consider using the same high-quality math teacher (i.e., looping) with small groups of African American male students who stay together in 90-minute math classes for four years of high school, and extended learning opportunities to summer math programs to prevent summer learning loss. Also consider the use of teachers who are dedicated solely to math instruction (i.e., differential staffing) with African American males in upper elementary grades.

4. Use multi-tiered systems of support or response-to-invention approaches to determine if, when, and how instructional interventions in math are needed with African American male students. Avoid placing African American male students in interventions or remedial classes based solely on test scores or any single criterion.

5. Monitor the course-taking progress in math of every African American male student to ensure that he is successfully taking a core sequence of math courses throughout the secondary grades, including Advanced Placement math and science courses.
6. Make extensive use of peer-tutoring among African American males to support their mathematics learning and confidence. Also enlist nearby African American college students with strong math skills to serve as tutors and mentors.

7. Provide district leaders, principals, and teachers who are working with large numbers of African American males with comprehensive and regular professional development, common planning time, professional learning communities, and extensive summer math academies to strengthen math-content knowledge, pedagogical techniques and math applications that will engage African American male students (e.g., use of technology, demonstration lessons, co-teaching, and differentiation).

8. Encourage parents and guardians to support their African American sons in their study of mathematics and science. Create math activities for students and their families to help with the transition into high school.

9. Ensure that assessment results in mathematics are used by teachers and other academic staff to inform instructional strategies for African American males, but do not reduce their achievement to the sole measure of test scores.

E. Gifted and Talented Programs

Low participation rates in gifted and talented programs among African American males suggest that school districts need to do a better job of identifying and recruiting exceptional students, ensuring that advanced coursework is broadly available to all students, and supporting the skills necessary for students to be successful in these courses. The research in this area indicates that urban schools should:

1. Routinely collect and analyze disaggregated data on the numbers of African American male students who are enrolled in or placed into gifted, Advanced Placement, and honors classes by type of class and school, compared with the total numbers of African American males districtwide, to ensure that these students are not under-represented. Develop a comprehensive plan for building a pipeline for these students to high-level courses.

2. Remove or modify artificial restrictions to identifying students as eligible for gifted and talented programs. These restrictions may include the use of standardized achievement tests as a sole or major criterion, admission fees, perfect attendance, no behavioral infractions, official transcripts with applications, no grade below C in any course, restricted hours and locations for applying, parent contracts, applications delivered in person, and more.

3. Provide professional development for staff and teachers on how to recognize African American males who could benefit from gifted programs.

4. Establish aggressive efforts to recruit these African American male students into advanced and gifted programs.

5. Evaluate the results of participation by African American males in gifted programs to ensure barriers do not inhibit success.
F. Special Education

Urban public schools often have some of the best special education programs available, but too many African American male students get routed to special education when they have not been adequately taught basic reading and math skills or when there is a behavioral issue that has been mistaken, wittingly or unwittingly, as a disability. The research in this area indicates that urban schools should:

1. Establish explicit and measurable goals for decreasing placement and participation rates of African American males in alternative or special education programs that too often restrict access to high quality core instruction. Be transparent about data that are collected and monitor progress toward goal attainment.

2. Review special education identification rates in your district to determine whether African American males are being over-identified as disabled, particularly in the areas of emotional disturbance and intellectual disability, and if the data shows over-identification, develop a districtwide plan for addressing the issues.

3. Ensure that district accountability procedures address disproportionate placement of African American males in special education or watered down courses. Provide professional development on goals, procedures, and programs.

G. College and Career Readiness

Dropout rates among African American males in too many urban school districts are excessively high. In addition, many students emerge from our schools without the preparation they need to be ready for college or careers. To reverse these trends, urban schools should:

1. Establish priorities and initiatives in the African American community or launch local campaigns to underscore the centrality of education from the earliest years, the importance of staying in school, and the need to prepare financially for college. Establish “promise” type programs like the “Pittsburgh Promise” where college will be paid for by the local community if a student stays in school, graduates, and is accepted to college. Encourage and monitor participation by African American males. Advocate federal support and incentives for such endowments.

2. Have local communities establish specific, measurable goals over five and ten years to raise college admission and graduation rates among students in the community, particularly African American male students. Develop community-wide plans for meeting goals.

3. Encourage African American male participation in various test-prep and test-familiarity efforts in schools so students are ready for and savvy about college-entrance examinations. But, do not interpret the results as the sum total of any student’s knowledge or potential.

4. Encourage local colleges and universities in communities with large numbers of African American students to create and promote incentives to recruit, enroll, support, and graduate large numbers of African American male students. States should reward universities who improve retention and graduation rates for African American students.
5. Require colleges and universities to track college enrollment, degree completion, and degree attainment of African American male students, if they don’t do so already.

6. Have local school systems and urban school systems clarify the skills students will need to be successful in college without remedial work. Provide opportunities for students to earn college credit through university/community college partnerships.

7. Encourage colleges, universities, and others to establish more comprehensive research programs to explore gaps in our knowledge about African American male achievement. Programs researched would include those on promising and effective practices; necessary resources and strategies to overcome barriers; innovative ideas and approaches; ways of overcoming the impediments of weak academic attainment; strategies to attract African American male students back into school or preventing their dropping out, successful programs with other populations and in other countries, and needed family and community supports.

H. Teaching and Effective Learning Communities

One of the ways in which too many African American males are denied full access to high-quality instruction involves the inequitable distribution of teachers in major city and other school systems. The result is that some students are taught by the best teachers, but many with the greatest needs are taught by the weakest. The research indicates that urban school districts should:

1. Provide financial, course-load, and other incentives for the district’s most effective teachers to teach in schools with the highest percentages of struggling students, particularly struggling African American males.

2. Charge professional-learning communities (PLC) in schools with large numbers of African American males with including these students in teachers’ deliberations and planning.

3. Modify the district’s teacher-recruitment strategy to aggressively seek out and recruit African American male teachers who are at the top of their classes academically.

4. Ensure that each school in the district has a parent/family/community partnership in place that involves the African American community in decision-making, and builds outreach, communications, and parent involvement.

5. Ensure that schools in the district have a supportive learning environment that enhances African American students’ sense of success, safety, and value in society.

6. Develop and implement aggressive school attendance efforts targeted at those African American males who don’t attend school regularly each day.

7. Develop self-assessment tool to assist schools/districts in their pursuit of ongoing school improvement. The tools should merge research on effective schools with promising practices for working with boys of color and measure progress in seven core areas – assessment,
parent/family/community partnership, curriculum and instruction, school environment and climate, school leadership, school counseling and guidance, and school organization.

**MENTAL HEALTH, DISCIPLINE, AND SAFETY**

Schools often do not do an adequate job of supporting the social-emotional health of students and providing needed personal supports to students, particularly African American males. Moreover, too many African American males are pushed out of classes because of suspension and disciplinary policies that some have characterized as leading inexorably to the justice system. An emphasis should be placed on creating leaders, not potential prisoners. To address some of these challenges and barriers, research and best practices indicate that urban schools should:

1. Set up a local task force with multiple stakeholders to review suspension and expulsion data on African American males to see if they are differentially punished for the same offenses. Develop short-term steps to ensure that students being suspended are provided the instructional supports they need, so they do not fall behind. In the longer term, hold principals and others accountable for disproportionate suspension rates in their schools.

2. Encourage teachers to make personal and individual connections with each child in their classes, particularly African American males who appear isolated or troubled. Also ensure that teachers have the training they need to provide competent classroom management, use cooperative learning strategies, encourage class discussion and student engagement, and build a sense of personal efficacy and responsibility among students.

3. Organize schools with large numbers of African American males into smaller units, if possible, to encourage more personalized attention. Other strategies like departmental teaming, house-schools, and looping (i.e., the use of the same teachers with a group of students over multiple years) sometimes are also effective in creating more personal attention for students.

4. Monitor school climates to ensure they are positive, supportive, and responsive to students and foster an atmosphere of mutual respect and connectedness. Schools should promote student well-being, respectful communications, social/emotional learning, safe opportunities for students to express themselves constructively, and ways to honor and celebrate student achievement and diversity (i.e., racial, gender, age, disability, sexual orientation, ethnicity and age, language and culture).

5. Help school staff understand the connections between race and discipline patterns in the case of African American males.

6. Revamp school district disciplinary policies to restrict harsh punishments for minor offenses, eliminate zero-tolerance policies that sometimes entrap disproportionate numbers of African American males, and reduce the numbers of out-of-school suspensions. Create alternative disciplinary procedures and response-to-intervention strategies to address behavioral issues.

7. Be proactive in identifying and addressing issues of equity, and don’t be afraid to seek technical assistance or funding from others to support initiatives. Don’t wait until a civil
rights complaint has been filed against the school district before admitting there is a problem or doing anything about it.

8. Develop or adopt and implement positive behavior programs and strategies beginning in the early grades for all students. Strategies could include positive behavior interventions, personal responsibility, victim/offender mediation and reconciliation, anti-bullying, social-emotional learning, and peace making.

9. Create programs that help smooth the transition from elementary school to middle grades and from eighth grade to high school (i.e., bridging programs) for African American males to minimize a sense of disconnectedness at a time when so many students begin to think about dropping out.

10. Provide avenues and opportunities for African American males to talk about their emotions and feelings to help reduce stress and the need to act out. Ensure that counseling and psychological staff are trained on signs of serious emotional and behavior problems, and are able to provide assistance or referrals. Establish mental health screening processes if necessary. Protect counseling staff and other support staff as much as possible during budget cuts.

11. Conduct regular evaluations of school mental health and safety programs, expenditures, and staffing to ensure their effectiveness. Evaluation results should be presented to the school board on a routine basis and accountability mechanisms should be established.

12. Create safe passageways between home and school for African American males living in neighborhoods marked by violence. Work with law enforcement to coordinate efforts.

OUT-OF-SCHOOL LEARNING TIME

Research is quickly demonstrating that programs that extend the school day, school week, and school year are producing significantly better student achievement when these opportunities are structured to build on and enhance what students are learning during the school day. Some of these programs are provided through the schools, but many are offered through community-based organizations with strong links in the African American community. Best practices and experience in these areas indicate that urban schools should:

1. Encourage enrollment of African American males in community-based youth development programs that include physical development, skill building, social and emotional support, positive relations with adults and peers, and study time. Out of school learning should also include decision-making skills, work habits and expectations, leadership development, and time management.

2. Actively enlist the participation of families, parents and guardians in these out-of-school learning programs with their children and youth, particularly during the summer.

3. Enlist schools and community-based organizations in programs or projects that enable African American males to link their aspirations to actual opportunities, and that emphasize
students’ assets, capacities, self-worth, and talents rather than deficits. Collaboration with schools should help create a more seamless network of support for youth.

4. Coordinate academic activities of community groups with the schools so that both reinforce each other.

5. Work with African American male youth to create clear personal goals for their academic, financial, and career aspirations.

6. Work to retain African American male youth in mentoring and community-based programs for at least two years, so they develop connections with adults, peers, and programs.

7. Set up awards programs for African American males who have accomplished goals and attained significant milestones.

8. Create opportunities for African American male students to apply their knowledge outside of school to school-related activities in order to build greater connections to school.

9. Coordinate programs not only with local schools and school districts but with local postsecondary colleges and training programs so African American males have a number of ways to access postsecondary opportunities.

PARTNERSHIPS AND MENTORING

Urban school leaders and others have assembled this paper to lay out a game plan for improving opportunities for African American males, but schools will not be able to address all the challenges successfully on their own. Experience and research indicate that community partnerships and the mentoring of other adults can be critical in the lives of many African American males.

A. Establishing Partnerships

Urban schools should:

1. Perform a basic needs assessment prior to developing any partnership between schools and community organizations to determine what African American male students need in-school and out-of-school that community-based organizations can help fill. This process should define the focus of the partnerships.

2. Review school district policies to ensure that they define the goals, purposes, and priorities of partnerships to work with African American male students; how partners will be selected; what expectations will exist for partnerships; how long the partnerships will last; how conflicts will be resolved; how frequently the parties will meet for planning and coordination purposes; how the partnerships will be evaluated, and how these expectations will be communicated to interested groups.
3. Educate school staff and teachers on the integral need for and benefits of partnerships that work with African American male students, so school personnel don’t view the collaboration as a supplemental “add-on” where participation is optional.

4. Evaluate potential partnerships with community organizations based on their experience in the African American community, their track record with similar school partnerships, their history in implementing programs, their past results over an extended period, their policies and practices related to the vetting of staff and possible abuse of children, and the plans and sources of funding they have to maintain a presence in the schools.

5. Establish a clear set of procedures (either through a Memorandum of Understanding or other means) for how volunteers are placed in or assigned to schools or students to ensure that African American males have equitable access to organizational resources and assistance. Procedures should be clear about the goals of the program; which types of students will be recruited for and served by the program; how recruitment will be executed; when results are expected, how progress will be determined; how the partnership will interact with staff and teachers, and how the program will help meet student needs.

6. Determine who is responsible and accountable for what in the partnership arrangement and what the roles of the partnering organizations are. (Typically, schools would be responsible for access to African American male students, space in the buildings to conduct a program, and other supports, while the community-based organizations would be responsible for program activities that are consistent with their agreement with the schools). Work out who pays for what in the partnership, be they in cash or in-kind payments.

7. Design and provide to school and/or community-based staff the professional development and volunteers necessary for the partnership program to reach its full potential on behalf of African American male students.

8. Assign a staff member from the central office to serve as liaison to community organizations that have a strong presence in the African American community, and can help coordinate and communicate their work on behalf of the district’s students.

9. Cultivate partnership relationships that are productive and enjoyable; that utilize shared decision making, effective communications, and a defined structure, and that actually foster rather than hinder collaboration with community-based organizations serving the African American community.

10. Ensure that partnerships include connections with mental health agencies, nutrition groups, family services organizations, recreation outlets, and the like.

11. Evaluate the partnerships based on the goals set jointly by the school district and community groups for the improvement of the academic performance and social well-being of African American male students. Evaluate intermediate goals as well, and disseminate the results for others to see.
B. Developing and Overseeing Mentoring Programs

Urban schools should:

1. Determine goals for a mentoring program meant to assist African American males either academically or otherwise, and decide whether the mentoring will be one-on-one, group, community or school-based, or some combination of approaches. Ensure that the design of a mentoring program reflects best practices in matching mentors and students based on relevant criteria.

2. Clarify that mentors do not have the roles of tutors or disciplinarians. Mentors need to have a trusting relationship with young African American males. School staff should be informed of what this role is and what it is not.

3. Have the mentorship program target problem and high-risk behaviors (e.g., delinquency or violence, drug use, absenteeism or high suspension rates) exhibited by African American males who may lack responsible male adults in their lives.

4. Recruit responsible African American adult mentors from the community to pair with district students before and after school and on weekends. Set up clear screening procedures and background checks for mentors and professional development to support their relationships with African American male students.

5. Carefully match mentors with young African American mentees based on interests, goals, demographic similarities, interview results, and other factors. Enlist the support and assistance of school administrators, counselors, and social workers in identifying students for mentoring and to coordinate efforts.

6. Mentoring activities with young African American males should include such key activities as exposing them to higher education and career paths, personal relationship building, joint reading and discussion sessions, and sports and recreation.

7. Provide professional development for mentors throughout their relationship with their young African American male charges, and ensure that mentors are in touch with their organizations at least monthly to discuss progress and challenges.

8. Require a mentoring relationship with young African American males of at least 12 months and ideally of three years. Care must be taken to ensure that the relationship does not end with the student thinking that he has been abandoned (again) or that it has ended because of something he did or did not do.

9. Set up special parent training workshops, particularly for single mothers who may be having difficulty with their African American sons, on how to be a positive role model and catalyst in lives of their male children. Other workshops might include relationship building, monitoring, stable home environments, drug prevention, avoiding violence, effective parent-child communications, gang involvement, and the value of staying in school.
FINAL NOTE

This draft Blueprint was developed to encourage a national discussion on the steps that urban schools and others could take to improve their performance with the many African American students that have not been served well in our settings. Other constructive ideas are welcome.

The focus of the paper has been on schools, where young people spend so much of their time, but it does not mean to exclude a broader audience of actors who have a major role to play. They include the justice system, the world of entertainment and sports, higher education, housing and the health-care sector, local/state and federal governments, the private sector and the business community, the philanthropic community, the faith-based community, the news media, and many others.

All will need to be enlisted to ensure that ALL children nationwide inherit the excellence and opportunity that America promises.
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Introduction

In October 2010, the Council of the Great City Schools released a major report on the academic status of African American males, *A Call for Change: The Social and Educational Factors Contributing to the Outcomes of Black Males in Urban Schools*. The report was the first phase of the Council’s efforts to recommit the energies of the nation’s urban public school systems to improving the quality of education for African American males nationwide. The report, along with efforts by other groups and individuals, was instrumental in calling attention to this issue.

In the second phase of the Council’s work, we commissioned a series of solution briefs from some of the nation’s leading scholars and experts to help us think through an effective set of strategies to address the academic needs of African American males. This e-book is a compilation of those papers. The solutions outlined in each paper focus on both educational and nonelectronic strategies, such as expectations and self-esteem, early-childhood programs, college and career readiness, gifted and talented education, mathematics instruction, English language arts instruction, partnerships and mentoring, successful learning communities, out-of-school-time learning, health and safety, and the school-to-prison pipeline.

We do not claim that these solutions are exhaustive or that there are no other issues to be identified and addressed. Still, this compilation is a good beginning, and if taken seriously could move urban public education a long way toward improving the quality of life for these young men. We believe that the papers are thought provoking and constructive, and will guide the initiatives of urban schools going forward.

We extend our heartfelt thanks to each of the authors for their expertise, time, and commitment. And we thank Houghton Mifflin Harcourt for its support and generosity in publishing this work. We hope you find this volume helpful.

Thank you.

Michael Casserly
Executive Director
Council of the Great City Schools
The Vulnerability of Black and Latino Males

The killing of Trayvon Martin, an unarmed black teenager who was shot by a neighborhood-watch coordinator in a gated community in Florida in February 2012, may have accomplished something that numerous policy reports and research studies have failed to achieve: drawing the public’s attention in a sustained manner to the precarious plight of African American males. There is of course tremendous irony here. Although Martin’s admitted killer was eventually arrested and charged, in the summer of 2012 it remained to be seen what would happen when the case is fully investigated and brought to trial. Like Emmett Till, the Black teenager murdered by a mob in Mississippi in 1955 for the crime of looking at a White woman, Trayvon Martin has become a symbol, not because of the hoodie he was wearing the night he died, but because he is representative of a class of people whose status in American society is noteworthy for its vulnerability.

The vulnerability of Black males is particularly evident in education. On all of the indicators of academic achievement, educational attainment, and school success, African American males are distinguished from other segments of the American population by their consistent clustering in categories associated with failure (Schott Foundation 2010). In most schools and districts throughout the United States, African American and, in many cases, Latino males are overrepresented in educational categories typically associated with failure and subpar academic performance. Similarly, on those indicators that are associated with success—enrollment in honors or gifted classes, Advanced Placement courses, matriculation to college, and degree attainment—African American and Latino males are vastly underrepresented. With few exceptions, these dismal patterns are evident in urban, suburban, and rural school districts throughout the United States, even in communities with relatively small minority populations (Majors and Billson 1992). Nationally, African American and Latino males are more likely than any other group to be suspended and expelled from school. (It is worth noting that Trayvon Martin was suspended at the time of his shooting. See Fergus and Noguera 2010, and Gregory, Skiba, and Noguera 2010 for a discussion of racial disparities and school suspensions.) They are also more likely to rank at the bottom on most indicators of academic performance in most subjects.
A decade after the enactment of No Child Left Behind (NCLB) in 2002, dropout rates for African American and Latino males remained well above 50 percent in most American cities. Sadly, this included cities such as New York, Austin, and Miami, where overall graduation rates were rising (Schott Foundation 2010, Fergus and Noguera 2010). In urban, suburban, and rural school districts throughout the country, African American males are more likely to be classified as mentally retarded or to be identified as suffering from a learning disability and placed in special education than any other student group. They are also more likely than other students to be placed in highly restrictive learning environments (Losen and Orfield 2002). Even class and gender privileges, which clearly seem to benefit their White counterparts, do not buffer Black middle-class males from educational hardships. Middle-class Black males consistently lag behind their peers on standardized tests (Jencks and Phillips 1998). Moreover, unlike their White male peers, African American males lag behind Black females in science and math, both with respect to grade point average and on standardized tests (Noguera 2008; Pollard 1995).

Results from a 2009–10 survey by the US Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights show that one in five Black boys and more than one in ten Black girls received an out-of-school suspension, compared with 6 percent of Hispanic boys and 4 percent of Hispanic girls, and 7 percent of White boys and 5 percent of White girls. Additionally, Black and Hispanic students represented more than 70 percent of those involved in school-related arrests or referrals to law enforcement (US Department of Education 2012). Similarly, a 2011 study on suspension patterns among ninth graders in the state of Texas revealed that 83 percent of African American males and 74 percent of Latino males were suspended at least once, and one in seven students was suspended at least eleven times (Council of State Governments 2011).

Although education is the primary focus of this policy series by the Council of the Great City Schools, it is important to recognize that the problems confronting Black males in the United States are not limited to education. As the Trayvon Martin case reminds us, Black males face a wide array of hardships in American society that add to their vulnerability. For many years, Black males have led the nation in homicides and violent assaults, both as victims and perpetrators (Skolnick and Currie 1994; Earls 1991). In what many observers regard as an alarming trend, they are now experiencing the fastest decline in life expectancy (Walsh 2012) and the highest growth rate for suicides (Poussaint and Alexander 2000, p. 22). For the last several years, Black males have been contracting HIV and AIDS at a faster rate than any other segment of the population (Centers for Disease Control 1988, p. 17), and their incarceration, conviction, and arrest rates have been at the top of the charts in most states for some time (Skolnick and Currie 1994, p. 416). They are vulnerable even as babies: Black males have the highest probability of dying in the first year of life (Auerbach, Krimgold, and Lefkowitz 2000). In the labor market, Black males generally have the highest unemployment rates. This is true during periods of prosperity and recession (Bureau of Labor Statistics 2012). Typically, they are the least likely to be hired, and the most likely to experience longterm unemployment (Feagin and Sikes 1994, pp. 33–42).

The situation confronting Black males is particularly critical in the criminal justice system. As legal scholar Michelle Alexander has noted, since the 1970s the United States has pursued a policy of “mass incarceration.” Imprisonment is occurring on a scale that is almost unparalleled in human history (Alexander 2010). As prison populations throughout the United States have increased dramatically over the last thirty years, overwhelmingly, Black men have borne the brunt of the drive to incarcerate. There are now more Black men ensnared by the criminal justice system—in prison, on probation, in county jails, or on parole—than any other racial or ethnic group, and more than all others combined. Of the more than six million persons across the United States held in prison, more than 50 percent are Black men, and in several states, the Black male incarceration rate is substantially higher (Alexander 2010).

Equally troubling is that prisons have literally become a growth industry, and with many prisons now managed by private firms, there are clear financial incentives to sustain mass incarceration. In 1980 there were approximately 220 people incarcerated for every 100,000 Americans. By 2010 the incarceration rate had more than tripled (Gopnik 2012). Today there are more than 700 prisoners for every 100,000 Americans. In almost all states, public funding for prisons has come at the expense of funding for health, transportation, and, most significantly, education. On average, state governments now spend six times as much on prisons as they do on higher education. In New York City, the hub of the prison system is on Rikers Island, located adjacent to LaGuardia Airport. With ten separate jails, a budget of $860 million a year, an inmate population of 14,000, and a staff of 8,500, Rikers Island is one of the largest penal institutions in the world. The overwhelming majority of those held on Rikers Island are Black and Latino males, and of that population, most are between the ages of sixteen and twenty-five. An estimated 90 percent of the youth held at Rikers Island today will be rearrested by the time they are twenty-eight years old.

Given the dire situation confronting African American (and in many cases Latino) males in health, the criminal justice system, and the labor market, it would be a mistake to focus intervention efforts on education alone. Education is clearly an important arena for interventions because there is ample evidence that individuals with higher levels of educational attainment are more likely to be employed, to earn higher salaries, to live longer, healthier lives, and to stay out of prison (Carney 1997). However, even as we focus on addressing the educational needs of African American males, we must recognize that factors that are external to schools, namely parental support, peer influences, housing, crime, and public health, also have an impact on the development and academic success of African American males. Hence, what is needed is an integrated and holistic policy approach that aims at erecting a safety net through a system of buffers and supports, a system that would make success for Black males more likely.

Elsewhere, I have written that although the problems confronting Black and Latino males are stunning in their magnitude and in their dire consequences, it would be a mistake to characterize them as a
“crisis” (Noguera 2008). Indeed, if in fact these problems were recognized as a crisis, we would by now have witnessed an urgent and concerted response. After all, a crisis is by definition a temporary condition. The array of problems confronting Black and Latino males in American society is by no means temporary, and despite several policy reports issued by private foundations, governmental agencies, and community groups, there has in fact been no urgent response to these problems. Rather, throughout American society these patterns have become so common, widespread, and entrenched that a recitation of the dismal statistics no longer generates surprise or even alarm. The Black and Latino male problem has been normalized, and like other unpleasant social conditions—drug trafficking and addiction, homelessness, child abuse—there is a widespread sense that it will always be with us.

However, the killing of Trayvon Martin and the massive amount of media attention it generated may have created an opportunity and an opening for a more constructive approach to addressing the larger set of social and economic problems facing Black males. Perhaps Martin’s death will compel us to realize that new policies must be formulated to respond to the challenges confronting vulnerable populations. The present approach, which could be characterized as reactive, narrow in scope, and too focused on symptoms rather than on the underlying systemic causes, is far too costly and ineffective to be sustained. It is with this hope that I offer the following recommendations.

Addressing the Needs of Black and Latino Males: Policy Recommendations

The following recommendations for restructuring social institutions and redesigning public policy are necessarily framed in general terms—in order to be effective, they would have to be modified to meet the needs of particular communities and regions. Despite their obvious limitations, these recommendations are offered in recognition that actions can be taken now to address the needs of Black and Latino men.

Implement Educational Interventions Early, When Warning Signs Are Present

The longer the educational hardships experienced by Black and Latino boys are ignored, the more difficult it is to address them. In several states and school districts, policymakers have adopted measures to end “social promotion”; however, in most cases this amounts to little more than a requirement that new policies must be formulated to respond to the challenges confronting vulnerable populations. The present approach, which could be characterized as reactive, narrow in scope, and too focused on symptoms rather than on the underlying systemic causes, is far too costly and ineffective to be sustained. It is with this hope that I offer the following recommendations.

Implement Educational Interventions Early, When Warning Signs Are Present

The longer the educational hardships experienced by Black and Latino boys are ignored, the more difficult it is to address them. In several states and school districts, policymakers have adopted measures to end “social promotion”; however, in most cases this amounts to little more than a requirement that new policies must be formulated to respond to the challenges confronting vulnerable populations. The present approach, which could be characterized as reactive, narrow in scope, and too focused on symptoms rather than on the underlying systemic causes, is far too costly and ineffective to be sustained. It is with this hope that I offer the following recommendations.

Instead of merely requiring students to repeat a grade in school, school districts would be better off increasing access to quality early-childhood programs, providing expanded access to extended learning opportunities after school and during the summer, and utilizing targeted interventions to cultivate literacy and bilingualism during the elementary years to ensure that students have the literacy skills required to succeed in secondary school (Shonkoff and Phillips 2000). A vast body of research has shown that these types of initiatives and interventions can be effective in improving academic outcomes for students (Fashola and Slavin 1997; Rothstein 2004; Kirp 2011). There is no reason that such interventions would not also work for Black and Latino boys.

Design Interventions to Be Holistic and Integrated

Policy interventions must be designed in a comprehensive manner in order to respond to the broad range of individual needs—economic, social, psychological, emotional—that impact child development and social welfare. For example, research has shown that efforts to reduce recidivism among recently incarcerated youth must include a focus on their educational and employment needs, so that as young men make the transition to life outside they have access to genuine opportunities that can set them on a new trajectory (Earls 1991). Likewise, discipline policies in school should not only focus on applying appropriate consequences for inappropriate behavior, they must also address the underlying factors that cause this behavior (Noguera 1995). Students who are behind academically, who are experiencing abuse or neglect at home, or who have unmet mental health needs are unlikely to improve their behavior through discipline alone. A study conducted by the Applied Research Center in Oakland, California (2000), shows that a comprehensive approach to school discipline must include strategies to address the causes of behavior problems and a plan to reconnect offending students to the goals and purpose of school.

Additionally, interventions aimed at changing individual behavior should involve efforts to transform the institutions that serve young men so that they become more responsive to their needs. For example, research has shown that serious efforts to increase college enrollment must focus both on the changes that individual students need to make (improved study habits, more proactive help-seeking, etc.), and on the changes that are needed in the structure and climate of educational institutions (greater access to counselors and mentors, access to campus jobs, etc.). Several studies have shown that schools and community-based programs that are successful in positively influencing academic and social outcomes for young men of color employ adults who are well trained and highly skilled, culturally competent, in that they have the ability to build strong relationships with the young men they serve and if necessary transcend differences in race and class differences, and have a high degree of moral authority (McLaughlin 2000). Recruiting adults (particularly men) from diverse backgrounds as teachers, social workers, and directors of afterschool programs, and providing them with training, is essential to the success of schools and programs that serve young men of color (Giraldelli 1994).
Evaluate Interventions Regularly and Modify Them as Necessary
Too often, local communities and school districts adopt programs aimed at addressing a social issue or problem (gang involvement, dropout prevention, youth unemployment) but fail to carry out effective evaluations of these efforts. Similarly, foundations frequently launch funding initiatives in response to a pressing problem and then shift to focus on a different set of issues and problems long before prior initiatives showed even a measure of success. Public and private initiatives are frequently launched without adequate consideration of how the initiatives they support can be sustained. In recent years, several major foundations and local governments have announced initiatives to address the “crisis” confronting young men of color; however, with few exceptions, these efforts have ceased or simply dissipated. Sporadic efforts that are not evaluated or assessed for their effectiveness and that are not accompanied by a plan to sustain programs that prove to be successful will have little impact upon the complex challenges confronting Black and Latino males. Good intentions are not good enough, and without a commitment to sustain and adjust intervention efforts as necessary, there is no reason to expect that they will have a lasting impact.

Be Sensitive to Ethnic, Racial, and Socioeconomic Differences
The challenges confronting Black and Latino men must be differentiated by national origin, class, geographical location, educational level, and age. The most effective interventions will be based upon an intersectional approach that acknowledges the complex interaction between ethnicity, gender, social class, and sexuality. Rather than a “one size fits all” approach, special attention needs to be paid to social context and the ways in which social identities are shaped by the distinctive conditions in a particular milieu. The needs of the most at-risk youth (homeless youth, young people in foster care, and those who have already been incarcerated) are very different from those of the college-bound. Yet both groups require attention and support. Similarly, while many of the hardships African American and Latino youth face are the same, support programs must be sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences. Especially in communities where there are tensions between Blacks and Latinos, it is important not to assume that a single initiative focused on the needs of young men will work for all in need.

Avoid Stigmatizing Those in Need
Rather than designing interventions that are exclusively targeted at Black and Latino males, in many cases it will be beneficial to focus policies based on need rather than race or gender identity. In addition to making reverse-discrimination lawsuits less likely, such an approach will make it less likely that policy interventions will inadvertently contribute to the stigmatization and marginalization of those they were designed to help. For example, if a diverse high school is concerned about the academic performance of its African American males, it would be wise to develop support programs for all students who need help rather than exclusively for Black males. Schools in particular have a long history of devising programs (such as remedial and special-education programs) to help students that, in their implementation, have the opposite effect. In addition to avoiding labels that may create stigma, it is important to ensure that support programs are staffed by highly competent, caring adults.

Consider Both Individual and Institutional/Systemic Levels of Change
A growing body of research has shown that the most successful interventions for supporting students focus both on school-change strategies and providing additional support for individual students (Steinberg 1996). Similarly, interventions that are designed to address social problems like unemployment and underemployment, domestic violence, gang violence, and HIV must focus on both individual behaviors and the need for systemic change. For example, programs aimed at reducing the number of students who drop out of school are unlikely to succeed if they only target at-risk students while ignoring the conditions in school (such as shortage of counselors and lack of academic support) that contribute to high dropout rates.

Create a Context for Supportive Interpersonal Interactions
Several studies of successful intervention programs have shown that changes in the attitudes and behavior of Black and Latino men and boys are most likely to occur if they are carried out within a collective, community-based approach rather than one that focuses exclusively on the individual (Jesús Acosta 2007). Developing communities of support (Smithers and Robinson 2006), peer study groups and community-based “safe havens” (James, Jurich, and Estes 2011), and afterschool programs (Steinberg 1996) have all shown to be effective in reinforcing pro-social behavior and deterring delinquency and other social problems. Research has also shown that a collaborative approach that occurs within a supportive community is more likely to result in the internalization of a new set of attitudes and behaviors (Boykin and Noguera 2011). This is true for health and education-based interventions, and it may also be true for other social issues.

Conclusion
This is only a partial compilation of the types of initiatives and principles that should be used in the development of policy interventions. It is important for others to expand upon these recommendations, to critique them, and to offer new ones based upon further experience and ongoing research. While it is important to recognize that more fundamental changes in law, policy, and the structure of economic opportunities are needed to make lasting, far-reaching changes, it is also necessary to advocate for more limited changes so that we can alleviate some of the hardships facing Black and Latino men and boys.
For those reading these reports, it would be wise to maintain a healthy degree of skepticism toward any policy or program that is held up as a panacea. While action is essential, we must guard against reacting to alarmist announcements, such as those identifying Black males as an “endangered species” (Taylor-Gibbs 1988), which offer little guidance for policy, or to make major investments into programs that have not been carefully studied and assessed. We don’t have the resources to waste on half-baked ideas or untested gimmicks.

For example, in response to the educational challenges confronting Black and Latino males, many communities have created single-sex schools in the hope that these will “save” boys of color (Watson and Smitherman 1996). However, a four-year study conducted by the Metropolitan Center of Urban Education at New York University found that while some of the single-sex schools that have been created over the last few years are quite successful, others are not. Many of these schools have been created without a clear sense of the kinds of instructional supports needed by the male students they serve. They also have not created a learning climate that is conducive to academic success and positive youth development. Not surprisingly, some of these schools are foundering, and the students they serve are not thriving. Clearly, separating boys of color into schools that serve them exclusively is no panacea.

However, there are several schools across the country that are succeeding at educating African American and Latino males. Schools like Urban Prep in Chicago, Imhotep Institute Charter High School in Philadelphia, Eagle Academy for Young Men and the Urban Assembly School for Law and Justice in New York, and Excellence Boys Charter School in Brooklyn, show us that the problem is not who is served within a school but how they are served. Policymakers and educators who have lapsed into blaming the Black male students or, by extension, their parents must learn from the examples of success.

At the same time, we should not be so naive as to lose sight of the fact that children living in communities with high concentrations of poverty are more likely to be affected by asthma and an assortment of other health challenges, and by drugs, gangs, and other social problems, and that these hardships pose formidable challenges to learning and development that schools cannot solve by themselves. The pull of the street and all of its associated dangers is drawing too many young males of color onto the path of delinquency at an early age (Anderson 1990; Majors and Billson 1992). The schools that have demonstrated success in educating Black and Hispanic males show us that these obstacles can be countered. However, consider how much more might be accomplished if educators working closely with parents and community agents were to be supported by policymakers. Together, they could design support systems that work in alignment with schools to meet the needs of disadvantaged children.

Of course, creating support systems for males of color and schools that are successful at addressing their academic and social needs is not easy. If it were, the problems facing Black and Latino males would not be as severe as they are now. We need to address the issues confronting Black and Latino males with a sense of urgency and treat it as an American problem, rather than as a problem that only those who directly experience it should be concerned about. This means drawing on the resources of our entire society in the public and private sectors to respond in a concerted and coordinated manner.

The continued failure of so many young men is costly to the entire society. Every dollar spent to incarcerate a Black or Latino man or boy, to support them during periods of unemployment, to house them when they are homeless and destitute, to police them when there is a lack of safety in the neighborhoods where they reside, to pay for the cost of medical care when they show up with chronic health conditions at hospital emergency rooms, or to support their children because they are unable to provide as fathers, could easily be redirected to address other needs. We need a proactive, preventative strategy, and education must be at the center of it.

Of course, given the current state of American politics, it will be difficult to generate the will to embark upon a new direction. Racial bias, xenophobia, and plain old indifference toward the plight of the poor are not insignificant constraints. Still, those who seek to bring about changes in policy that would benefit Black Latino men and boys must find ways to implement policy interventions across a broad spectrum—school, community, state, and nation.

We can’t afford to wait. To the extent that we allow boys and men of color to remain vulnerable, all of us are endangered. When armed with a different vision of how to address the challenges they face, we can and must begin to construct a new reality now.

Summary of Solutions

1. Implement educational interventions early, based on data showing when warning signs are present. The longer the educational hardships experienced by Black and Latino boys are ignored, the more difficult it is to address them.

2. Couple policies to end “social promotion,” or address students at risk of dropping out of school, with a plan to diagnose the learning needs of these students.

3. Increase access to quality early-childhood programs by providing expanded access to extended learning opportunities after school and during the summer, and utilizing targeted interventions to cultivate literacy and bilingualism during the elementary years, to ensure that students have the literacy skills required to succeed in secondary school.
4. Create policy interventions that are holistic and integrated. Policy interventions must be designed in a comprehensive manner in order to respond to the broad range of individual needs—economic, social, psychological, emotional—that impact child development and social welfare.

5. Create a comprehensive school-discipline policy by including strategies to address the causes of behavior problems and a plan to reconnect offending students to the goals and purpose of school.

6. Ensure that interventions aimed at changing individual student behavior also involve efforts to transform the institutions that serve young men so that they become more responsive to their needs. Changes need to be made by individual students (improved study habits, more proactive help-seeking) as well as with respect to the structure and climate of educational institutions (greater access to counselors and mentors, access to campus jobs).

7. Evaluate policy interventions regularly and make modifications based on new evidence to ensure effectiveness. Include a plan to sustain programs that prove successful.

8. Create policy interventions that are sensitive to ethnic, racial, and socioeconomic differences among different groups of Black and Latino boys. The challenges confronting Black and Latino students must be differentiated by national origin, class, geographical location, educational level, and age. While many of the hardships African American and Latino youth face are the same, programs to support these youth must be sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences. Especially in communities where there are tensions between Blacks and Latinos, it is important not to assume that a single initiative focused on the needs of young men will work for all in need.

9. Design policy interventions to avoid stigmatizing while providing youth with the needed support. Rather than designing interventions that are exclusively targeted at Black and Latino males, in many cases it will be beneficial to focus policies based on need rather than race or gender identity.

10. Include both individual and institutional/systemic levels of change in policy interventions. For example, programs aimed at reducing the number of students who drop out of school are unlikely to succeed if they only target at-risk students while ignoring the conditions in school (shortage of counselors, lack of academic support, etc.) that contribute to high dropout rates.

11. Design policy interventions that identify systems of social support in order to create a context for supportive interpersonal interactions. Successful intervention programs are most likely to occur if they are carried out within a collective, community-based approach rather than one that focuses exclusively on the individual.

12. Advocate for limited changes with an aim toward alleviating some of the hardships facing Black and Latino boys while recognizing that more fundamental changes in law, policy, and the structure of economic opportunities are needed to make lasting, far-reaching changes.

13. Remember that a policy or program that works in one setting may not be easily replicated in another. Review and understand the research associated with programs prior to implementation.

14. Form collaborations with educators, parents, community agents, and policymakers to design support systems that work in alignment with schools to reinforce and meet the needs of disadvantaged children.

15. Address the issues confronting Black and Latino males with a sense of urgency and treat it as an American problem, rather than as a problem that only those who directly experience it should be concerned about. This means drawing on the resources of our entire society in the public and private sectors to respond in a concerted and coordinated manner.

16. Create a national proactive, preventative strategy with education at the center to address the needs of Black and Latino boys.
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**Background**

I learned the value of expectations from my father, Thomas J. Green, who communicated the importance of academic achievement to his nine children. When I was a middle school student, it was clear to me that my older siblings had embraced his expectations. At that time, I had a brother in medical school, a brother pursuing a master’s degree, another pursuing a BA while serving as a US Army
officer, and two sisters in nursing school. In addition to instilling high expectations, my father also provided the resources for success—clothing, books, financial and emotional support, and praise.

Later, as a professor and dean at Michigan State University from 1964 to 1982, I sought to instill in my students high expectations. I had recruited and helped enroll many young African Americans at MSU. I was aware that some faculty members believed these students would not excel. They were wrong.

I took a leave from MSU in 1965–66 to serve as education director for the Southern Christian Leadership Conference under the direction of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Again, I had a mentor who reinforced high expectations. Dr. King expected me to excel as an activist-educator, to engage the public toward promoting educational equity and social justice.

I have tried to meet the expectations of Dr. King, my father, and my family as I have fought for educational equity as a consultant for the NAACP and in more than twenty-five public school districts. In my consulting work and my scholarship, I have devoted much effort to addressing the challenges facing African Americans, particularly Black males.

For example, I recently directed a project that helped teachers in the Dallas Independent School District raise their expectations of students of color. Subsequently, on behalf of the Clark County School District in Nevada, I developed a knowledge-sharing initiative that is enabling elementary school principals to address factors that may later prompt Las Vegas students—most of them children of color—to drop out of school.

Regarding this report, I want to acknowledge George White, who provided research, writing, and editing assistance. I also want to thank Dr. Keven Green for his research and review assistance—particularly for his expertise on expectations and Black male achievement in math and science. In addition, I want to congratulate the Council of the Great City Schools for organizing a knowledge-sharing forum on solutions to the challenges facing Black male students—the most critical issue in public education.

—Robert L. Green, PhD

Introduction

This paper reviews the crisis in public education—the persistent inadequate academic achievement levels of Black males, and the socioeconomic and political ramifications thereof. It also references the value of high expectations as a first step toward educational equity, and documents how innovators in many parts of the country have boosted the self-esteem and achievement levels of Black male students.

In addition, it argues that advocates of educational equity must engage the public to generate the support for solutions in all schools at all levels regarding improving the educational status of Black males.

The Academic Achievement Gap

A variety of studies over the decades have indicated that Black children from poor households are more engaged, have higher expectations, and perform better in elementary school if they attend pre-kindergarten programs such as Head Start. A recent study published by the Center for Public Education (2011) showed that pre-K experiences increased the chances that Black students would achieve higher elementary-school reading levels.

Responding to A Call for Change, a 2010 study on racial and ethnic disparities published by the Council of the Great City Schools (Lewis et al. 2010), Professor Ronald Ferguson, director of the Achievement Gap Initiative at Harvard University, told the New York Times: “There’s accumulating evidence that there are racial differences in what kids experience before the first day of kindergarten…They [those differences] have to do with a lot of sociological and historical forces. In order to address those [differences], we have to be able to have conversations that people are unwilling to have” (Gabriel 2010).

According to the Council’s study, only 12 percent of Black fourth-grade boys in large cities are proficient in reading, compared with 38 percent of White boys in the nation, and only 12 percent of Black eighth-grade boys in large cities are proficient in math, compared with 44 percent of White boys in the nation (Lewis et al. 2010). The data was distilled from the 2009 reading tests from the National Assessment for Educational Progress (NAEP), given to students in fourth and eighth grades. The Council’s report shows that Black boys on average fall behind during their earliest years. Based on my research and observations in education, struggles during the elementary school years often put students on a path to becoming high school dropouts.

Data from the NAEP over the past decade show that despite gains in both math and reading, the academic performance of Black males remains comparatively low. In grade-four math, for example, the average scale score among national public school students for Black males increased nearly twenty points between 2000 and 2011, but the Black-White gap closed only slightly, as White male students’ gains were nearly as large. The Black-White gap results are similar for grade-eight math as well as for grades four and eight reading (National Center for Education Statistics 2010–11).

According to the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), the high school graduation rate of African Americans is the lowest among four major demographic groups. The graduation rates in 2009–10 was 91.8 percent for Asian/Pacific Islanders, 82 percent for Whites, 65.9 percent for Hispanics, 64.8 percent for American Indians, and 63.5 percent for Blacks. NCES dropout-rate data, available through 2009 and based on the percentage of those ages sixteen to twenty-four who are not enrolled in school and have not completed a high school degree, show that dropout rates of Hispanic males, Hispanic females, and Black females have declined since 2006. The data show that the dropout rate of White males has stayed about the same since 2006. On the other hand, after declining between 2000 and 2006, the...
Black male dropout rate rose between 2007 and 2009. To be sure, the best extrapolation of the latest NAEP data on Black male achievement has come from the Council of the Great City Schools. In *A Call for Change*, the Council reports that only 12 percent of Black fourth-grade boys in large city schools are proficient in reading, compared with 38 percent of White boys in the nation, and only 12 percent of Black eighth-grade boys in large city schools are proficient in math, compared with 44 percent of White boys in the nation (Lewis et al. 2010).

**The Income Gap**

There are clear and consistent relationships between the level of education and earnings. US Census Data shows that the average earnings of full-time workers with a bachelor’s degree in 1999 was $52,200—far higher than the $30,400 earned by a high school graduate and more than twice the $23,400 earned by a person without a high school degree.

Extrapolated over a forty-year career, individual differences in earning capacity become even more dramatic. High school graduates earn nearly $1 million less than those with bachelor degrees. Underscoring the value of education even further is that the earning power of those with no high school degree has actually diminished over the past three decades as high-paying, low-skilled manufacturing jobs have declined. In 1971, for example, twenty-four- to thirty-four-year-old male workers with less than a high school education earned a median of $31,039. In contrast, that same worker earned only $19,435 in 2000 (Green 2009a).

**Incarceration Disparities**

According to the US Bureau of Justice Statistics, African Americans accounted for 39.4 percent of the total prison and jail population, but based on the 2010 US Census Bureau statistics, Blacks comprised only 13.6 percent of the US population.

In 2010, Black non-Hispanic males were incarcerated at the rate of 4,347 inmates per 100,000 US residents of the same race and gender. White males were incarcerated at the rate of 678 inmates per 100,000 US residents. Hispanic males were incarcerated at the rate of 1,755 inmates per 100,000 US residents (Bureau of Justice Statistics 2010).

**The Impact of Expectations on Academic Performance**

Scholars have been documenting the relationship between expectations and academic achievement for decades (Green 1987; Berndt and Miller 1990). Much of the research on expectations has focused on African American students—males in particular (Wood, Kaplan, and McLoyd 2007). Achievement Gap Initiative director Ronald Ferguson notably concluded that teachers’ perceptions, expectations, and behaviors help sustain, and perhaps expand, the test score gap between White and Black students (Ferguson 2005).

In the context of education, expectations—simply put—are the standards or the bar we set for others. Expectations are a factor in effort and outcomes. If a teacher holds high expectations, that teacher will seek to have students achieve those standards. If students have high expectations, they will make an effort to meet those standards. Conversely, low expectations discourage effort and achievement.

The impact of standards is explored in the anthology *Expectations in Education: Readings on High Expectations, Effective Teaching, and Student Achievement* (Green 2009b). It includes a contribution from UCLA sociologist Professor Gary Orfield. Orfield’s chapter explores the factor of race-based attitudes in the low expectations of some teachers. “In a nation where 85 percent of teachers are White and mostly suburban and where there are serious problems of racial segregation . . . and stereotyping, it is easy for teachers to misunderstand students and misinterpret behavior and attitudes in ways that lead them to expect too little of some groups of students and tend to interpret their actions and work in ways that reinforce those stereotypes. In the worst cases, the teachers project an attitude of hostility and disparagement toward their students that is all too easy for students to understand and return in kind” (Orfield 2009).

Based on my research and classroom observations, the signs that a teacher has low expectations include the following:

- Lesson plans are poorly prepared or lack rigor.
- Teachers rarely ask specific students questions.
- Teachers don’t insist that homework be turned in on time.
- Teachers don’t correct homework and return it to students in a timely manner.
- Teachers permit students to sleep or demonstrate inattentive behavior in class.
- Teachers accept poor or incorrect answers from students.
- Teachers display angry attitudes toward Black boys.
- There are few students of color in advanced or STEM courses (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics), and an overidentification of students of color in special education classes.
A disproportionate number of students from one racial or ethnic group is suspended or expelled. However, Orfield and other scholars have noted that it is not just suburban teachers or White teachers who bring these low standards and practices into a classroom. For example, Jean Anyon, in a book titled *Ghetto Schooling* (1997), commented that teachers in urban environments, from marginalized communities themselves, often reinforce deficit thinking in their students and have low expectations, give low-level assignments, and speak in ways that are demeaning and demoralizing.

Orfield expanded on the factors involved in expectations by noting that low standards are often the result of teacher inexperience, which is more pervasive in schools in poorer communities: “Teachers get weaker support and more rapidly leave high poverty schools (Hanushek, Kain, and Rivkin, 2004). This is a problem since there is a systematic tendency for the weakest schools to receive many brand new teachers, while teachers with experience and seniority move to schools that have more middle class students…The expectations conveyed to students by an experienced teacher are likely to be based on a more realistic understanding of the capacity of students to grow in achievement and a repertoire of techniques to make it happen. These are expectations rooted in experience and linked to probable outcomes” (Orfield 2009).

Experienced teachers are often more qualified to deal with discipline issues in the classroom. Many studies have documented the alarming disparities in suspensions, expulsions, and placement in special-education classes or schools as they relate to Black students—particularly Black males. Citing the following statistics, the Children’s Defense Fund in 2011 concluded that minority students are often inappropriately labeled as mentally disabled or emotionally disturbed and placed in “special education” coupled with low teacher expectations;

- A Black child is only half as likely as a White child to be placed in a gifted and talented class.
- A Black child is more than one and a half times as likely as a White child to be placed in a class for students with emotional disturbances.
- A Black child is twice as likely as a White child to be placed in a class for students with mental retardation.

In the words of the report: “Many schools contribute to the devastating Cradle to Prison Pipeline. The overrepresentation of poor and minority children in grade retention, out-of-school suspensions and special education coupled with low teacher expectations has contributed to these children’s discouragement, low self-esteem and disengagement from school” (Children’s Defense Fund 2011).

To be sure, in my experience I have observed that expectations in behavioral programs and special-education classrooms are very low. In those classrooms teachers are more concerned with discipline than learning. The federal government has recognized that equitably enforcing school discipline policies is a major issue in education, particularly for Black males. A report (Losen 2011) posted by the Civil Rights Project on its website states the following:

In March of 2010, Secretary of Education Arne Duncan delivered a speech that highlighted racial disparities in school suspension and expulsion and that called for more rigorous civil rights enforcement in education. He suggested that students with disabilities and Black students, especially males, were suspended far more often than their White counterparts. These students, he also noted, were often punished more severely for similar misdeeds. Just months later, in September of 2010, a report analyzing 2006 data collected by the US Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights found that more than 28 percent of Black male middle school students had been suspended at least once. This is nearly three times the 10 percent for White males.

The Department of Education weighed in on this issue again at a Howard University symposium in March 2012—this time making a connection between teacher experiences, discipline, and curriculum standards in this press-release statement:

- Minority students across America face harsher discipline, have less access to rigorous high school curricula, and are more often taught by lower-paid and less experienced teachers, according to the US Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights (OCR). African American students, particularly males, are far more likely to be suspended or expelled from school than their peers. Black students compose 18 percent of the students in the…sample, but were 35 percent of the students suspended once, and 39 percent of the students expelled were African Americans (US Department of Education 2012).

The issue of low expectations is often a subject of discussion in the national news media. A recent *Education Week* article (Sparrow and Sparrow 2012) interviewed Black male students from Washington, DC, and Milwaukee, Wisconsin, about what they thought was standing in the way of their academic success. The students summarized the obstacles in their own words. They placed no blame on the school system or their teachers. Rather, they attributed the problem to society, culture, and themselves, a sign of negative internalization.

Prince, a DC eighth grader, said that “the rap culture” teaches young Black males to live a life on the street and not worry about education. Rasean, another eighth grader in DC, said that Black male students drop out of school because “they think they can’t make it. They think they won’t amount to
anything because they think Whites are going to be higher than Blacks... We think because we are from the ‘hood’ we don’t have a chance to do something with ourselves.”

In my professional research experience, these comments are not representative of all Black youth. For example, in my focus groups with eighth-grade Black males in the Dallas Independent School District and the Hamilton County School District in Tennessee, the students were critical of the role the school plays in creating both low and high grades and high and low self-esteem. To be sure, many scholars have concluded that expectations for student performance and student culture are set, in large part, by the adults in the school (Thernstrom and Thernstrom 2003).

As this report reveals, there are solutions to the low self-esteem, low expectations, and low academic achievement of Black male students. From a private school in Piney Woods, Mississippi, to charter schools in Chicago and Boston, to public school academies in New York, to traditional public schools in Las Vegas, Madison, Wisconsin, Prince George’s County, Maryland, and Houston, educators are raising the expectations of teachers and Black male students and providing resources to help these students achieve higher academic standards. However, this report notes that raising expectations in schools is not a panacea. It is only a first step.

Another step is to engage public schools and communities nationwide to generate support for the resources that will provide the conditions and environment conducive to higher achievement and retention. For example, considering poverty and the educational levels of their parents, it is clear that most Black students enter the public school system vastly behind middle-class White and Asian children in terms of knowledge and skills. Thus it is important that we address expectations within the context of preschool disparities.

Addressing the Needs of Black Male Youth

The CGCS report A Call for Change (Lewis et al. 2010) prompted new discussions on how to address the problem related to the outcomes of Black males. One of the recommendations in the report is to “marshal the energies and commitment of national and local organizations with an interest and stake in seeing improvement to coordinate their efforts on behalf of Black male youth.” A number of organizations have taken on this challenge and are working tirelessly to provide solutions.

For example, Communities In Schools, the nation’s largest dropout-prevention organization, posted a number of responses on its website. Communities In Schools is a nationwide network of professionals working in public schools to surround students with a community of support, empowering them to stay in school and achieve in life. It operates in twenty-five states and the District of Columbia, serving the most vulnerable students in the most dropout-prone school districts, nearly 1.55 million young people in more than three thousand schools.

The organization is actively engaged with policymakers, school staff, parents, and business partners to ensure that Communities In Schools’ services are extended to as many K–12 students as possible and that those students have access to college. The organization noted in a 2012 posting that the early warning signs of high school failure can be identified. “When we think about the barriers that prevent a student from achieving academic success, we can point to easily apparent signs of trouble... a child... wearing the same clothes every day or falling asleep in class... External cues like these tip people off to students in distress” (Communities in Schools 2012).

If we expect principals and teachers to identify these cues and do something about them, they must be provided with training and/or knowledge-pool resources. In the wake of my knowledge-sharing partnership with the Clark County School District in Las Vegas, we determined that educators can be empowered with knowledge that will enable them to raise their expectations about their ability to address factors that later prompt students to drop out of school.

Early Warning Signs of Potential Dropouts

In my decades of research and classroom observations in Las Vegas and more than twenty-five other school districts, I have identified important early warning signs that indicate if an elementary school student will likely become a high school dropout. I listed those indicators on a survey form and, with the support of my research team, I identified the best responses and produced a best-practices report that the school leaders distributed to educators in Clark County as a guide, which is part of the district’s efforts to improve graduation rates (Green, White, and Ransaw 2012).

These best-practices responses were provided by elementary school principals at selected West Las Vegas schools, administrators who are on the front lines of the battle for academic achievement in Clark County. Many of these schools have some of the poorest and most segregated student populations and have been the most academically challenged in the district.

By supporting this study, the Clark County School District has created a new approach to engendering academic achievement in its most challenged region. It acknowledges that collectively, nine school principals know more than any individual principal. This report advances the notion that knowledge sharing is an effective way to address urban education issues. It is an approach supported by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.

The early warning signs and the nine principals’ responses to those indicators can be grouped into the following categories: academic performance, student and parent participation and involvement, home
and neighborhood environment, difficult behavior and discipline, dangerous and negative motivators, poverty, grade failure, and expectations. The principals created initiatives and practices, based on these data, that have engaged parents, addressed high-impact factors such as poverty and hunger, created school-wide standards of behavior, helped students become knowledge-sharing leaders for their younger peers, and raised academic expectations.

**Identifying Expectations in Curriculum and Academic Achievement**

In addition to dropout prevention, Communities In Schools focuses on expectations: “The first step in breaking down the barrier of low expectations is helping students discover and believe in their own potential. If we can help convince young Black men—and all students at risk of academic failure—that they can succeed and have choices, it won’t be long before their resignation turns to anticipation of a brighter future, and the cycle of low expectations is broken” (Communities In Schools 2012).

The organization, which has operated for more than three decades, understands the connection between a sense of history and expectations. Consider its perspective on Black History Month: “The truest way to honor the famous figures in Black history is to close the achievement gap and help today’s students succeed. If we take what we learned this month and apply it to every day of the year, we can change expectations. We can help more students earn post-secondary degrees, achieve bright futures and make history” (Communities in Schools 2012).

As an activist-scholar who worked with Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. and other leaders of the historic civil rights movement, I can attest to the inspirational value of having an awareness of Black history and culture. Many studies have documented the relationship between culturally relevant curriculum and expectations. In a case study by Landsman and Lewis (2006), students expressed how they enjoyed learning about different cultures, including their own. “They appreciated when their teachers taught their history lessons about notable African Americans and when they read books written by Black authors.” Numerous publications (Kochman 1981; Murrell 2002; Jones and Bush 2005; King 2008; Kunjufu 1988; Ogbaru 2004) detail the value of culturally relevant content in education. My *Expectations in Education* anthology also included research reports that cited the value of cultural relevance as it relates to Black students and subjects such as math and science. Consider the findings of Dr. Kevin K. Green (2007):

> We need to promote achievement and honor minority students who achieve, especially among males if we want them to succeed. The students who typically fall at the bottom in math are Black males. So when we find Black males who do well in math, we should honor them and allow them to understand that there is a payoff to being a good math student and those payoffs can be tremendous. In the curriculum we need to highlight Black scientists and mathematicians who teach math in high schools, colleges, and universities throughout the nation. It is not enough to focus on them during Black History Month; the curriculum should be infused with Black and Hispanic role models who are achievers. The faces of Black scientists and Black faculty who teach math at colleges and universities throughout the country should be visible throughout the curriculum.

Indeed, Black models of success can and should be noted from time to time in classes with a large percentage of Black males. There can and should be references to nationally recognized leaders of African descent—from President Barack Obama to Black achievers in business, science, and academics.

It’s clear that Black male youth idolize and, in many cases, seek to emulate successful Black athletes. Can that interest in championships and trophies be transferred to high expectations at the academic level? Yes, says Henry Johnson Pruitt, an educator who has served as a middle school science teacher, principal of both public and charter schools, university professor, and former president of the Teaneck (NJ) Board of Education. As a member of the board of directors of the Educational Testing Service (ETS), Pruitt participated in the “Positioning Young Black Boys for Educational Success” forum, a partnership with the Children’s Defense Fund, in June 2011. Teachers, he suggests, need to engage Black students on their high expectations in the sports arena and transfer it to academics “in more clever and engaging” ways. “Can’t we improve on a piece of paper for the valedictorian?” he said at the forum. Invoking the athletic work ethic, he suggested the following: “Smart is not something that you are, smart is something you can get” (Educational Testing Service 2011).

**Raising Teacher Expectations of Students**

The campaign to raise expectations must begin on the front lines—with teachers. The Dallas Independent School District created a model for measuring and improving teacher expectations by commissioning surveys and workshops in October 2010. I led the research team that developed and managed the project. We began by having teachers complete a survey that measured their feelings and attitudes on a range of variables related to successful student achievement: curriculum, principal leadership, impact of student background, and expectations, to name a few. The following were among the questions on the form:

- What percent of the pupils in your class do you expect to be at grade level at the end of the school year?
- What percent of the pupils in your class do you expect to be at grade level in mathematics at the end of the school year?
- What proportion of the pupils in your class do you expect to graduate from high school?
My team subsequently conducted six workshops over a three-day period. During the workshops, I defined expectations, emphasizing that expectations are a belief system. I also provided instruction on how to create circumstances and conditions that enable students to meet those higher expectations. For example, I encouraged teachers to (1) give underachieving students more opportunities to respond to questions and (2) provide verbal praise when they responded correctly. In addition, we stressed the importance of excellent teaching. When we completed the workshop, the teachers were asked to complete a survey on attitudes. An outside consultant—Dr. John H. Schweitzer, professor at the Center for Community and Economic Development at Michigan State University—evaluated the responses and produced a February 2011 report with the following conclusion: “The workshops conducted by Dr. Green and his associates were highly effective. Changes were measured for nine variables that the literature indicates are related to student achievement. Movement in the positive direction was found for eight of these variables, and all but one of the positive changes was strongly statistically significant.”

Some of the most important expectations-raising exercises in schools are actually the give-and-take between teachers and the class—specifically, question-and-answer exchanges. Before we turn to best practices, however, it’s important to understand the principles that guide expectations (Green 2009a).

**Guiding Principles on Expectations**

Effective teachers not only have high expectations, but also set clear standards of attainable academic and behavioral performance and hold students to them. Students must know precisely what is expected of them and why it is expected and believe that they can meet those expectations. As much as possible, they should be empowered and have played a part in setting those standards. Students should see standards upheld and know specific consequences relate to each standard. Standards should be consistent and equally applied to all students, but teachers must be reasonable with respect to enforcement when conditions warrant. Good behavior should receive positive reinforcement.

Effective teachers set high expectations and standards for all students and they will meet the challenge. We can most efficiently raise expectations by adopting the best from public and private schools. In my experience, the most exemplary example in private schooling is the Piney Woods School in Mississippi, a beacon of Black achievement in high school education for more than one hundred years. It’s a long tradition kept alive by Dr. Reginald Nichols, the former Piney Woods president. Using my instructional monographs on expectations, he presides over workshops that train teachers in August and January traditions kept alive by Dr. Reginald Nichols, the former Piney Woods president. Using my instructional monographs on expectations, he presides over workshops that train teachers in August and January each year. During a recent chat, he explained the value of such training in this statement: “I think it’s important to reinforce the understanding that teachers are investing in the lives of students. Expectations are investments of hope.” Those investments are paying off at Piney Woods. In 2011, 95 percent of its Black male graduates moved on to college.

Dr. Jawanza Kunjufu, founder of Chicago’s Urban Prep charter school, made national news in 2010 when he announced that 100 percent of his Black male seniors had enrolled in college (Dwyer 2010). Dr. Kunjufu, too, emphasizes the importance of teacher expectations. In an interview in the *Journal of African American Males in Education* (Johnson 2011), he explained his approach, which includes “raising teacher expectations, increasing time on task, understanding that children have different learning styles, [and] making curriculum more culturally relevant.”

**Establish high expectations and standards for all students and they will meet the challenge.**
Case Studies of Schoolsaising Expectations
At Kermit R. Booker Sr. Elementary School in Las Vegas, former principal Beverly Mathis believed that when the expectations of teachers were raised, teachers could tend to the major task of raising the standards of students. In 2000, Dr. Mathis won a Milken Educator Award, a leadership citation bestowed by The Milken Family Foundation, which reviews candidates submitted by independent blue-ribbon commissions established by state education departments nationwide. In recognizing Dr. Mathis, the foundation said: ‘Following the motto “It takes a village to raise a child,” principal Dr. Beverly Mathis has transformed Kermit R. Booker Sr. Elementary School in Las Vegas into a place of strong community, high expectations, and improved student achievement. Dr. Mathis implemented the Gents and Lads Program, which calls upon community members to provide strong male role models to Booker’s young African American male students. She has boosted student test scores in part by ensuring that the curriculum is aligned with district frameworks” (Milken Family Foundation 2000).

Dr. Mathis retired as principal of Booker in 2011 after sixteen years, and now serves as a consultant to the Clark County School District in Las Vegas. Situated in one of the city’s poorer committees and with a student body that is about 82 percent Black, Booker received passing grades in English, math, and other ranking areas from the US Department of Education’s No Child Left Behind rating system for the 2010–11 school year, Dr. Mathis’s final year as principal.

Booker is only one of a number of schools that are experiencing success by stressing high expectations. Excellence Boys Charter School, located in Brooklyn’s Bedford-Stuyvesant community, is another success story. At Excellence, which currently serves 395 boys in grades K–8, teachers refer to students as “scholars,” homerooms bear university names, and the name of the school itself—“Excellence”—instills high expectations. Jabali Sawicki, principal of the Excellence school, explains the school’s approach: “Excellence Boys has unapologetically high expectations for each of our scholars for both academics and behavior. We cultivate in our young men the knowledge, skills, and character necessary to succeed academically, embrace responsibility, and become honorable citizens and courageous leaders. In 2009, grade four, 90 percent of students passed reading exams at Advanced or Proficient levels; in grade five, 100 percent of students passed math exams at Advanced and Proficient levels—placing Excellence among the top schools in New York City” (Educational Testing Service 2011).

The staff at Sherman Middle School in Wisconsin is dedicated to developing curriculum that actively involves the young adolescent learner and engages every student in applying their knowledge and skills toward topics and issues that are based on curriculum standards (expectations) and take into consideration the cultures of students. Sherman Middle School is a comprehensive school reform (CSR) site that is influenced by Turning Points, a national design model for middle school change coordinated by the Boston-based Center for Collaborative Education. The Turning Points approach includes an emphasis on instructional methods designed to prepare all students to achieve high standards and become lifelong learners. Sherman operates on principles and practices requiring commitments from staff and parents as well as students. Teachers are expected to “organize relationships for learning” and use “instructional methods designed to prepare all students.” The staff is also expected to “involve parents and the community in supporting learning and teach a curriculum grounded in [high] standards” (Madison Metropolitan School District). Based on a couple of years of promising data, sixth graders who enter Sherman can anticipate significant improvements in their reading ability. For example, between fall 2009 and fall 2010, nearly half of the sixth-grade class gained more than two years in reading ability, and about a dozen had recorded some eye-popping gains, moving from first- or second-grade levels to sixth- or seventh-grade levels and beyond. For Black students, the gains were particularly impressive, with some formerly struggling readers gaining three, four, or five grade levels in a year. There have also been improvements in state testing scores, with Sherman’s Black seventh graders posting an average improvement of thirty points on the standardized reading test after their first year at Sherman.

The Eagle Academy Foundation in New York City, launched in the Bronx in 2004 to address the failure and dropout rates of Black boys, now has middle and high schools in Brooklyn and Queens. A fourth school was scheduled to open in Newark, New Jersey, in the fall of 2012. The Eagle Academy schools are often cited as model venues of Black male academic achievement. The academy states that “academic rigor and high expectations flow beyond the traditional school hours to the mandatory extended-day and Saturday Institute programs, which include workshops for parents, life-skills training and remedial services for our students. Rituals establish expectations shared by students, teachers, parents, and school administrators and include school uniforms, daily town hall meetings, and athletics” (Eagle Academy Foundation).

At Eagle Academy’s Ocean Hill/Brownsville, Brooklyn, middle school, 84 percent of eighth graders are reading at or above grade level—up from 17 percent when they first entered the Academy as sixth graders. Twenty-five percent of all eighth graders have passed all three New York State Regents Exams, and 70 percent have passed at least one Regents Exam. New York State requires high school graduates to pass five exams in order to graduate from high school with the prestigious Regents Diploma. At the high school level, 85 percent of seniors at the Eagle Academy for Young Men in the Bronx graduated in 2010, more than twice the citywide graduation rate for African American males that year. Ninety percent of Eagle Academy graduates go on to attend four-year colleges and universities.

Eleanor Roosevelt High School in Prince George’s County has a stated commitment to having all of its nearly twenty-eight hundred students ready for college by the time they graduate. About 67 percent of students are African American. The high school divides students into smaller learning communities, such as academies for science and technology, health and human services, and business and law. The
College Board last year recognized Roosevelt as one of the nation’s best high schools at producing successful Black AP test-takers, citing 2010 high test-scores in biology, chemistry, English language and composition, and English literature and composition. The College Board honored Roosevelt High in 2009 for having more Black students earn a “5” or higher on AP English, biology, and chemistry exams than any school in the nation. A report posted on the website of the College Board, the company that administers the AP and SAT tests, says students entering the school “know what they’re getting into...High expectations are described to students and families, and big dreams are encouraged” (College Board 2012). Roosevelt has received numerous awards throughout its thirty-four year history, including being twice awarded the US Department of Education’s National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence.

On a more practical level, “to instill a culture of high expectations and college access for all students, we started by setting clear expectations for school leadership,” Fryer explained in a recent report. “Schools were provided with a rubric for the school and classroom environment and were expected to implement school-parent-student contracts. Specific student performance goals were set for each school and the principal was held accountable for these goals” (Fryer 2011).

Eleven Houston elementary schools were included in the Apollo program during the 2011–12 academic year. Results from the original nine—middle schools and high schools—have been tabulated, and they are dramatic. The Apollo schools saw major improvements in school culture, with higher attendance rates and a safer learning environment. In addition, every sixth- and ninth-grade student received sixty to eighty minutes of intensive math tutoring. The program’s annual review by Harvard researchers determined that Apollo 20 schools improved their achievement in math by 0.276 standard deviations. In 2011, 82 percent of students at Lee High School passed the state standardized test in mathematics, up from 67 percent just one year earlier. “These results provide the first proof point that charter school practices can be used systematically in previously unsuccessful traditional public schools to significantly increase student achievement in ways similar to the many successful ‘No Excuses’ charter schools” (Fryer 2011).

HISD School Superintendent Terry B. Grier cited the academic improvements at the Apollo 20 schools during his March 1, 2012, State of the Schools address (Houston Independent School District 2012). He noted, however, that while the HISD has tried to leverage resources to raise standards and results at the Apollo 20 schools, the Texas legislature has cut funding to Houston Schools by about $120 million in a two-year period. Those cuts have left HISD with 835 fewer teachers this school year and forced students to go without field trips, school nurses, librarians, art teachers, and PE coaches.

Raising Public Expectations and Support

Expectations are important, but schools must also have the resources to create the conditions to enable students to reach high standards. Public funding is important. The Education Trust, which promotes academic achievement, frames the challenge in this recent post on its website.

Research and common sense tell us that schools need more resources to help the low-income students and students of color who have less outside of school achieve at the same high levels as their more affluent peers. But at the federal, state, and local levels, we actually spend less on the schools serving the highest concentrations of these students...
In many states, school districts that serve the highest concentrations of low-income and minority students receive less in state and local funding per pupil than districts serving affluent and white students. Nationally, the districts that serve the largest concentrations of students of color receive an average of $1,100 less per student in state and local funds… What’s more, even in some states that drive money to high-poverty districts, those dollars may not actually get to the highest poverty schools within the district because of differences in how teachers are paid. Simply put, the highest paid teachers generally are not teaching in the schools where they’re most needed.

Closing these funding gaps is critical if we are to live up to our national ideal of providing all children with equal opportunities to become educated citizens (Education Trust 2009).

To be sure, if we are to generate the resources necessary to increase Black male academic achievement, we must convince the public to increase its expectations of schools and motivate citizens to demand change. This can only be done by engaging the public via local and national media.

The Council of the Great City Schools has also recognized the importance of generating public support through the media. For example, in a 2011 press release the council announced that it had received a grant of $4.6 million from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. The three-year grant is aimed at promoting and coordinating successful implementation of the new kindergarten-to-twelfth-grade common core standards in English language arts and mathematics in big-city public school systems nationwide. “We’re about to undergo a sea change in instituting new academic standards,” Council Executive Director Michael Casserly said in the release. “Common core standards are necessary to make real the promise of American public education to expect the best of all our schoolchildren” (Council of the Great City Schools, 2011).

The grant will contribute to strategic communications, capacity building, curriculum and assessment alignments, professional development, pilot testing, and a host of other measures needed to provide strategies, tools, advice, and counsel to the nation’s urban school districts as they prepare to implement the state-led common core standards. Externally, the Council will also develop materials that will build public awareness to engage communities. This will include development of public service announcements for broadcast, cable, and social media outlets to educate the public and communities to the need and importance of common core standards.

Media initiatives by national organizations such as the Council and campaigns by media advocates are very important. However, most of us are fighting educational equity for Black males on a local level. The Civic Index for Quality Public Education understands the need to inform the public on local levels. It provides resources to help community-based organizations support local public education. The Civic Index makes the case for engaging local media on education issues, to raise the expectations and the understanding of journalists. This is an excerpt from its Guide to the Media: “As you explore the ideas presented in this material, you will find new ways to improve and encourage (“media coverage”) of education issues. Though media coverage of educational issues can be positive or negative, in either form it is a powerful way inform and educate the public about issues that affect the public’s view of schools” (Civic Index for Quality Public Education 2008).

Raising the expectations of students and teachers is a first step toward promoting Black male academic achievement. As noted in this report, my experience and research indicates that parents, too, must be engaged and that school administrators must be champions of equity and achievement. Other contributors will offer additional solutions. However, in the end we must take the additional step of raising the consciousness of the public so that local resources are brought to bear on reforming our schools to create the high expectations that will raise achievement at all levels of public education.

**Summary of Solutions**

I. **Teachers should…**

1. Create lesson plans that focus on academic rigor and raise expectations for Black male students.
2. Ensure that all Black male students participate in class. If necessary, ask specific students questions.
3. Insist that homework be turned in on time and correct and return it to students in a timely manner.
4. Refuse to accept poor or incorrect answers from students.
5. Reinforce positive behavior and provide verbal praise when students respond correctly.
6. Be respectful of all students and avoid speaking to students in ways that are demeaning and demoralizing.
7. Create multiple opportunities for Black males to be successful.
8. Do not allow students to sleep or demonstrate inattentive behavior in class.
9. Fairly enforce the district’s discipline policy equally across all student groups.
10. Monitor the treatment of Black male students to ensure that a disproportionate number are not suspended or expelled from class or unfairly singled out for other disciplinary infractions.
11. Apply standards consistently and equally across all student groups, recognizing that, at times, modifications may be necessary.
   a. All students can and should participate in class activities.
   b. Students should be empowered and play a part in setting standards.
   c. Students should see standards upheld and know specific consequences related to each standard.
   d. Students must know precisely what is expected of them, why it is expected and believe they can meet those expectations.

12. Encourage Black students to channel their high expectations in the sports arena into academic areas in clever and engaging ways by invoking the athletic work ethic, “Smart is not something that you are, smart is something you can get.”

II. District leaders (Superintendents/Principals/Curriculum Leaders) should…

13. Create programs/activities that help Black males develop strong self-esteem.

14. Create programs to help teachers better understand Black male students in order to avoid misinterpreting their actions and to reduce stereotyping.

15. Ensure that experienced teachers are placed equally in all schools.

16. Monitor the number and percentage of Black male students that are enrolled in specific courses/activities to ensure that they are proportionately and fairly represented. Examples include enrollment in:
   a. Advanced courses (Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate (IB), honors, etc.)
   b. Extracurricular activities focused on academic engagement
   c. Special education classes
   d. Gifted and talented classes

17. Monitor the number and percentage of Black male students that are suspended/expelled from school.

18. Ensure that district policies regarding discipline are fairly enforced and create professional development opportunities for staff when it appears that disparities exist.

19. Create opportunities for Black males to see others like them in successful roles.

20. Provide professional development for teachers so that they recognize the signs of Black male students in trouble and help them to identify the appropriate support.

21. Create opportunities for teachers and other staff to address their feelings and perceptions about Black males. Examples of probing questions:
   a. What percent of the pupils in your class do you expect to be reading at grade level at the end of the school year?
   b. What percent of the pupils in your class do you expect to be at grade level in mathematics at the end of the school year?
   c. What proportion of the pupils in your class do you expect to graduate from high school?

22. Create opportunities for community members to become strong role models to Black male students.

23. Require performance commitments from students, staff, and parents.

24. Provide multiple opportunities for Black male students to participate in positive support programs—extended school day, tutoring, mentoring, college tours, etc.

25. Help Black male students develop post-high school plans and help them understand what is necessary for them to meet those goals.

26. Set high standards and inform students and their parents of those standards. Examples include the following expectations:
   a. 100% of students performing on or above grade level
   b. 100% of students taking at least one college-level course
   c. 100% graduation rate
   d. 95% attendance rate for students and staff
   e. 100% of students accepted to a four-year college or university
27. Develop materials that will build public awareness to engage communities. This will include development of public service announcements for broadcast, cable, and social media outlets to educate the public and communities about the need and importance of common core standards.
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Early-Childhood Education and Young Black Boys: A National Crisis and Proven Strategies to Address It

Problem Statement: The Achievement Gap and Black Boys

American education promises fairness and equity for children regardless of characteristics or circumstances. An “even playing field” is supposed to provide the context in which every child has a chance to achieve through effort, study, and perseverance. The cultural currency of American education has been individual effort, hard work, and equal opportunity. Despite these powerful cultural messages, American schools have failed to deliver on this promise, especially in educating Black boys, other children of color, and children from economically disadvantaged families. While in general Black boys are intellectually capable learners able to achieve at the highest academic level, in too many US public schools their intellectual potential may be untapped, undervalued, and derailed.

Educational research on instructional practices, urban education, educational policy, and school reform often cast Black educational achievement in terms of deficits, such as insufficient vocabulary, parents who do not value education, and behavioral problems. This perspective situates the problem of Black boys’ educational success in children and their families, rather than in structural disparities and inequality that significantly threaten their development.

On average, Black children, compared to their White peers, are not achieving in almost every major urban school system (Murrell 2002), and achievement differences emerge prior to kindergarten (Shonkoff and Phillips 2000). Poverty is a significant factor in the educational achievement gap experienced by Black children. In the United States, one-fifth of all young children and two-fifths of young Black children live in poverty. At nine months, Black children in poverty are behind their economically advantaged peers in cognitive development, and the gap widens by twenty-four months. By age four, low-income children are eighteen months behind their affluent peers, and this gap continues into high school (Klein and Knitzer 2007). Economically impoverished Black boys who enter school behind their more affluent peers may never catch up and may never achieve the skills necessary for successful employment that will help them escape poverty. Research (Wirt et al. 2004) has indicated that lower mean reading and math achievement-score gaps in kindergarten may widen by the end of third grade. In addition, Black boys in comparison to White boys are more likely to be suspended and expelled from school (including preschool), to be placed in special-education classrooms, to miss more days of school, and are less likely to be invited into gifted classes. Smith (2004) found that while Black boys were 8.6 percent of all students enrolled in public schools in 2000 to 2001, they were 21 percent and 20 percent of those...
classified as emotionally disturbed and mentally retarded, respectively, 15 percent of those placed in special education classes, and 12 percent of those identified with a specific learning disability. Further, Smith states, “Twice as many black boys are in special education than black girls, a fact that rules out heredity and home environment as primary causes and highlights school factors.”

Many factors have been used to explain the relative poorer educational outcomes of Black children and the poor—teacher education (Sleeter 2001), low teacher expectations (Gay 2004), limited exposure to “school” language and discourse patterns (Heath 1983, Delphit 1995), negative stereotypes internalized by children (Steele 1999), parent/guardian/family involvement (Comer 1986, Epstein 1992; US Department of Education 2001); need for multicultural education (Banks 1996, Gay 2004); and school curriculum (Apple 1990). In addition, the education of boys in general may be compromised by the failure of schools and educators to understand male development, male educational needs (Sprung, Froschl, and Gropper 2010), and especially the development of Black males (Ferguson 2001). But despite these explanations, the achievement gap between economically privileged and low-income children persists and is pervasive.

Science of Early-Childhood Development: Stress and Neurological Development

The foundation for addressing the educational crisis of Black boys must be constructed during early childhood, when the antecedents of this crisis emerge. It is during early childhood that society has the opportunity to lay the groundwork for optimal development for every child, yet we fail to do so despite robust evidence that critical neurological, cognitive, social, emotional, linguistic, and physical development occurs between birth and age eight. Too many American children, including Black boys, are reared in conditions that threaten their development and put their educational achievement at risk. On numerous major developmental and educational indicators of child well-being, Black boys are threatened: for example, infant mortality, low birth weight, foster-care placement, chronic poverty, “apartheid” schools, poor nutrition, grade retention, school suspensions, single parenting, incarcerated parents, school achievement, and exposure to violence (Children’s Defense Fund 2011). In addition, young Black children are more likely than their White peers to be affected by four factors significantly associated with profound developmental risk, especially neurological development, namely: (1) growing up in long-term poverty (five years or more); (2) growing up in deep poverty (150 percent of the poverty level); (3) exposure to potential trauma and multiple stressors (e.g., violence, abuse); and (4) growing up in dangerous, impoverished, and racially isolated communities that are underserved by social support programs and other resources that might help to ameliorate the effects of extreme poverty, social isolation, and trauma. These ecological factors can affect the quality of care young children receive, including maternal stress, responsiveness, and depression (Shonllof and Phillips 2000).

Neurological development is a lifelong process, but from the neonatal period through age eight the brain is especially plastic and responsive to environmental factors. In early childhood, neural circuitry evolves from the simple to the complex, and ultimately supports cognitive and emotional functions throughout childhood and adulthood. The quality of Black boys’ early caregiving environment plays a significant role in neural development through providing adequate nutrition, cognitive stimulation, and emotional attachment, warmth, and responsiveness. Human infants are totally dependent on caregivers and can only develop successfully if an adult is devoted to their well-being. Caregivers’ capacities to engage consistently and predictably in ways that support loving attachments in a mutually rewarding relationship are significant factors in optimal child outcomes. Research (Blair et al. 2011, Shonkoff and Phillips 2000) has shown that attachment and responsive maternal caregiving, even for children in highly stressful environments, can act as a critical protective factor.

Regrettably, too many Black children grow up in settings where they and their caregivers experience significant environmental stress (e.g., community violence); and caregivers may struggle to be responsive and appropriately engaged with their young children. Maternal depression, a significant risk factor for young children, can be exacerbated by environmental stressors including poverty and a lack of parenting and mental health services (McLoyd 1998). One out of eleven infants in the United States is reared by a mother with major depression (Center on the Developing Child 2000). Maternal depression interferes with a mother’s capacity to respond to the child’s needs consistently and appropriately, and can influence children’s neurological development over the life course (Shonkoff and Phillips 2000). Men in poverty, especially Black men, can also experience depression, which is likely to go undiagnosed and untreated (Black Mental Health Alliance for Education and Consultation 2005) and which may make them less able to emotionally support mothers and children.

Neuroscience research reports that unrelenting stress in early childhood caused by factors such as extreme poverty, maternal depression, and repeated abuse can alter brain structure and lead to long-term problems in mental health, learning, and self-regulatory behavior in relation to educational and life success (Evans and Schamburg 2009, Center on the Developing Child 2011). Especially important is the effect of poverty, trauma, and stress on the development of children’s prefrontal cortex, the neurological site of executive functioning, associated with the ability to deal with ambiguity, contradictions, and confusing cognitive and social-emotional information; and working memory, the capacity to retain and recall numerous facts in a given situation. Both executive functioning and working memory help children understand the contradictions they encounter in school and in life, e.g., the difference between the letter o and the number 0, or comprehending adult behavior and motivation. Learning requires the ability to manage numerous ambiguities, to understand the rules that apply to exceptions, and to retain this knowledge and draw on it when appropriate. These abilities are associated with both school success and success in adult work.
In addition to cognitive skills, young children must develop social learning and emotional regulation, which also relies on executive functioning, to be successful students. They must learn to be self-motivated, persist when frustrated, regulate their emotions and behavior, get along well with others, and master a range of other social behaviors. Because of the pernicious effects of poverty, trauma, and stress on the neurological development of young children, their capacity to regulate emotions, manage impulses, and draw correct inferences from affectively laden situations may be compromised. Hence, dealing with the everyday interactions in classrooms—waiting one's turn, expressing one's feelings appropriately, understanding the emotions of others, accepting redirection from a teacher or peer, managing anger and suppressing aggression—may be difficult. Executive functioning is highly related to children’s school success and more likely to be jeopardized by the environmental conditions in which too many Black boys are reared.

The developmentally toxic environment experienced by some Black boys also may contribute to long-term problems with peers, aggression, and school adjustment. Some estimates report serious conduct disorders in 5 to 10 percent of all school-age children (Kaiser and Hester 1997), and teachers are more likely to identify boys as behavioral problems than girls. In our nation’s schools, Black students, especially boys, from kindergarten through high school receive harsher discipline and are more likely to be suspended from school in comparison to White students (Lewin 2012). Persistent patterns of aggression and rejection of peers present in early and middle school is observable as early as three years of age (Rutter, Giller, and Hagel 1998). Children with conduct problems are more likely to do poorly in school and in turn to have more conduct problems (Arnold 1997). Disruptive preschoolers are less likely than nondisruptive children to pay attention to instruction and to teachers. Conduct problems that emerge when boys are young are moderately associated with depression, drug use, and other antisocial behavior in adolescence (Shonkoff and Phillips 2000, p. 176). The preschooler’s social reasoning may be a factor in the child’s adjustment to peers and to early schooling. Children who are aggressive and rejected by peers in the preschool years may lack the skills to socially interact effectively with adults and peers and to control their behaviors, including emotional outbursts (Shonkoff and Phillips 2000, p. 170).

In summary: The early experiences of Black boys, both positive and negative, become written into their brains and bodies, and this interaction of biology and environment can result in physiological and psychological adaptations that have lasting effects on their behavior, learning, social relationships, and mental well-being (Center on the Developing Child 2012). Especially pernicious is toxic stress, because, if chronic, it can contribute to Black boys’ inability to manage and respond to stress, can alter brain architecture, and can detrimentally affect other complex physiological systems that help children learn and maintain health and mental health. For Black boys the ecology of early childhood in the United States must lay a foundation that supports optimal developmental outcomes and educational achievement.

Ecology of Young Black Boys’ Development: The Intersection of Race, Gender, and Class

The complexity of young Black male development cannot be denied—race, racism, poverty, and gender are critical factors. But being Black, low-income, and male does not automatically place a child at risk of school failure or developmental problems. Similarly, many Black families living in poverty successfully rear their young children. Individuals and families respond to the difficulties of poverty, racism, gender bias, and other forms of discrimination and prejudice in varying ways. Some Black boys who experience these forms of disadvantage excel educationally and go on to lead productive lives, while others do not. Understanding the interplay of structural, cultural, and individual factors that contribute to the academic success of Black boys is critical to addressing the difficulties encountered by Black boys who are not succeeding academically.

Whether they grow up in challenging urban neighborhoods or privileged suburbs, Black boys from infancy through adulthood are burdened with negative stereotypes that depict them as deficient, threatening, disengaged, angry—even criminal. Black boys, including young boys, are more likely than their White peers to be disciplined more severely in school for minor infractions, suspended from school (including preschool), and stopped by police. In addition, Black adult males and youth are frequently portrayed in the media as problems for their families, their communities, and the larger society. This treatment by key social institutions, the media, schools, and law enforcement is such a common part of the experience of Black boys that Black parents engage in childrearing practices to help their children cope with and respond appropriately to this type of biased scrutiny (Stevenson, Davis, and Abdul-Kabir 2001).

Social psychologists have identified these negative experiences of Black boys as microaggressions, a term originally used by psychiatrist Chester Pierce in the 1970s to refer to common everyday encounters in which through verbal (e.g., racist speech) or behavioral interactions (e.g., crossing the street to avoid Black boys, following young Black boys in stores) Black boys experience hostile and degrading racial insults and slights (Feagin and Sikes 1995). In addition, Black families and communities may also be subject to stereotypes that cast them as incapable of providing rich experiences that develop sufficient academic skills necessary for school success in their children. These factors are a part of the unique ecology of young Black boys, and may contribute to a sense of alienation, distrust, and reluctance to fully engage in school—all behavioral and emotional responses that have implications for early schooling and learning. Early schooling should provide Black boys with the knowledge, capacities, confidence, and abilities to succeed educationally and in life. Regrettably, the experiences many Black boys have in school, regardless of social class, may contribute to their alienation from school, school failure, and social adjustment problems.
Further, education pedagogies, instructional practices, and administrative policies may implicitly or explicitly attempt to marginalize knowledge, language, and experiences Black boys bring to school (Baugh 1999; Moll 2001). European American cultural knowledge is institutionalized through language (“standard” English, norms of speech and grammar, tone and volume of voice); what is taught (literature, social studies); social norms (notions of politeness, physical distance, eye contact); expression of emotion (anger, aggression); policies (expectation of parent involvement); and curriculum choices (Sheets 2005). When entering school, young Black boys may experience greater cognitive dissonance in teaching and learning than do their White peers, whose cultural knowledge is privileged and reflected in school settings (Irvine 2001).

Cultures shape who children are and how they experience the world (Rogoff 2003). Through participation in everyday cultural practices (e.g., feeding, bedtime, religious worship, going to preschool), Black boys of all classes learn meaning systems, identity, language, values, beliefs, behavioral norms, and roles intended to develop the competencies appropriate to their culture (Rogoff 2003; Whiting and Edwards 1988). Cultural patterns of thinking and behaving are internalized, becoming part of individual and group identity. The cultural repertoires Black boys bring to classrooms may be misunderstood, even punished by teachers and administrators. For example, research (Baugh 1999; Boykin and Toms 1985; Delpit 1995) suggests that African American expressive styles, behavior, and language may be stigmatized because they do not conform to the cultural model of behaving, learning, and language that schools demand.

While Black boys have the same genetic capacities for language, social relationships, and cognition that all children have, they may be more likely to be judged as inferior learners and socially disruptive (Boykin and Toms 1985; Ferguson 2001). The problem may not be Black children’s culture per se but rather that schools require children to comply with different cultural expectations and repertoires (Irvine 2003; Nieto 1999). The knowledge, beliefs, and expectations that educators and school administrators have for Black boys may reflect unexamined racial bias, cultural misunderstanding, and/or cultural ignorance. In addition, to successfully address the achievement gap, both the unique developmental ecology of Black boys and the potential for there to be a misfit between school and the cultures of young Black children need to be considered.

In summary: At the heart of the developmental and educational difficulties that young Black boys face is a national failure to confront, address, and eradicate structural inequalities, disparities, and discrimination that constitute the childhood ecology of Black boys and significantly contribute to negative outcomes. The complexity of factors (health, mental health, poverty, etc.) that shape the development of young Black boys necessitates proven strategies employed consistently over time to ameliorate the challenges they encounter. The sheer scope of the problems Black boys face, the persistence and intractability of this crisis, may lead some to conclude that nothing can be done; or that the problems of Black boys represent the historic difficulties of the Black community and must be addressed by that community first. But both arguments ignore an important reality, namely that the crisis of Black boys represents a larger American failure that involves too many of its children, including Latino, Native American, Pacific Islander, Asian American, and White children and boys generally. Black boys’ problems are serious, but they are part of a larger problem affecting a wide range of American students. For example, 42 percent of White fourth graders in public schools cannot read at grade level, and roughly 50 percent cannot do math at grade level; in comparison to 80 percent (not reading at grade level) and 78 percent (not able to do math at grade level) of Black and Latino children (Children’s Defense Fund 2008). Black children are not doing well educationally, but White children are not faring well either. These data underscore a national problem with profound implications for our nation’s future. Addressing the crisis of Black males will advance our nation’s capacity to solve the problems of all of its youngest citizens. Changing demographics led by children of color, the persistence of the educational disparities, increased global competition, and our democratic ideals require that we address the issue of Black male development, education, and citizenship as central challenges of our democracy.

High-Quality Early Education: Programs for Black Boys and Low-Income Children, Birth to Age Eight

While many schools and school districts struggle to address young Black male educational achievement, there is evidence that schools and education policy can make an enormous difference in the outcomes of Black boys and poor children. One successful strategy for addressing low-income Black boys’ educational and developmental challenges is high-quality early-childhood education and services for children ages birth to eight. While not a silver bullet for everything that ails Black boys, research has shown that high-quality early-childhood education improves children’s educational outcomes and reduces educational achievement disparities, especially for culturally and racially diverse children and low-income children (Heckman 2007; Reynolds, Magnuson, and Ou 2006).

Since the inception of Head Start in 1965, high-quality early-childhood intervention programs have addressed a variety of needs of low-income children and families (e.g., nutrition, child education, parent education) and have employed various strategies including home visitation, center-based programs, and early-childhood classrooms in public schools. High-quality early-childhood programs for low-income children have common characteristics that include:

- Small class size and teacher-child ratios (2:17 for example)
- Carefully developed and age-appropriate curriculum
The Abecedarian Project

Between 1972 and 1977, the Abecedarian Project (Hamey and Campbell 1984) enrolled 111 individuals in four cohorts beginning in infancy and provided high-quality early education through age five. All children were from low-income families, 98 percent were Black, all lived in North Carolina. Children were randomly assigned to either the control or the intervention group. The intervention group received full-time activities that targeted social, emotional, language, and physical development. Participants were followed for many years, and in comparison to the control group, they had achieved more years of schooling and were four times more likely to have earned a college degree (Campbell et al. 2012). These benefits apply to both male and female participants.

Participants were also more likely to be consistently employed, more likely to delay parenthood, less likely to have been on welfare, and more likely to have earned more income.

The Perry Preschool Project

Economist James Heckman has examined the social and economic benefits of public investment in high-quality early-childhood programs for low-income children. Heckman has argued that experimental preschool programs like the Perry Preschool Project demonstrate that children who participate in early enrichment programs fared better over their lives than their peers who did not, and hence the public investment in the early years saves society from the increased cost of remediation (e.g., prisons, early pregnancy, delinquency programs) in later life. Specifically, the Perry Preschool Project initiated in the 1960s involved low-income Black families in Ypsilanti, Michigan. Children were taught by experienced early-childhood educators and were given opportunities to problem solve, make decisions, and learn through a play-based curriculum. Twenty years of follow-up research on participants concluded that they had higher overall earnings, had fewer arrests for violent crimes, spent less time in prison, and completed more years of schooling than their peers who did not attend preschool. Also, Heckman asserts that the success of high-quality early-childhood programs is not simply that they increase academic skills but that they have lasting effects on noncognitive skills, which if acquired early in life form a foundation for lifelong achievement. Specifically, these programs affect children’s motivation, help to develop socialization skills, support emotional development and self-regulation that aid in learning, and support school adjustment and employment in adulthood.

Chicago Child-Parent Centers: Pre-K–3rd Early-Childhood Programs

Increasingly, early-childhood educators, advocates, and researchers have expanded the traditional definition of early childhood education—birth to age five— to birth to age eight. This effort, commonly referred to as pre-K–3rd, can include preschool, full-day kindergarten, and first- through third-grade classrooms within public schools. The pre-K–3rd effort seeks to significantly change how and what children three to eight years of age learn in school, and to coordinate instruction across grades within schools, districts, and states. The effort focuses on improving instructional practices; reducing class size; providing a broad, integrated curriculum that includes strong literacy, art, numeracy, science, and social and emotional learning; aligning of educational standards from preschool through third grade; incorporating developmentally appropriate assessment processes; providing strong professional development for teachers; providing leadership development for principals; and supporting a dual-generation strategy to ensure parent and family engagement.

Pre-K–3rd programs have included Follow Through (begun in 1968), Chicago Child-Parent Centers (begun in 1967), Project Developmental Continuity (begun in 1974), and the National Head Start—Public School Early Childhood Transition Demonstration Project (begun in 1999). The Chicago Child-Parent Centers (CPC) model is an influential example of the pre-K–3rd approach and one of the most

Well-trained teachers with child-development knowledge
Parent involvement and/or education component
A combination of services intended to meet multiple needs of young children and families in poverty including education, health, and nutrition
extensively researched. The CPC is the second largest federally supported early-childhood program designed to address educational disparities. Head Start, the largest federal early-childhood-education effort, focuses primarily on the preschool years (ages three to five), while Early Head Start serves children under age three. Child-Parent Centers were created in 1967 by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to educate children not being served by other antipoverty programs such as Head Start. Since 1967 the CPC program has served over 100,000 primarily Black American low-income children and their families. At its height, CPS funded twenty-five CPC programs and currently runs eleven. The CPC program is funded through Title I of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act and state dollars. Chicago was the first public school district to use Title I dollars for preschool education (Reynolds 1997).

Chicago Child-Parent Centers consist of two well-integrated sections—preschool/kindergarten and first grade through third grade. Both sections are administered by a single school principal and staffed by a head teacher, parent resource teacher, school-community representative, teachers and aides, and a school nurse, psychologist, and social worker. In addition, the elementary-school-age program has a coordinator called a curriculum-parent resource teacher. Class size in preschool is seventeen children and two teachers, and in kindergarten through third-grade classrooms it is twenty-five children and two teachers. The preschool-kindergarten portion of the CPC has four key components: (1) outreach services including home visitation, resource mobilization, school-community representatives, and parent conferences; (2) a parent component including a parent resource teacher, a dedicated room for parent activities within the school, and classroom volunteering; (3) a curriculum component including a focus on language and early literacy, small class sizes, and in-service training of teachers; and (4) a health component including health screening, nursing services, and free and reduced-price lunch. The elementary school portion of the CPC program includes three components: (1) parent room activities including home support and classroom volunteering; (2) a curriculum component including reduced class size, teacher aides, age-appropriate instructional materials, individualized instruction, and in-service teacher training; and (3) school-wide services including health services, school-community representatives, free and reduced-price meals, and resource mobilization.

Ideally, children enter the CPC program at three years of age and receive two years of preschool. Teachers are highly qualified (e.g., have advanced degrees, training in child development, and are certified by the state) and compensated in line with all CPS teachers. Family involvement is an important element of CPC programs and space is dedicated in each school to parent education activities intended to support parents’ role in their children’s education. The goal of the family-school partnership is to reduce social isolation of parents and families, increase school and residential stability, strengthen parent engagement in their children’s schooling, and support parent’s economic and educational attainment.

CPC is widely recognized as one of the nation’s most successful early-childhood program initiatives (Guernsey 2009). Longitudinal research (Reynolds 1997; Reynolds et al. 2011) based on following a 1979–80 cohort of 1,400 individuals who participated from age three in the CPC programs indicate that, in comparison with the control group, participants had higher levels of school attainment, job skills, and socioeconomic status; and lower rates of felony arrest, incarceration, and substance abuse. The preschool and extended-day components were especially beneficial for Black boys in comparison to girls. For example, social and public benefits of preschool attendance for boys ($800,653 annual income for treatment group and $34,835 control group) exceeded those of girls’ ($31,238 and $16,402) annual incomes for treatment and control groups, respectively. Reynolds suggests that these social and public benefits are due to boys’ greater high school completion and, to a lesser extent, special-education placement (Reynolds, 1997, p. 34).

Child-Parent Centers represent the earliest successful effort of a major American school system to provide comprehensive high-quality early education to significant numbers of low-income children; to use Title I money to support this effort; to align the preschool and early elementary curriculum; and to provide significant parent education and support tied to educational goals. Currently, early-childhood advocates, school districts, major foundations, and others have initiated a national effort to create seamless, rigorous, and developmentally appropriate early-education systems from preschool through third grade, and many of these initiatives incorporate CPC components (see, for example, Nyhan 2011). Washington State, Illinois, North Carolina, and New Jersey, among other local school districts and state efforts, have developed new programs or are continuing pre-K–3rd initiatives to address educational disparities.

Montgomery County Public Schools

The Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) chose to address district-wide educational disparities through boldly changing their educational system including adopting a pre-K–3rd early-learning initiative (Marietta 2010). In 1998 the MCPS had an increase of 103 percent in its population of English-language learners and a 44 percent increase of children in poverty as evidenced by children receiving free or reduced-price lunch. During this same period, MCPS improved the proportion of third-grade reading proficiency to 84.9 percent and shrank the achievement gap by 29 percentage points (Marietta 2010, p. 1). MCPS efforts were led by Superintendent Jerry Weast and a leadership team committed to intentionally linking early childhood to K–12 education and to reducing educational disparities. Their effort focused on five critical system-level changes: (1) establish a clear, compelling, meaningful district-wide goal (e.g., 80 percent of graduates are college-ready) that can be embraced by all (administrators, parents, teachers) and is mapped from early childhood through high school learning; (2) create integrated district-wide early-learning strategies (e.g., achieve advanced reading competency in grades K–2; achieve advanced math in grade five) to assure that the clear and compelling goal is met; (3) align...
early-learning programs and services (e.g., afterschool programs; focused instruction across all grades in reading, writing, math, and language) with K–12 programs to support the achievement of the compelling goal; (4) balance accountability and improve teacher support and performance to ensure effective and consistent implementation; and (5) ensure continuous improvement and innovation (e.g., online integrated curricula platform, professional development), and monitor and analyze progress.

In 1999, when Weast became superintendent of MCPS, there were essentially two districts—one serving predominately high-poverty Black and Latino children who were, on average, not succeeding in school, and another serving predominately affluent White students who were, on average, doing well academically. The achievement differences between affluent and economically disadvantaged young children at age four were apparent in MCPS children. In order to begin to address these educational disparities, MCPS developed the Early Success Performance Plan that provided, in the beginning, full-day kindergarten to the most educationally vulnerable children (eventually full-day kindergarten was available in all MCPS elementary schools); student teacher ratios in the highest-need schools was 15 to 1; and the most educationally at-risk children had afterschool and summertime extended-learning opportunities from kindergarten through fifth grade (Marietta 2010).

The system change adopted by MCPS sought excellent highly trained teachers for all grade levels and incorporated a standards-based curriculum with appropriate assessments that were used to inform classroom teaching and learning. For the early learning (preschool, Head Start, and kindergarten) component, MCPS developed its own diagnostic assessment. During the first few years, all early-learning teachers received more than one hundred hours of training in assessment and curriculum. In addition, MCPS adopted a Teacher Professional Growth System that provided mentoring, professional development, and peer support for all new and struggling teachers. To reduce school transitions for young children, MCPS worked to create early-learning classrooms in its highest-need schools; this effort significantly reduced the movement of children from one school to another during the first five years of schooling. Further, parents were involved in creating the Early Success Performance Plan, helped revise the kindergarten program, and redesigned the kindergarten report card. Parents sat on advisory boards, attended parent academies, and in numerous other ways were involved in MCPS systems change.

The complexity of the systems change created in Montgomery County, Maryland, has been described in detail (see, for example, Marietta 2010). But in relation to Black children’s educational achievement, MCPS reforms significantly decreased the gap in reading and math between Black and White children. For example, in 2003 the percentage of MCPS third graders proficient at or above grade level in reading was 83 percent of White students but only 48 percent of Black students; but in 2009 the gap had decreased—the percentage of MCPS third graders proficient at or above grade level in reading was 95 percent of White students and 80 percent of Black students.

In summary: The four programs described above are excellent examples of high quality effective early-education programs. They represent both relatively small demonstration projects (Abecedarian and Perry Preschool) and efforts by large urban public school districts (Chicago, Montgomery County, Maryland) to address poverty and the achievement gap through the creation of high-quality early-childhood programs. Each is acknowledged to be successful in addressing the educational achievement of Black boys and children in poverty in the short-term; each is supported by research that demonstrates its effectiveness in long-term educational and developmental outcomes; and each can be replicated by schools districts staffed by committed educators and administrators.

Our National Challenge

Our challenge as a nation is to ensure that every young Black boy achieves to his fullest potential, that we bring every resource to bear to optimize young Black male development, and that we minimize or ameliorate risks. In achieving these goals we can tolerate no excuses and no failures. As this brief discussion suggests, we do not need to reinvent the wheel—effective, evidence-based models of early-childhood education programs within public schools serving Black children exist, and they have been successful in reducing the achievement gap. Do we have the will as a nation to create high-quality, developmentally appropriate early-education programs for optimal Black male development and educational achievement? As a democratic nation, we can’t afford not to commit ourselves to that goal.

Summary of Solutions

At the heart of the developmental and educational difficulties young Black boys face is a national failure to confront, address, and eradicate structural inequalities, disparities, and discrimination that constitute the childhood ecology of Black boys and significantly contribute to negative outcomes. The complexity of factors (health, mental health, poverty) that shape the development of young Black boys necessitates proven strategies employed consistently over time to ameliorate the challenges they encounter. They include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Ensure that all early childhood staff participates in professional development designed to:
   a. Reduce the influence of negative stereotyping of Black boys that depict them as deficient, threatening, disengaged, angry—even criminal.
   b. Bring awareness to the fact that Black boys are disciplined more severely in school for minor infractions, suspended from school including preschool, or stopped by police.
   c. Understand the potential misfit between school and the cultures of young Black males.
   d. Understand child development and specifically the development of Black males.
2. Address low-income Black boys’ educational and developmental challenges by creating high-quality early-childhood education and services for children ages birth to eight. These programs should have the following characteristics:

a. Small class size and teacher-child ratios—for preschool, seventeen children and two teachers; for kindergarten through third-grade classrooms, twenty-five children and two teachers

b. Carefully developed and age-appropriate curriculum
c. Well-trained teachers with child development knowledge
d. Parent involvement and/or education component
e. A combination of services intended to meet multiple needs of young children and families in poverty, including targeted social, emotional, language, physical development, health, and nutrition supports

f. Coordinate instruction across grades within schools, districts, and states
g. Improve instructional practices; reduce class size; provide a broad integrated curriculum that includes strong literacy, art, numeracy, science, and social and emotional learning; and align educational standards from preschool through third grade

h. Incorporate developmentally appropriate assessment processes, providing strong professional development for teachers; providing leadership development for principals; and supporting a dual-generation strategy to ensure parent and family engagement

3. Consider home visitation, center-based programs, and preschool classrooms in public schools to address the variety of needs (e.g., nutrition, child education, parent education).

4. Guarantee that children are taught by experienced early-childhood educators and given opportunities to problem solve, make decisions, and learn through a play-based curriculum.

5. Sufficiently staff the early-childhood program (pre-K through third grade): e.g., a single school principal and staffed by a head teacher, parent resource teacher, school-community representative, teachers and aides, and a school nurse, psychologist, and social worker.

6. Include six key components in preschool programs: (1) student enrollment at age three, receiving two years of preschool; (2) outreach services including home visitation, resource mobilization, school-community representatives, and parent conferences; (3) parent component including a parent resource teacher, a dedicated room for parent activities within the school, and classroom volunteering; (4) curriculum component including a focus on language and early literacy, small class sizes, and in-service training of teachers; (5) health component including health screening, nursing services, and free and reduced lunch; (6) highly qualified teachers (e.g., have advanced degrees, training in child development, and are certified by the state) and compensated in line with all other teachers.

7. Include three key components in the elementary school program: (1) parent room activities including home support and classroom volunteering; (2) curriculum component including reduced class size, teacher aides, age-appropriate instructional materials, individualized instruction, and in-service teacher training; and (3) schoolwide services including health services, school-community representatives, free and reduced-price meals, and resource mobilization.

8. Establish clear, compelling, meaningful district-wide goals (e.g., 80 percent of grade-three students will read at grade level) that can be embraced by all (e.g., administrators, parents, teachers) and is mapped from early childhood through high school learning.

9. Create integrated district-wide early-learning strategies (e.g., achieve advanced reading competency in grades K–2; achieve advanced math in grade five) to assure that the clear and compelling goal is met.

a. Align early-learning programs and services (e.g., afterschool programs; focused instruction across all grades in reading, writing, math, and language) with K–12 programs to support the achievement of the compelling goal.

b. Balance accountability and improve teacher support and performance to ensure effective and consistent implementation.

c. Ensure continuous improvement and innovation (e.g., online integrated curricula platform, professional development), and monitor and analyze progress.

10. Ensure that all early-learning teachers receive extensive professional development in assessment and curriculum.

11. Provide mentoring, professional development, and peer support for all new and struggling teachers.

12. Include parents in all activities (e.g., revising the kindergarten program, redesigning the kindergarten report card, serving on advisory boards, and attending parent academies).
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Increasing the Representation of African American Males in Gifted and Talented Programs

The educational and social status of African American males is well chronicled. As a result, compelling analyses of dropout statistics and academic achievement issues pertaining to African American males can be found throughout the scholarly literature (Farmer et al. 2004; Jackson and Moore 2006, 2008; Lee and Ransom 2011; Moore 2006; Noguera, 2003). With respect to other racial groups, significant comparative research has focused on the overrepresentation of African American males in special education as well as excessive disciplinary practices (Butler et al. 2012; Cartledge, Gibson, and Keyes 2012; Darensbourg, Perez, and Blake 2010; Geisler et al. 2009; Lewis et al. 2010; Moore, Henfield, and Owens 2008; Whiting 2009). For example, a recent report from the US Department of Education showed that African American male public school students had been suspended at a higher rate than males from other racial groups in 1999, 2003, and 2007 (Aud, KewalRamani, and Frohlich 2011). While many issues affecting the educational plight of African American males have been highlighted, the gifted education crisis affecting African American males is not as apparent in the media or in scholarly settings. As a result, it appears that lesser attention has concentrated on the underrepresentation of African American males in gifted and talented programs (Donovan and Cross 2002; Ford 1998, 2011; Whiting 2009).

National education data illustrate the low percentage of African Americans in gifted and talented programs (Hargrove and Seay 2011). For example, in 2002, 3.1 percent of African Americans participated in gifted and talented programs in public elementary and secondary schools (US Department of Education 2006). Moreover, according to national data, 3.5 percent and 3.6 percent of African American students participated in gifted and talented programs in 2004 and 2006, respectively (US Department...
of Education 2008). The statistical data also illustrate that African American females are more likely to be represented in gifted education programs (Ford, Grantham, and Bailey 1999; Jackson and Moore 2006; Lewis and Moore 2008b) and less likely to comprise special education classrooms when compared to their African American male peers (Cartledge, Gibson, and Keys 2012; Lo and Cartledge 2007). Additionally, data from the Schott Foundation for Public Education (2008, 2010) suggest that African American males are more likely to be underrepresented in gifted and talented programs and less likely to be selected (or identified) for these types of accelerated learning opportunities.

Education trends associated with African American males tend to portray a bleak academic and occupational future for this population (Darensbourg, Perez, and Blake 2010; Lewis et al. 2010). Also, on many school indicators, African American males are less likely to perform as well as African American females and their White peers (Ford and Whiting 2010; Irving and Hudley 2005, 2008; Jackson and Moore 2006, 2008; Lewis, Chambers, and Butler 2012; Moore and Owens 2009). As a result, White male students tend to be represented in gifted education programs (Ford, Grantham, and Whiting 2008), while African American male students tend to be underrepresented (Ford 2003, 2011; Henfield, Owens, and Moore 2008). Given the compounded effects of educational issues, these disturbing trends in gifted education are likely to intensify rather than improve.

Across the United States, the underrepresentation problem for African American males is more visible in suburban school districts (Lacy 2007; Oghu 2003). However, it appears, to some extent, that the gifted education underrepresentation crisis goes unnoticed in urban school districts, where African Americans commonly represent a considerable percentage of the student enrollment and where consistent examples of academic challenges are evident for African American males (Noguera 2008). It has been argued that the challenges facing urban school districts are widespread, to the point that negative educational outcomes for African American males are viewed with a degree of normalcy (Noguera 2008; Lewis and Moore 2008a, 2008b). This idea may explain why the low number of African American males in gifted education is seldom perceived as a topic of concern. Pedro Noguera (2008) suggests that too many public school personnel “have grown accustomed to the idea that a large percentage of the Black male students they serve will fail, get into trouble, and drop out of school” (p. xix).

Adhering to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) legislation has increasingly become the primary focus of urban school districts (Gallant and Moore 2008; Michael-Chadwell 2011; Moore and Owens 2009). However, a common criticism of the legislation is that school districts have little, if any, incentive to improve students’ test scores beyond the minimum scores set by the state. Another critique of NCLB, according to researchers such as Sharon Michael-Chadwell (2011), is that it neglects to include educational programming and special funding specifically for gifted and talented students. As Michael-Chadwell states, “With the focus of US public education systems on improving the academic competency of their low-performing students, questions regarding the feasibility of maintaining enrichment programs for gifted students persist” (p. 101). By focusing intently on students who are apt to underperform on standardized tests, urban school districts may be likely to underidentify African American males who possess the ability for gifted education. Furthermore, because substantial resources are utilized to close the achievement gap between high- and low-performing students, it is also possible that the concerns of gifted and talented African American male students may be overlooked.

It has also been noted in the scholarly literature, but not proven conclusively, that some urban school districts are considered ineffective in educating African American males (Lewis and Moore 2008a, 2008b; Moore and Lewis 2012; Noguera 2008b). Research regarding this issue suggests that, despite the fact that thousands of African American males attend urban school districts, only a small and disproportionate number of them are enrolled in gifted and talented programs (Ford 2003, 2011; Whiting 2009). Thus, many African American males may never have the opportunity to be in gifted and talented classrooms. Stated differently, many African American males may never experience intellectually-stimulating gifted and talented programs that can help them realize their full academic potential (Bonner and Murry 2012; Ford 2011).

Identification and Assessment Issues

Because of the negative categorizations commonly assigned to African American males, their schooling experiences are often compromised (Jackson and Moore 2006). Regrettably, many urban school districts concentrate on the academic shortcomings as opposed to the strengths of African American males. These tendencies are quite prevalent in school settings and tend to negatively impact African American males (Bonner and Murry 2012, Whiting, 2009). Accordingly, in numerous urban school districts, the academic needs of gifted students of color are not being met (Ford 2011).

Because some school districts rely heavily on standardized assessments to identify gifted students, gifted education scholars (e.g., Ford 2003, 2011; Ford et al. 2002) assert that these practices may contribute to the underrepresentation of African American students in gifted and talented programs. For example, test scores are usually the main variables used to identify gifted students (Briggs, Reis, and Sullivan 2006). Moreover, it is widely believed that, due to the conceptions of giftedness (Sternberg and Davidson 2005) in public schools, which are usually restricted and limited to cognitive measures, many African American male students may not be selected to participate in gifted and talented programs (Ford 2003, 2011; Ford et al. 1999). Regrettably, test scores do not always support the identification of the unique academic abilities of African American males (Ford 2003; Ford et al. 1999). Also, in some urban school settings, there is a tendency to assess the academic aptitude of these students in relation to White students. In such cases, the academic potential of African American males may go unrecognized (Bonner and Jennings 2007; Ford 1995).
In the research literature, numerous education scholars (e.g., Bonner and Murry 2012; Ford et al. 1999; Michael-Chadwell 2011; Moore, Ford, and Milner 2005) have indicated that structural factors, which are embedded in the philosophies and practices of school districts, contribute to the underrepresentation of African American males in gifted and talented programs. Aligned with these perspectives, Mara Sapon-Shevin (2005) asserted, “Gifted programs are implemented for students for whom educational failure will not be tolerated (generally the children of White, privileged parents) and are enacted in ways that leave the general educational system untouched and immune to analysis and critique” (p. 129).

One strategy for expanding the educational opportunities of African American males in urban school districts is to focus the attention of educators and school leaders on selecting African American males to participate in gifted and talented programs. Consistent with this idea, Alexinia Baldwin (2011) wrote, “Variables such as socioeconomic deprivation, cultural diversity, social and geographic isolation, and a relative perception of powerlessness, require assessment or identification techniques which cut across these variables to locate the hidden talents of the black child” (p. 14). Therefore, urban school leaders need to understand how these variables may affect test scores in order to effectively translate the test results (Ford et al. 2002). It is also important that urban educators (e.g., teachers, school counselors, and school psychologists) are adequately trained to assess African American male students’ academic potential for gifted education. However, Ford (2005) suggests that educators sometimes develop extensive processes that decrease minority students’ chances of being admitted to gifted education programs. For example, in one urban school system, students had to meet the following eight requirements, before being admitted to gifted education (Ford 2003, pp. 147–148):

1. an admission fee ranging from $160 to $600, depending on the school building (no financial support given to families unable to pay the fee);
2. a history of perfect attendance or only excused medical absences;
3. no negative behavioral marks on report cards;
4. no grade below a C in any previous course;
5. official transcripts submitted by parents with an application (even if the student was already enrolled within the district);
6. application to be submitted to the school only on two specified dates and only during certain hours;
7. a contract signed by parent or guardian agreeing to participate in certain activities on predetermined dates and at predetermined times; and
8. all applications delivered in person.

Because teacher recommendations frequently comprise a major part of the identification process for gifted education (Baldwin 2011; Ford 2011), some researchers have argued that negative or vague teacher recommendations prevent African American males from being selected for gifted and talented programs (Bonner and Jennings 2007; Ford 2011; Ford et al. 2002; Ford and Whiting 2010; Whiting 2009). In support of this assertion, in the mid-1990s, M. D. Jenkins (1996) conducted a landmark study on African American students and gifted education. Although Jenkins found no differences between the test scores of African American males and females, the females were twice as likely to be referred for gifted education. As a possible explanation for this finding, “one can attribute part of this imbalance to teacher perceptions; namely, teachers may be more willing to accept Black females as gifted” (Ford et al. 1999, p. 52). In this regard, research suggests that teachers may hold negative biases about African American males, and some teachers may not possess the needed training in multicultural education (Flowers, Milner, and Moore 2003; Ford et al. 2002) or understand the importance of culture and its effects on African American male students (Ford 2011). Thus, teachers who are unwilling to learn and utilize multicultural knowledge in their classrooms may be less likely to positively interact with African American male students and assess their academic potential (Ford 2011).

When African American students perceive that teachers do not believe in their academic ability, their educational aspirations are often negatively affected (Flowers, Milner, and Moore 2003; Deborah Harmon 2002), utilizing a qualitative research design, found that urban African American students believed that some of their teachers lacked understanding and appreciation of African American culture and that their teachers behaved in manners that communicated low expectations of them. In 2008, Moon and Brighton discovered that only 65 percent of the teachers in their study agreed with the assertion that “the potential for academic giftedness is present in all socioeconomic groups in our society” (pp. 460–461). Having these perspectives is often referred to as deficit thinking (Ford et al. 2002; Ford and Whiting 2010). Given that urban school districts are usually situated in dense communities of poverty (Lewis and Moore 2008a, 2008b), the aforementioned research findings have major implications pertaining to the identification of African American males for gifted and talented programs.

In light of the structural dysfunction associated with many school environments, sometimes, even when identified and placed in gifted education, urban school systems may still struggle to retain African American males in these programs. Additionally, because gifted programs tend to mostly consist of
students who are White, female, and middle-class, African American males in gifted and talented programs may experience some degree of discomfort because they are not well-represented as a group (Ford et al. 1999). Also, some African American males may not fare well in certain gifted and talented programs because they may feel or believe that they need to make substantial academic, personal, and social adjustments that are not required of them in non-gifted classroom settings—where they tend to be more populated (Ford 2011). Regardless of a particular school’s approach to gifted and talented education (e.g., enrichment, pullout, compacting, cluster grouping, self-pacing, and acceleration), several education researchers (Baldwin 2011; Bonnor and Murry 2012; Ford 2003, 2011) have highlighted the importance of diversifying gifted education. One study (Ford, Grantham, and Bailey 1999) asserted that having a racially, ethnically, and culturally diverse gifted student population increases the likelihood that African American males are motivated and interested in participating in a gifted and talented program.

According to the existing research on this topic, the negative feelings that African American males may experience in gifted programs requires that educators closely monitor students’ progress, academically and socially, as well as the pedagogical approaches used in classroom settings (Ford 2005, 2011). In light of the research describing the experiences of African American males in gifted programs, it is well established that the pursuit of excellence for those students, who attend urban schools, is often challenging (Lacy 2007; Ogbu 2003). However, there is little data, if any, that closely examines the extent to which African American males are represented in gifted education programs among the nation’s largest school districts. To provide initial data, the next section of this article summarizes the research design of a descriptive study that attempted to explore an aspect of the gifted education problem facing African American males.

**Descriptive Statistical Analysis of African American Male Enrollment in Gifted and Talented Programs**

**Data Source**

Data from the US Department of Education’s Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) were accessed for this study. The CRDC, a federally mandated reporting system, was designed to obtain school-level data highlighting specific dimensions of school and student characteristics (US Department of Education 2010, 2012). The CRDC information was deemed useful for the present study because it contained student enrollment data partitioned by race and included data highlighting student participation in school-based activities (e.g., gifted and talented programs). Regarding the primary variable of interest for this study, schools were asked to report if they had “students enrolled in gifted/talented programs” (US Department of Education 2010, p. 4). Then, schools were asked to report their student enrollment in gifted and talented programs “on a single day between September 27 and December 31” by race, gender, disability status, and English proficiency status (US Department of Education 2010, p. 4).

**Variables**

For this study, we reviewed gifted and talented program student enrollment data from the twenty largest school districts that were also examined in a recent report, utilizing CRDC data, produced by the US Department of Education (2012). According to the CRDC variable definitions (US Department of Education 2010), gifted and talented programs are defined as “Programs during regular school hours offered to students because of unusually high academic ability or aptitude or a specialized talent or aptitude” (p. 54). Descriptive statistical data of the racial composition of each school district’s student enrollment and their gifted and talented program enrollment were obtained to examine the representation of African American males in gifted education. As shown in Table 1, for the twenty largest school districts, we examined the African American male enrollment in the school district, the percentage of African American males enrolled in the school district, the number of African American males enrolled in gifted and talented programs, the percentage of African American males in gifted and talented programs among the total population of African American males in the school district, and the percentage of African American males enrolled in gifted and talented programs (which shows the percentage of African American males in gifted and talented programs compared to the total number of students in gifted and talented programs).

**Results**

Among the twenty school districts analyzed for this study, the average total enrollment in each school district was 257,065 students. The average enrollment in gifted and talented programs in each school district was 21,682 students. Comparatively, the average enrollment of African American males in each school district was 57,542 students. The average enrollment of African American males in gifted and talented programs was 1,622 students. To explore the extent to which African American males were represented in gifted and talented programs, the number of African American males enrolled in gifted and talented programs was compared to the number of African American males enrolled in the school district. As shown in Table 1, of the twenty school districts, only two of the school districts had percentages higher than 10 percent, indicating that, among many of the school districts, a small percentage of the African American males participated in gifted and talented programs. Based on these data, as well as additional data shown in Table 1, African American males are underrepresented in gifted and talented programs among these school districts. Additionally, we reported the percentage of African American males in gifted and talented programs among the number of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs. Of the twenty school districts, African American males comprised 10 percent or more of the
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gifted and talented program enrollment in only four school districts. In contrast, in sixteen of the twenty school districts, African American males comprised less than 10 percent of the gifted and talented enrollment. In these twenty school districts, there is ample evidence suggesting that African American males are underrepresented in gifted and talented programs. However, the level of underrepresentation is more extreme in some school districts than others.

Conclusion

The study presented above can be used to initiate important conversations, within and among urban school districts, pertaining to the problem of underrepresentation among African American males as well as signal the need for more research on this topic (Ford et al. 2008; Ford and Whiting 2010; Har-grove and Seay 2011; Whiting 2009). In light of the study’s findings, research and policy questions remain as to the reasons why the gifted education underrepresentation crisis is more pronounced in some school districts than in others. Moreover, future research should investigate why some school districts are more successful than others in identifying and retaining African American males in gifted education programs. This type of research and scholarly analysis will, it is hoped, encourage education practitioners and policymakers to examine issues associated with the recruitment and retention of African American males in gifted and talented programs in a way that enables more African American males to explore the opportunity to achieve their highest potential.

Summary of Solutions

African American males represent a considerable percentage of the student enrollment in urban school districts; however, they reflect a small percentage of the enrollment in gifted and talented programs. To address and rectify this issue, below are recommendations that urban school districts may consider to increase the representation of African American males in gifted and talented programs.

1. Collect and disaggregate gifted and talented program participation data by race, gender, socio-economic status, grade level, and description of the gifted and talented program.

2. Ensure that selection committees for gifted and talented programs are racially, ethnically, and culturally diverse.

3. Develop and utilize a variety of strategies (e.g., portfolio assessments, student transcripts, observational and performance-based assessments, nominations by parents, teachers, and peers) to identify and select African American males for gifted and talented programs.

4. Eliminate any policies and practices that might prevent African American males from participating in gifted and talented programs (e.g., admissions fees, attendance requirements, and parent contracts/agreements).

5. Provide multicultural training to all urban school teachers to ensure that they possess the appropriate multicultural awareness, skills, and knowledge to work with gifted African American males.

6. Ensure that African American males have the opportunity to participate in gifted education by providing financial resources to support gifted and talented programs.

7. Provide multicultural professional development for school counselors so that they are able to positively support and interact with gifted African American male students and their parents.

8. Ensure that gifted and talented programs are racially, ethnically, and culturally diverse.

9. Provide professional development opportunities for principals to ensure that they are aware of the issues associated with the underrepresentation of African American males in gifted programs.

10. Encourage university-level collaborative partnerships with faculty and students in principal preparation programs to ensure that future principals are able to support the recruitment and retention of African American males in gifted and talented programs.

11. Encourage university-level collaborative partnerships with faculty and students in teacher preparation programs to ensure that future teachers are being prepared to identify, teach, and nurture gifted and talented characteristics in African American males.

12. Implement parent-training programs in urban schools to help families or legal guardians better understand the benefits of gifted and talented programs.

13. Pursue funding opportunities from the US Department of Education and National Science Foundation to examine issues impacting the recruitment, retention, and educational excellence of African American males in gifted education.

14. Conduct research studies to answer important questions, such as:
   a. How do urban school districts define gifted education?
b. What identification and selection processes do urban school districts utilize with regard to gifted education?

c. What gifted education policies and practices are implemented in urban school districts?

d. What types of resources are allocated to gifted education in urban school districts?

e. What type of gifted education training is provided to teachers, school counselors, principals, and other school personnel?
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Table 1. Representation of African American Males in Gifted and Talented Programs in the Twenty Largest School Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School District</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>African American Male Enrollment in the School District</th>
<th>Percentage of African American Male Enrollment in the School District</th>
<th>African American Male Enrollment in Gifted and Talented Programs</th>
<th>Percentage of African American Gifted and Talented Students (as a percentage of the total enrollment of African American male students in the school district)</th>
<th>Percentage of African American Gifted and Talented Students (as a percentage of the total enrollment of gifted and talented students in the school district)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York City Public Schools</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>150,150</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5,490</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Unified School District</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>30,955</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Public Schools</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>90,210</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>2,010</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dane County Public Schools</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>44,560</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,865</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County School District</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>16,096</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broward County Public Schools</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>11,115</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Independent School District</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>17,230</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough County Public Schools</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>22,710</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris County Public Schools</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>9,019</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia City School District</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>13,388</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach County Public Schools</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>25,605</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Public Schools</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>24,705</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Public Schools</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>32,375</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3,325</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County Public Schools</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>29,540</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,459</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Public Schools</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>16,066</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7,110</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake County Public Schools</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>19,610</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Unified School District</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>5,170</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>36,660</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>1,755</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Georges County Public Schools</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>25,070</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval County Public Schools</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>28,265</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


For this paper, I was charged with providing solutions for increasing the reading and writing achievement of African American males across grades K–12, a charge I find both daunting and complex, because reading and writing achievement sits at several different intersections, race and gender among them, although race and gender are not root causes of reading and writing difficulties. Additionally, the profiles of struggling and nonstruggling African American male readers and writers are varied. Generally, struggling readers and writers are categorized based on assessment scores that offer little information about their specific needs.

I offer four literacy profiles of young African American males to illustrate this point.

**Literacy Profile 1**

The first profile emerges from a reading screening I conducted with an African American male adolescent at the University of Illinois at Chicago’s Reading Clinic. I dictated the following sentence as part of the screening to gauge the student’s ability to spell: “When writing a book on peer pressure, I’m clearly aware that life as a teen hasn’t gotten easier.”

The male adolescent wrote the following:

```
Wod skt
book
p
```

His writing and subsequent reading, in which he identified a few high-frequency words such as the, a, and you, but struggled with other words such as sure and want, presents at minimum three literacy-related challenges: (1) making instructional decisions to help him become a better reader and writer; (2) identifying texts that engage him and that he finds meaningful; and (3) nurturing his intellectual development. Too often, efforts focus on making instructional decisions while ignoring other aims that can serve as conduits to reading and writing development. I constructed the following map of this reader to emphasize why the other aims are equally important.

---

Alfred W. Tatum, PhD, began his career as an eighth-grade teacher, later becoming a reading specialist and discovering the power of texts to reshape the life outcomes of struggling readers. His current research focuses on the literacy development of African American adolescent males. He is the author of *Teaching Reading to Black Adolescent Males: Closing the Achievement Gap* (2005), and *Reading for Their Life: (R)ebuilding the Textual Lineages of African American Male Adolescents* (2007). He is published in several journals, including *Harvard Educational Review, Urban Education, The Reading Teacher, Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, Reading & Writing Quarterly, Journal of College Reading and Learning,* and *Principal Leadership,* and he provides teacher professional development to urban middle and high schools. He is currently a professor at the University of Illinois-Chicago.
To construct this map using the assessment data available to me, I asked the following questions—1 through 3 in relation to his writing and reading skills, and 4 through 6 in relation to his person:

1. Can he spell? — No
2. Can he decode? — No
3. Can he talk and is his speech fully formed? — Yes
4. Is he motivated? — Yes
5. Does he have clearly defined goals? — Yes
6. Are his goals school-related? — No

A summary profile would yield that this young male is a highly motivated high school student who struggles with both reading and writing. He is driven by several non-school-related goals and wants to experience reading and writing in ways that have utility in helping him realize those goals. Also, his window of resilience may be closing, because he is an over-age student at his assigned grade level who may determine that he has been defaulted by a school system or other adults in his life who allowed both his reading and writing to remain grossly underdeveloped. Dropping out of high school can become a real option unless he believes he is receiving sufficient reading and writing supports.

Three additional literacy profiles serve as points of comparison for this student.

Literacy Profile 2

The second profile is based on a writing sample I received from an African American male adolescent who participated in a literacy institute I hosted during the summer of 2009. The young male wrote:

“All my life I have never been anything more but a trouble making black boy. I was always the one that got in the most trouble throughout my family. In my entire life I never had my time to shine. Everyone around me was happy and joyful but not me. I was by myself in a cold world. I always tried my best at everything but my best wasn’t good enough.

I know no one in the world liked me because every time I walked in a room people looked at me like I was wanted for murder. Most people tell me that I will be locked up with the real bad boys but truly I would love that because most of the bad boys I talked to know how much it hurts to be left out or forgotten. They know it hurts to look in the eyes of their family and friends and teacher and they see fire and disappointment. For me, I never could look in someone’s eyes and see happiness when they look at me. All I would see is my reflection fading away.”

I constructed the following map of the young male based on his writing sample.
Although this student’s writing is qualitatively better and more advanced than that of the writer in Profile 1, instructional decisions still have to be made to improve his writing. His personal profile is also qualitatively different from that of the previous student. The summary of this profile yields a young male who embraces his ethnic and gender identity and who also exhibits evidence of spelling, writing conventions, and organization as reflected in simple sentences. He has had negative in-school and out-of-school experiences that are causing him to feel vulnerable, as reflected in his words: “Most people tell me that I will be locked up with the real bad boys but truly I would love that because most of the boys I talked to know how much it hurts to be left out or forgotten.” Like the student in Profile 1, this young man feels vulnerable; he admits to his willingness to surrender his life’s chances at such a young age because all he sees is “[his] reflection fading away.” His narrative would lead some to focus on his vulnerabilities by attempting to find culturally relevant materials to the exclusion and detriment of his writing development. These are the “either-or” decisions that are made by well-intentioned educators. However, it is more appropriate to plan instruction at the intersections.

Literacy Profile 3
The third profile is based on a conversation I had with the aunt of a four-year-old African American male who provided a description of her nephew based on an observation and conversations with one of the boy’s parents.

A summary profile would indicate that this young male is an emerging reader and writer who has limited alphabetic knowledge and is unable to write his full name. He is a child who attempts to write using the letter knowledge he has, along with drawing images to construct stories. He enjoys writing and listening to stories. He can discuss his writings, but has difficulty retelling stories that are read aloud to him. Although the young male has clear strengths, he would be viewed as an at-risk youth because he does not have alphabetic knowledge. This could lead to instructional decisions that suppress his opportunities to write and retell stories until he develops alphabetic knowledge.

Literacy Profile 4
The final profile is based on my son’s reading and writing behaviors in grades four through nine. His reading performance on the state standardized assessment ranged from the ninety-fifth to the ninety-ninth percentiles throughout grades four through eight.
A summary profile would indicate that he is an avid reader who enjoys school and comprehends texts at a high level, as indicated by his performance on the state’s standardized assessments. He has a strong receptive and expressive vocabulary and has a strong schema for many school-based subjects. However, he does not find assigned readings meaningful and does not have a high grade point average. Again, decisions have to be made to ensure that he is not being underserved in school. So much attention is often directed toward students who struggle with reading that the needs of high-performing readers and writers are ignored.

Analyzing Proposed Solutions

The varying literacy profiles presented here illustrate the complexity and challenge of offering solutions for African American male readers across pre-K–12. Currently, literacy development for many African American male youth is conceptualized as an in-school phenomenon related to standardized scores. This focus is influenced by public policy at the national, state, and local levels. As a result, there is an overreliance on generic profiles in making instructional decisions to improve the reading achievement of African American males. Students are often grouped based on these narrow profiles. A narrowly focused skill-and-strategy approach that leads to small upticks in reading achievement is often adopted to put reading difficulties into remission from testing cycle to testing cycle. Instructional decision-making is handicapped by using three of the four school-aged profiles aligned with the categories from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (see Table 1). The four NAEP categories (Below, Basic, Proficient, Advanced) provide an indicator of the students’ performance on the assessment, but do not offer the more nuanced profiles that are necessary to improve students’ reading and writing achievement. (Below ≠ Below; Basic ≠ Basic; Proficient ≠ Proficient; Advanced ≠ Advanced.) For example, one student can be categorized as “Basic” because of difficulties with decoding, while another can be categorized as “Basic” because of the failure to monitor reading comprehension.

Improving reading scores are inconsequential for three of the four readers represented in the literacy profiles. The goals of the first student are not school-based. The four-year-old is not focused on scores. The advanced reader has already attained high reading scores, but does not find in-school readings meaningful and does not have a high grade point average. Again, decisions have to be made to ensure that he is not being underserved in school. So much attention is often directed toward students who struggle with reading that the needs of high-performing readers and writers are ignored.

The varying literacy profiles also debunk the notion that “best practices” or “research-based practices” have been settled, and that educators know “what to do” and simply need to build teachers’ capacities to “just do it.” This is the “skill versus will” argument. But it is important to understand that “best practices” are not static. As the goals and aims of education change, new implications arise for literacy instruction for students in the CGCS member school districts. The questions begin to change. For example, we do not have answers for the following questions:

1. What literacy approach will contribute to African American fourth-grade boys reading several years above grade level instead of several years below grade level in a nation focused on the “fourth-grade slump” that occurs in many of the member school districts, and how can this achievement occur to support simultaneous growth in reading, writing, and disciplinary knowledge (e.g., science, history, and mathematics)?

2. How do we teach reading, build knowledge of science, and nurture the writing of high-performing African American second-grade males who are motivated to write and love science?

3. How do we teach reading, build knowledge of science, and nurture the writing of high-performing African American second-grade males who are motivated to write and love science?

4. How do we structure classroom environments to improve the reading and writing for both profiles mentioned in questions 2 and 3 above, as these students sit among other students with different reading and writing profiles?

While it may be true that we have a better idea of what to do to prevent reading and writing difficulties for children and youth and have identified effective approaches for teaching adolescents who do not have learning or language disabilities (Snow, Burns, and Griffin 1998), the pathways for addressing and reversing reading and writing problems once they exist remain less clear. Current proposed solutions are outlined in Table 2.

The research is clear that the volume of experiences students have interacting with texts significantly correlates with their overall reading success. It is also clear that reading comprehension is correlated with high student engagement with texts. Reading comprehension is also heightened by discipline and world knowledge, and through the efforts of effective teachers who employ classroom-discussions to make meaning of the texts they encounter (see Duke et al., 2011). Still, as I have written elsewhere: “There is virtually no empirical evidence of proven practices and programs that significantly improve the reading achievement of a high percentage of African American male adolescents who enter middle and urban high schools as struggling readers. Guidance for advancing their literacy development has been extrapolated from reading research on elementary-aged children where the research literature is more robust” (Tatum 2012, p. 5).
Closing the Reading and Writing Achievement Gap for Young African American Males

The Slow-Growth Model

The effort to close the reading and writing achievement gap has been based on a slow-growth model that stems from the idea that three to five years of professional development is needed to turn around underperforming schools. Schools are generally not turned around using a slow-growth model anchored by skill and strategy development alone (Tatum 2003). However, a slow-growth model is typically adopted because there is very little literacy research involving African American males that has yielded exponential growth in literacy achievement.

Some might argue that slow growth is better than no growth. There are three counterarguments to be considered: (1) Slow growth may not be fast enough to stem the tide of African American males dropping out of high school at disproportionate rates, particularly for the ones who have experienced years of academic failure related to the inability to handle text independently. (2) A slow-growth model grounded in skill and strategy instruction alone may fail to address other contributing factors to students’ reading- and writing-related profiles, as illustrated in the literacy profiles offered earlier in this paper. (3) There is too much variance with respect to readers and writers to ground efforts in a skill- and-strategy-based slow-growth model. This is why researchers have examined the impact of home literacy environments, community patterns, and cultural practices, poverty, motivation, language and vocabulary differentials, and teacher quality, among other variables, on students’ reading and writing achievement.

The absence of empirical evidence has led to the adoption of oversimplified solutions and the four core educational reforms listed in Table 3. “While these priorities are promising, they are too generic for advancing the literacy development of African American male adolescents. More specific guidance is needed. Most school literacy practices continue to miss the mark and suffer from an underestimation of the range and depths of student needs” (Tatum 2012, p. 3).

A New Model: Literacy Vital Signs

The proposed solutions offered in this section are based on (1) my critical analysis of currently proposed solutions, and (2) my reflections on more than two decades of practice and research focused on the literacy development of African American males in highly segregated and diverse school settings. For fourteen years, I have grounded my research in the following seven questions:

1. What are the barriers that disenfranchise African American boys from reading and how do you break down those barriers?
2. How do you engage African American boys with texts and why do they find texts meaningful?
3. What factors contribute to African American males’ writing?
4. How do you increase the reading achievement of African American male fourth-grade students?
5. What does the research suggest about providing effective reading instruction in schools that are characteristically urban?
6. Why are we continuing to miss the mark with literacy instruction for African American male children and youth in urban and suburban school districts?
7. What aspects of teacher professional development do teachers find useful for advancing the literacy development of African American adolescents who struggle with reading?
Investigating these questions has led me to a model of literacy instruction based on multiple variables categorized as “vital signs” in four areas (Tatum 2005, 2008, 2012), as shown in Table 4.

I have found an additive impact working at the intersections of the multiple vital signs, because each has the potential to accelerate or slow students’ reading, writing, and intellectual growth (Tatum 2000, 2003). A numerical weight cannot easily be assigned to the variables that would allow one set of vital signs (e.g., reading) to be rank-ordered over another set (e.g., reading instruction). The connection across the vital signs has to be strengthened to develop an exponential growth model focused on reading, writing, and disciplinary knowledge. Competencies across the vital signs are needed to address several common refrains I hear:

+ I can teach reading (i.e., vital signs of reading), but I cannot teach African American boys (i.e., vital signs of readers).
+ I can teach the skills and strategies (i.e., vital signs of reading), but I have difficulty getting African American boys to read the text (i.e., vital signs of reading instruction).
+ I can select text to engage my students in rich discussions (i.e., vital signs of instruction), but I still have challenges improving their reading and writing as indicated by standardized assessments (i.e., vital signs of reading).
+ This is why I have called for a more complete framework of literacy instruction to address the literacy needs of African American males (Tatum 2008) as described below.

Conceptualizing literacy instruction and its roles and associated success metrics is associated with theoretical strands. The instructional strands are necessary for improving reading and writing achievement and strengthening students’ relationships with texts. The professional-development strands are essential for improving teacher quality focused on the theoretical and instructional strands. The scope of this paper does not afford a lengthier discussion of each of the strands. A more concentrated treatment, along with practical examples of each, can be found in Teaching Reading to Black Adolescent Males (Tatum 2005).

The Model in Practice

I conducted a ten-week pilot study during the spring of 2011 with twenty African American male fourth-grade students aimed at having them read three years above grade level. A multidimensional reading model based on effective research practices and the other variables found in the vital signs chart (see Table 4) were used. The model will be used for a lengthier study during the summer of 2012.
The model was structured to have the young males do the following during each lesson:

+ Strengthen their concept of reading
+ Read a fluency-practice piece with 100 percent accuracy (during a cooperative repeated reading with a partner) and respond to two comprehension questions
+ Practice decoding multisyllabic words
+ Demonstrate that they could read across and understand a work of fiction
+ Demonstrate that they could read across and understand a work of nonfiction
+ Write to demonstrate that they understood the connection between the works of fiction and nonfiction

In sum, the young males were asked to read and write across two texts during each one-hour lesson that also involved them in decoding, fluency, and vocabulary experiences. The demands of this approach occur in very few fourth-grade classrooms, with or without African American males. I assessed whether there was evidence they became better readers and learned new information during each lesson. (I have included a sample of a fluency practice piece and decoding list used with the fourth-grade boys, as well as a sample of companion texts, one fiction and one nonfiction.)

The students were asked to think and write about how both texts were connected. The companion texts were connected to the concept of self-reliance, which was one of the studied vocabulary concepts for the lesson. Writing across texts was modeled for the students prior to their having to write across texts. All lessons focused on the intersections of reading, writing, and intellectual development.

The model used with these fourth-grade males aligns with the emerging Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts (National Governors Association 2010) that call for: (1) including texts that are appropriately complex at each grade level to develop the mature language skills and the conceptual knowledge for students to succeed in school and life; (2) engaging students in close and careful reading by requiring them to focus on the specifics of the text, drawing evidence from the text, and gleaning meaning from it; and (3) ensuring that 50 percent of reading material is focused on informational reading in grades three to five or literary nonfiction in grades six to twelve. Additionally, there is a fundamental shift away from using “leveled texts” based on Lexile levels and assigning students to tiers influenced by response to intervention (RTI), a widely adopted instructional intervention to support struggling readers—both, in my estimation, based on a slow-growth model. Efforts must be strengthened to make sense of these changes. The existing research, the vital signs, and a more complete instructional framework provide a productive starting point.

Conclusion

The causes of reading and writing difficulties are varied. It would be virtually impossible, and quite frankly unnecessary, to construct individualized literacy profiles of all African American male children and youth to address their literacy needs. It would also be an overwhelming task to group students based on such profiles. The profiles, however, illustrate the need to pay attention to the multiple vital signs of literacy instruction and to utilize teacher professional-development supports that align with a more comprehensive model of literacy instruction. Educators must avoid narrowly focused approaches that have been shown to have little impact on improving reading and writing achievement and nurturing the intellectual development of African American males. If I were a teacher, principal, or superintendent in one of the member school districts, I would want to know: (1) if I am making sound instructional, assessment, curricular, and leadership decisions that increase the likelihood of an exponential growth model for all children, including African American males who struggle with reading and for those who do not struggle with reading; and (2) if my efforts are too narrow to achieve sustainable literacy-related goals focused on students’ reading and writing skill development and students’ multiple identities (e.g., academic, developmental, personal).

Again, it is not just a matter of implementing “the” solutions; there is a need to continue to expand on and modify the solutions. The debate about what works for struggling readers and writers has not been settled. However, it is safe to recommend the following:

+ Prioritize language development in the primary grades. African American males must be immersed in rich language experiences and have many experiences with rare words.
+ Avoid narrow approaches to literacy development. Instead, focus on the intersections of reading, writing, and intellectual development.
+ Improve teacher and principal quality by focusing on the multiple vital signs of literacy development. Efforts should be made to audit reading programs and practices using the vital signs as a starting point.
+ Use the collective power of organizations such as CGCS to force curriculum developers and publishers to address these intersections.
+ Examine curriculum orientations and clearly define the purposes of literacy instruction with the recognition that a focus on test scores alone can become problematic as African American males move beyond the primary grades.
Support and encourage ethically responsible reading research agendas so that African American males benefit as they become part of research studies.

Force changes in teacher-preparation programs by refusing to accept student teachers or interns from university and other programs that do not address these intersections.

Proposing solutions for reading and writing achievement has become troubling within the existing educational backdrop. Confidence in public education is eroding: principals and teachers are facing increasing pressures to raise reading scores, which in many cases leads to a neglect of writing; many school leaders are becoming distrustful of literacy-based solutions, opting instead for policy-based solutions.

At the same time, I am convinced that struggling readers and writers do not care about any of the adult conversations taking place. Never once have I been asked by a student, “Did they get the policy right this time?” They simply gauge the legitimacy of the instruction, texts, and contexts in which their education takes places. It is up to the leadership and the teachers at the classroom level to ensure students’ reading and writing pathways are being protected. This can be difficult in the face of stifling, misguided policies and mandates.

We can afford policy to fail, but we can’t afford literacy instruction to fail. Our current efforts to improve reading and writing achievement and nurture intellectual development may boil up to policy, but it boils down to teaching reading and writing. We are not just missing the mark with respect to African American boys, we are missing the mark with many of the nation’s children. Ultimately, members of CGCS must work to ensure that literacy-based solutions are not static, and that policy-based solutions are not erratic—both yielding small upticks in reading and writing achievement until the next policy solutions are introduced. Some of our African American male students may not be around that long. Data suggest this last point is irrefutable.

Summary of Solutions

1. Become knowledgeable about current practice and research on reading and writing achievement for African American males.
   a. Reading and writing achievement sits at several different intersections, race and gender among them, although race and gender are not root causes of reading and writing difficulties.
   b. The profiles of struggling and nonstruggling African American male readers and writers are varied.
   c. Struggling readers and writers are often categorized based on assessment scores that offer little information in addressing their needs.

d. Closing the reading and writing achievement gaps has traditionally been based on a slow-growth model entrenched in the idea that three to five years of professional development is needed to turn around schools. Schools are generally not turned around using a slow-growth model anchored by skill and strategy development alone. However, a slow-growth model is adopted because there is very little literacy research involving African American males that has yielded exponential growth in literacy achievement.

e. The absence of empirical evidence has led to the adoption of oversimplified solutions. While these priorities are promising, they are too generic for advancing the literacy development of African American male adolescents. More specific guidance is needed. Most school literacy practices continue to miss the mark and suffer from an underestimation of the range and depths of student needs.

2. Incorporate the findings from the National Reading Panel (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development 2000) in professional development for teachers, curriculum development, and classroom instruction.
   a. Teaching phonemic awareness (PA) to children significantly improves their reading more than instruction that lacks any attention to PA.
   b. Teaching systematic phonics instruction produces significant benefits for students in kindergarten through sixth grade and for children having difficulty learning to read.
   c. Providing fluency instruction has a significant and positive impact on word recognition, fluency, and comprehension across a range of grade levels.
   d. Providing vocabulary instruction leads to gains in comprehension, but methods must be appropriate to the age and ability of the reader.
   e. Providing explicit or formal instruction in the application of comprehension strategies has been shown to be highly effective in enhancing understanding.

3. Build instructional programs around the essential elements of fostering reading comprehension (Samuels and Farstrup 2011):
   a. Building disciplinary knowledge
b. Providing exposure to a volume and range of texts
c. Providing motivating texts and contexts for reading
d. Teaching strategies for comprehending
e. Teaching text structures
f. Engaging students in discussion
g. Building vocabulary and language knowledge
h. Integrating reading and writing, observing
i. Assessing students
j. Differentiating instruction

4. Recognize that increasing the volume of experiences students have interacting with texts significantly correlates with their overall reading success.
a. Reading comprehension is correlated with high student engagement with texts.
b. Reading comprehension is heightened by discipline and world knowledge, and effective teachers of reading comprehension who employ classroom discussions to make meaning of the texts they encounter.

5. Create lessons that focus on the intersections of reading, writing, and intellectual development.

6. Know the Common Core State Standards in English Language Arts (National Governors Association 2010), which call for:
a. Including texts that are appropriately complex at each grade level to develop the mature language skills and the conceptual knowledge for students to succeed in school and life.
b. Engaging students in close and careful reading by requiring them to focus on the specifics of the text, drawing evidence from the text, and gleaning meaning from it.
c. Ensuring that 50 percent of reading material is focused on informational reading in grades three through five or literary nonfiction in grades six through twelve. Additionally, there is a fundamental shift away from using “leveled texts” based on Lexile levels and assigning students to tiers influenced by response to intervention (RTI).

7. Avoid narrowly focused approaches that have a history of little impact on improving the reading and writing achievement and nurturing the intellectual development of African American males.

8. Ask the following questions:
a. Am I making sound instructional, assessment, curricular, and leadership decisions that increase the likelihood of an exponential growth model for all children, including African American males who struggle with reading and for those who do not struggle with reading?
b. Are my efforts too narrow to achieve sustainable literacy-related goals focused on students’ reading and writing skill development and students’ multiple identities (e.g., academic, developmental, personal)?

9. Prioritize language development in the primary grades. African American males must be immersed in rich language experiences and have many experiences with rare words.

10. Avoid narrow approaches to literacy development. Instead, focus on the intersection of reading, writing, and intellectual development.

11. Efforts must be made to improve teacher and principal quality focused on the multiple vital signs of literacy development. Efforts should be made to audit reading programs and practices using the vital signs as a starting point.

12. Use the collective power of organizations like the Council of the Great City Schools to force curriculum developers and publishers to address these intersections.

13. Examine curriculum orientations and clearly define the purposes of literacy instruction with the recognition that a focus on test scores alone can become problematic as African American males move beyond the primary grades.

14. Support and encourage ethically responsible reading research agendas so that African American males benefit as they become part of research studies.

15. Force changes in teacher-preparation programs and refuse to accept student teachers from university programs or other programs that do not address these intersections.
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Table 1.

| Over-reliance on “existing” reading profiles to make instructional decisions |
| “Three Profiled Readers” |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BELOW</th>
<th>BASIC</th>
<th>PROFICIENT</th>
<th>ADVANCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profile 1</td>
<td>Profile 2</td>
<td>Profile 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Proposed Solutions: Reading and Writing Instructional Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings from the National Reading Panel</th>
<th>Essential Elements of Fostering Reading Comprehension (Samuels and Farstrup 2011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teaching phonemic awareness (PA) to children significantly improves their reading more than instruction that lacks any attention to PA.</td>
<td>Building disciplinary knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching systematic phonics instruction produces significant benefits for students in kindergarten through sixth grade and for children having difficulty learning to read.</td>
<td>Providing exposure to a volume and range of texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing fluency instruction has a significant and positive impact on word recognition, fluency, and comprehension across a range of grade levels.</td>
<td>Providing motivating texts and contexts for reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing vocabulary instruction leads to gains in comprehension, but methods must be appropriate to the age and ability of the reader.</td>
<td>Teaching strategies for comprehending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing explicit or formal instruction in the application of comprehension strategies has been shown to be highly effective in enhancing understanding.</td>
<td>Teaching text structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging students in discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building vocabulary and language knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrating reading and writing: observing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiating instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Addressing the Achievement Gap: General Reforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving teacher and principal effectiveness to ensure that every classroom has a great teacher and every school has a great leader</td>
<td>Adopting standards and assessments that prepare students for college and to compete in the global economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing information to families to help them evaluate and improve their children’s school, and to help educators improve their students’ learning</td>
<td>Building data systems that measure student growth and success, and inform teachers and principals about how they can improve instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing college- and career-ready standards and developing improved assessments aligned with those standards</td>
<td>Recruiting, rewarding, and training effective teachers and principals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving student learning and achievement in America’s lowest-performing schools by providing intensive support and effective interventions</td>
<td>Turning around the nation’s lowest-achieving schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Vital Signs of Literacy Instruction across Pre-K–12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vital Signs</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Readers</th>
<th>Reading Instruction</th>
<th>Educators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rationale</td>
<td>Supporting Skill and Strategy Development</td>
<td>Honoring Multiple Identities</td>
<td>Nurturing Engagement</td>
<td>Improving Teacher Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
<td>Word Knowledge Fluency Strategy Knowledge Writing Language Proficiency</td>
<td>Personal Home Life Culture Environment Language Economics</td>
<td>Quality Instructional Support Text Context Assessment Technology</td>
<td>Competence Caring Commitment Culpability Courage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fluency Practice Piece

Perhaps the greatest dilemma in the attempts to raise ethnic minority income is that those methods which have historically proved successful – self-reliance, work, skills, education, business experience – are all slow developing, while those methods which are more direct and immediate – job quotas, charity, subsidies, preferential treatment – tend to undermine self-reliance and pride in achievement in the long run. If the history of American ethnic groups shows anything, it is how large a role has been played by attitudes – and particularly attitudes of self-reliance.

Thomas Sowell
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1. dilemma
2. minority
3. historically
4. experience
5. immediate
6. quotas
7. subsidies
8. preferential
9. particularly
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Black Toilet Paper
by Alfred W. Tatum
January 30, 2011, 4:28 pm

“Black toilet paper, please?”
The store clerk gave me a strange look.
“Are you mistaken,” he asked?
He pointed to aisle number 3
Expecting me to follow the same path
I had already scanned the shelves
I knew what I wanted
He tried to convince me otherwise
I wasn’t mistaken
I was just in the wrong place
My failed request became a personal request
I am determined to get some black toilet paper.

Fluency Practice Piece

There are the voices which we hear in solitude but they grow faint and inaudible as we enter into the world. Society everywhere is in conspiracy against the manhood of every one of its members. Whoso would be a man, must be a nonconformist. Nothing is at last sacred but the integrity of your mind. Absolve you to yourself, and you shall have the suffrage of the world. I am ashamed to think how easily we capitulate to badges and names, to large societies and dead institutions.

Ralph Waldo Emerson

Developed by Alfred Tatum
Accelerating the Learning of Underperforming Students in High School Mathematics

The Algebra Project: Challenges and Opportunities

As our nation and world confront severe economic and environmental challenges, our public education system must raise the level of mathematics knowledge, producing highly skilled, creative individuals who can take the lead in technical innovation and find solutions to a variety of problems.

Not only is the overall number of college STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) majors too low to meet societal challenges, but the participation of African American and Hispanic male and female students—a vast pool of talent—in STEM studies and careers is disproportionately low in comparison with other population groups (BEST 2004). Increasing and diversifying representation in STEM courses not only addresses workforce shortfalls but strengthens science knowledge, because different backgrounds and experiences give rise to different questions from new perspectives, which in turn serves the creative enterprise of science (Selby 2006; Malcom, Chubin, and Jesse 2004).

Our projects—the Algebra Project and the Young People’s Project—target those underserved students who are now performing in the bottom quartile on commonly used state and national achievement tests. Boys of African American and Latino descent score disproportionately low on these tests in comparison with the general population.

The in-school learning activities of the Algebra Project and out-of-school activities of the Young People’s Project are all designed to develop students’ competence in mathematics as well as their sense of self-efficacy. A review of self-efficacy research (McClure and Rodriguez 2007) indicates that students’ belief in their ability to succeed in science tasks, courses, or activities, or their science self-efficacy, influences their choices of science-related activities, the effort they expend on those activities, the perseverance they show when encountering difficulties, and the ultimate success they experience in science (p. 486).
Project Description

History and Current Goals

The Algebra Project’s current work addresses the mathematics education of students who enter high school performing in the lowest quartile on state or national achievement tests. The project enables them to “catch up,” graduate in four years, and qualify to take college math courses for college credit. It was built on some sixteen years of experience in middle schools (1983–2000), followed by developing and piloting a new program with instructional materials at the high school level, with support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) (see Moses and Cobb 2001). The Project now has evidence of successful teacher engagement, long-term student outcomes in middle schools, and promising results in high schools.

In middle schools where more than half of the students participated in the Algebra Project in school or out of school (in YPP), graduates enrolled in college-prep math courses in high school at about twice the rate of graduates of similar schools not participating in the Algebra Project (West, Davis, Lynch and Atlas 1998; West, Davis, and Currell 2006).

Of about seven hundred teachers who took part in an NSF-funded professional development program from 1997 to 2001, 31 percent participated in more than the minimum 100 hours expected, and 17 percent participated in from 150 to 400 hours. These teachers volunteered to attend additional workshops, facilitate workshops for their peers, or organize and lead sessions for students. Teacher participation compared favorably with a similar NSF mathematics professional-development program for grades three through eight in the greater Boston area (West and Davis 2007).

At the first implementation of a four-year high school cohort program with daily math instruction in sixty- to ninety-minute periods, Algebra Project students outperformed non–Algebra Project students at the same high school on many indicators. For example, 69 percent of Algebra Project students who remained in the project for two years graduated high school in four years, compared with 27 percent of students who were in the project for one year or not at all. This high school was historically the lowest performing of eight high schools in Jackson, Mississippi. And in two low-performing high schools piloting the new grades nine–ten instructional modules (Petersburg, Virginia; San Francisco), Algebra Project students outperformed their nonparticipating peers on their districts’ end-of-course test and student scores were especially high on the functions strand (West 2010).

In a study assessing students’ thinking abilities conducted in three high schools, Algebra Project students demonstrated an understanding of and problem-solving abilities for basic-function concepts on a level that compared favorably with incoming college students, and even pre-service teachers (Dubinsky, Leon, and Wilson 2010).

School records have been used to assess long-term student-achievement outcomes, and we have extensive video archives and in-school and out-of-school anecdotal evidence suggesting positive project impact. These include written and/or oral testimonies by students, teachers, and parents, and students’ descriptions of their own development from year to year. However, at this time, it is essential for us to learn how to monitor successful implementations in order to scale up effectively. Therefore we seek to identify:

+ Features and metrics for successful ongoing implementation of all project components
+ Interim measures for levels of development in students’ attitudes
+ Facilitators of and obstacles to scaling up

The Algebra Project is currently transitioning from working with individual classrooms within schools to working with whole schools. Our long-term goal is to enable a majority of previously low-performing students to:

+ Pass state-mandated high school graduation tests and mathematics subject-matter tests
+ Graduate high school on time (in four years)
+ Qualify for admission to a college that offers courses meeting STEM career interests
+ Once in college, qualify to take college mathematics courses for credit (rather than remedial courses)

We also aim for specific student outcomes that are known from research to be critical to mathematics participation, persistence, and preparation. They include:

+ Increased interest in mathematics
+ Interest in pursuing a career that requires mathematical knowledge
+ Knowledge of mathematics content and skills
+ Advanced mathematics course-taking
+ Participation in other STEM activities
The project believes that it is necessary to “harness the peer culture” in order to “turn around” schools and build the needed motivation for academic success among students, teachers, and in schools. The project’s Cohort Model has both in-school and out-of-school components. Site activities ideally begin with pre-grade-nine activities for students and families, as well as multiple discussions with the school and community members (informal needs assessment). Cohorts of students are kept together in math class for all four years of high school, instructed by project-trained teachers who teach math daily in long periods using project-designed materials. Afterschool programs and summer programs are in place for students, with ongoing professional-development support for teachers and outreach to families.

Note that the project’s long-held strategy of parent involvement is now a research-based practice (e.g., Rene and McAlister 2011). Students can join the Young People’s Project (YPP) to participate in near-peer teaching and learning, and also be mentored by college-age YPP-trained math literacy workers (MLWs). The YPP has long practiced extensive mentoring and coaching of high school students, and is currently developing metrics to gauge student development.

Specially designed instructional materials have been created to engage students who may have “given up” on math, or find it uninteresting. Classroom materials lead students through a “five-step curricular process,” beginning with group experiences involving physical motion and observations. Students are guided to break down their experiences into components that they discuss in their own language, and represent in their own pictures and invented icons. Lessons then lead students to “regiment” their language and icons step by step, moving toward the conventional mathematics concepts and procedures. Students practice these transformations until they internalize new mental structures (schema), which can be operated on as mathematical objects using mathematical procedures.

Since 2001, the project has convened a dedicated team of university mathematicians and math educators who have designed and piloted student and teacher materials for grades nine through ten. We are currently developing materials for grades eleven and twelve, with college and career readiness in mind. The materials are very well aligned with the new Common Core State Standards. Out-of-school activities will include math games that follow the same learning principles.

Teachers are introduced to the materials and pedagogy in two-to six-week summer institutes, and are supported with site visits and/or additional institutes during the school year. Teachers and university personnel who show interest, knowledge, and leadership ability may attend the project’s training for trainers: Professional Development for Professional Developers (PDPD). Ideally there are several teachers in a site, who are able to continue supporting each other, developing a professional learning community (PLC).

Table 1 illustrates the project’s model of inputs and outputs, shown in approximately chronological implementation “steps” that build on each other and are modified/adapted as students move from grade to grade.
nine to twelve. Note the high level of community involvement evidenced in these steps. The project believes that, in communities where families and students do not have a high expectation of educational success, family and community engagement are essential to effective implementation and positive outcomes.

**Current Work**

The National Science Foundation’s Discovery Research K–12 program has funded the Algebra Project to test the Cohort Model implemented in four sites that were not previously affiliated with the project (NSF award #DRL-0822176). Evidence from this research will build on the promising evidence collected at the development site, Lanier High School, Jackson, Mississippi, where project staff were extensively involved in implementation (West & Davis 2004).

**Algebra Project Cohort Model and Expectations**

The Algebra Project Cohort Model is a program created to accelerate the mathematical learning of students previously underperforming in mathematics. It is based on research and development experiences at Lanier High School in Jackson, Mississippi, and at Edison High School in Miami, Florida, and a current five-year, NSF-supported research study of cohort classes in Los Angeles, California; Eldorado, Illinois; Mansfield, Ohio; and Ypsilanti, Michigan. The Cohort model involves keeping a group of students together for math instruction from grades nine through grade twelve. Based on past experience, the Algebra Project proposes five “required” features and several “recommended” features. These features will enable students who enter high school performing in the bottom quartile on national or state tests to become prepared for college study.

**Cohort Implementation**

1. **Cohort schools commit to reducing class size to twenty students and providing a daily math class for sixty to ninety minutes for all four years, and providing a common planning period if there is more than one Algebra Project teacher.**

2. **Cohort students commit to taking math classes daily for sixty to ninety minutes with Algebra Project teachers for all four years and participating in summer institutes as well as other aspects of the program, listed below.**

3. **Students use the Algebra Project’s experientially based classroom materials for all four years.**

4. **Teachers are prepared and supported in the use of the Algebra Project’s materials by participating in two to six weeks of summer and winter professional development (PD) institutes annually, as well as at least two classroom visits by experienced Algebra Project professional developers during the school year.**

5. **Cohort students attend summer institutes (that are locally developed and designed) to enhance their learning.**

**Expected Outcomes for Students**

If the five Cohort Characteristics are implemented, we predict the following outcomes for cohort students, which is the focus of research being conducted at four sites participating in the five-year NSF initiative:

1. **More than 90 percent of the cohort students will choose to remain in the program for all four years.**

2. **All cohort students who remain in the program will pass state mathematics tests and mathematics sections of graduation exams.**

3. **All cohort students who remain in the program will perform sufficiently well on college entrance exams (SAT® or ACT®) to gain admission into college.**

4. **A large majority of cohort students who remain in the program will place out of remedial math in college and will be qualified to enroll in mathematics courses for college credit.**

5. **Cohort students will exhibit:**

   a. a positive attitude toward mathematics and confidence in their own mathematical thinking

   b. a desire and capacity to engage in deep mathematical thinking about various concepts

   c. a willingness to demand engagement from their peers, and to take responsibility for the classroom environment

   d. an insistence on support from adults, including teachers, parents, administrators, and government officials
Our model is designed to accelerate, rather than remediate, student performance. Young people are asked to work on their motivation and commitment and help develop the family and community involvement needed for such acceleration—to pass state- and district-mandated tests in mathematics, to pass the mathematics portions of any graduation test, to score well enough on the SAT or ACT to enter college, and to place into mathematics courses for college credit (not remedial courses). The combination of innovative classroom materials and professional-development work, together with community involvement, provides an intervention that can significantly transform the peer culture, even in the face of negative forces.

**Classroom Practice and Student Proficiency in Mathematics**

Teachers and students need support in the reorganization of their classrooms around discussion and conjecture rather than procedures and skills. But students still need to develop procedural proficiency based upon a foundation of conceptual understanding. We are instituting three practices to support these needs.

1. **Accountable Talk.** Accountable Talk is a protocol enabling teachers to structure classroom discourse to strategically support learning. One of the founders of Accountable Talk, M. Catherine O’Connor, and the first Algebra Project teacher, Lynne M. Godfrey, train teachers in the protocol to ensure that it is institutionalized at all grade levels involved in the project (see Michaels, et al. 2003 and 2008).

2. **Review for tests.** A central part of giving low-performing students opportunities to internalize an “I can do the math” disposition is providing a platform where those students can demonstrate their own efficacy in mathematics to themselves.

3. **Embedded classroom support.** The head of the Algebra Project’s Professional Development Program works with teachers in their classrooms, with school- and district-based mathematics coaches, and with mathematicians and mathematics educators to design and ensure ongoing professional development support to teachers implementing the Algebra Project.

**Fundamental Strategies**

Research shows that preparing students for careers that have a foundation in mathematics literacy requires (1) specific knowledge and skills that are concretely connected to real-world problems, applications, and careers; (2) career exploration that attends to human development as well as future directions of science and technology; and (3) a robust system of informed participation and support from parents/guardians, teachers, and counselors that is designed to promote decisions and positive actions critical to building young people’s self-efficacy and to reduce negative behaviors and messages.

Our program is grounded in several fundamentals based on research in mathematics learning, and on work with students in low-performing schools:

- **A shared, experiential pedagogy:** Students and teachers must be given opportunities to participate in real experiences and investigations, make observations, discuss them, experiment, and observe again.
- **Exploring a more limited number of topics in depth, to prepare for college-level work:** For students to grasp the most important mathematics concepts at the depth of knowledge needed for college-level and advanced studies, the number of topics in the curriculum should be reduced to a few key topics.
- **Starting where learners are now:** Our experts’ approach to math and science instruction, as well as to reading and writing, addresses learners’ individual starting points.
- **Developing students’ learning identities:** Mathematics programs have long focused on building career awareness through field visits, mentors, and role models. This project will enhance those activities with attention to self-efficacy.
- **Professional development (PD) for teachers:** PD activities should engage teachers in learning of the subject matter, enriching and deepening their knowledge, while at the same time modeling for them how to teach. Teachers should have opportunities to observe demonstration lessons, participate in debriefings, co-teach, and design and adapt lessons. They should receive feedback from peers and experts, and be able to set goals for themselves and monitor their progress.
- **Group discussion and classroom discourse:** Learning is known to be most robust when learners become actively engaged in reasoning about the knowledge they are acquiring.
- **Extended learning time for students with low student/teacher ratio:** Since many of our students enter high school performing below grade level, they must be enabled to “catch up” through extended learning time and more attention from teachers and their assistants, ideally in a ratio of about twelve students to every teacher/teaching assistant, and no more than twenty-five students per class.
+ Peer teaching and learning: Working in low-performing schools where many students do not expect to attend college, the Algebra Project has found that the combination of innovative teaching/learning activities used in school and out of school, together with opportunities to teach and mentor younger students, can change the peer culture, even in the face of negative forces. The Young People’s Project (YPP), an offshoot of the Algebra Project developed by young people in Cambridge, Massachusetts; Jackson, Mississippi; and Chicago, Illinois, has identified more than two thousand college and high school mathematics literacy workers, who have provided learning activities and mentoring for about seven thousand younger students in community-based settings, or assisted in classrooms, in nine sites including Jackson, Chicago, Greater Boston, and Los Angeles. Their “near-peer” model is aligned with twenty-five of Search Institute’s forty “developmental assets for youth” (Search Institute 2012; Tirthapura 2008).

+ Parent and community support: Research shows that parents do not need to be knowledgeable in science or mathematics, but rather, they need to be supportive by communicating and enforcing high expectations for achievement. Our outreach activities are designed for this kind of parental support and community recognition.

+ Support of school and district leaders: From twenty years of experience in more than one hundred schools in urban and rural districts, the Algebra Project has learned that support of school and district leaders is essential to successful implementation and positive student outcomes.

**Target Population**

For its first ten to fifteen years, the Algebra Project was the only mathematics-education project designed for and by African American students and families in low-income urban and rural communities. Recently, the project has expanded its target population to include all low-performing students. The NSF-funded test sites for the Cohort Model include two schools with predominantly African American students, one with African American and white students, one with a majority of Hispanic students, and one with Appalachian rural and white students.

The project’s curricular materials were developed in classrooms of the target populations first at middle school (as a pre-algebra “transition curriculum”) and then in high schools with two awards from NSF, and the participation of university-based mathematicians/math educators. Teacher institutes are co-led by university-based specialists and the project’s experienced PD personnel, and an institute to develop teacher support specialists includes both kinds of participants. The NSF granted supplementary funds in 2008 to study effective collaboration between university discipline specialists and school-based educators/PD specialists.

The activities of the AP curriculum are nationally recognized for being “culturally responsive.” The project was invited to present at the NSF’s conference on Culturally Responsive Mathematics Education held in 2004, which resulted in a 2009 volume edited by Brian Greer, Swapna Mukhopadhyay, Arthur B. Powell, and Sharon Nelson-Barber. As described in that volume (Moses, West, and Davis 2009), the project’s pedagogy is “culturally responsive” but not culturally exclusive. Project materials "start where students are," which is consistent with best practice in science teaching and learning. Where students are may include past experiences that are cultural in nature, but classroom work includes group experiences, such as field trips, that build a common classroom culture and a foundation on which to scaffold mathematics learning.

**Performance Measures**

The project’s goals for the Cohort Model are: passing scores or persistence in taking state-mandated tests; progress through the college-prep curriculum resulting in on-time (four years) high school graduation; qualifying to enter a college that will prepare the student for a career of their choosing; and placing out of remedial mathematics courses in college.

These performance indicators are well established, are readily available in schools, and are excellent monitoring tools. However, we find that the successful attainment of these outcomes depends on assistance from teachers and school leaders to raise students’ expectations and confidence.

We are currently developing interim measures to assess quality of implementation as well as quantitative measures to assess growth in student attitudes as well as student socioemotional development. These tools would provide the project with reliable, quantitative, and formative data needed for expansion.

**Public System Involvement and Sustainability**

Our work in high school pilot and current test sites shows that it is essential to have good buy-in and cooperation from the school system and school. The project’s curriculum is designed so that students will “catch up” academically, and requires doubling math instructional time, class sizes of twenty or fewer, and keeping students together as a cohort for four years. It requires a two-week introduction for teachers, and ongoing professional-development time. In order to schedule this project, some schools have to hire another teacher. We also find that schools have to be assisted to ensure that cohort students are kept together from year to year. This was established easily in several sites (Mansfield, Ohio; Eldorado, Illinois; Petersburg, Virginia; Proviso, Illinois), but is much more difficult in large schools and complex school systems.
In a large system, the project may develop buy-in of a particular community but get bogged down later, or fail to expand. In fact, the project’s demands on public bureaucracies are radical and must be carefully tended. With this in mind, in the case of Los Angeles, the Algebra Project retained OneLA-IAF to ensure that the project was established in the neighborhoods, schools, and school system. OneLA-IAF is part of the national Industrial Areas Foundation, which was founded by Saul Alinsky in 1940. OneLA-IAF is an experienced community development organization that works on issues of education, housing and banking, training and development, and healthcare in the Los Angeles metropolitan area. (For more information, visit the organization’s website at http://onela-iaf.org/.) The large high schools in the Los Angeles area have been successful largely because of sustained support at both the local school site and district levels, as facilitated by OneLA-IAF.

**Public System Dissemination**

The project already has in place professional-development materials and train-the-trainer workshops (now termed “professional development for professional developers (PDPD)”; reports of past evaluations; newsletters; and technical assistance from evaluators. In the past, needs assessments have been conducted through in-depth discussions with parents, business leaders, school leaders, teachers, and students. The Algebra Project has extensive experience with this process and is able to get a good understanding of the community and school needs, and then tailors the cohort implementation to those needs. However, the project lacks tools to measure fidelity. In this case, “fidelity” includes tailoring to local needs and objectives. The quality and fidelity of the implementation must be defined to allow local adaptations, but to also ensure that teachers are following the program’s pedagogy and not reverting to old practices. These new tools must include an assessment of community, superintendents, central office staffs, principals, and teacher buy-in.

**Summary of Solutions**

1. Implement a program that:
   a. Is grounded in research-based practices, including a shared, experiential pedagogy. Students and teachers are given opportunities to participate in real experiences and investigations, make observations, discuss them, experiment, and observe again.
   b. Explores a limited number of topics in depth, to prepare for college-level work. For students to grasp the most important mathematics concepts at the depth of knowledge needed for college-level and advanced studies, the number of topics in the curriculum should be cut back to a few key topics.
   c. Starts where learners are now. Math and science instruction, as well as reading and writing, should address learners’ individual starting points.
   d. Develops students’ learning identities. Mathematics programs have long focused on building career awareness through field visits, mentors, and role models.
   e. Supports professional development (PD) for teachers. PD activities should engage teachers in learning the subject matter and enriching and deepening their knowledge, while at the same time modeling for them how to teach. Teachers should have opportunities to observe demonstration lessons, participate in debriefings, co-teach, and design and adapt lessons. They should receive feedback from peers and experts, and be able to set goals for themselves and monitor their progress.
   f. Encourages group discussion and classroom discourse. Learning is known to be most robust when learners become actively engaged in reasoning about the knowledge they are acquiring.
   g. Extends learning time for students in classrooms with low student/teacher ratios. Since many of our students enter high school performing below grade level, they must be enabled to “catch up” through extended learning time and more attention from teachers and their assistants, ideally in a ratio of about twelve students to every teacher/teaching assistant, and no more than twenty-five students per class.
   h. Provides peer teaching and learning. Working in low-performing schools where many students may not expect to attend college, the combination of innovative teaching/learning activities used in school and out of school, together with opportunities to teach and mentor younger students, can change the peer culture, even in the face of negative forces.
   i. Engages parents and community. Research shows that parents do not need to be knowledgeable in science or mathematics, but rather, they need to be supportive by communicating and enforcing high expectations for achievement.
   j. Has the support of school and district leaders. Support of school and district leaders is essential to successful implementation and positive student outcomes.
2. Create programs that are designed to increase students’
   a. Interest in mathematics
   b. Interest in pursuing a career that requires mathematical knowledge
   c. Knowledge of mathematics content and skills
   d. Advanced mathematics course-taking
   e. Participation in other STEM activities

3. Devise and implement a program that has both in-school and out-of-school components.

4. Conduct a formal or informal needs assessment with school and community members in order to obtain an understanding of the students’ strengths, weaknesses, and challenges.

5. Create pre-grade-nine mathematics activities for students and their families.

6. Create cohorts of no more than twenty students, and have those cohorts undergo mathematics instruction together for all four years of high school. Incorporate the following practices in mathematics instruction:
   a. Provide students with a ninety-minute math class daily.
   b. Develop summer institutes for students to enhance their learning and reduce their “summer learning loss.”
   c. Ensure that students have access to and use high-quality materials for all four years.
   d. Provide a common planning period if there is more than one teacher teaching mathematics.
   e. Conduct summer and winter professional-development institutes for teachers annually.
   f. Conduct at least two classroom visits by experienced curriculum leaders during the school year.

7. Provide afterschool programs for outreach to students’ families.

8. Provide near-peer mentoring by college and high school students for elementary and middle school students with teaching and learning activities out-of-school.

9. Provide teachers with a well-designed summer institute, minimum two weeks, where they are introduced to materials and pedagogy including:
   a. **Accountable Talk.** Enables teachers to structure classroom discourse to strategically support learning, and organize classrooms around discussion and conjecture rather than procedures and skills.
   b. **Review for tests.** Give all students, especially low-performing students, opportunities to demonstrate their own efficacy in mathematics, and to pace their own practice toward procedural proficiency in mathematics.
   c. **Embedded Classroom Support.** Support teachers in their classrooms and ensure ongoing professional development.

10. Recognize the importance of and put in place processes to enable buy-in from community, superintendents, central office staffs, principals, and teachers.
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Table 1. Project Algebra Input-Output Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INPUTS</th>
<th>OUTPUTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP school and community needs assessment, design, and program buy-in</td>
<td>Community holds school accountable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPP establishes youth program and community outreach</td>
<td>Youth and families learn about the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP family engagement/student recruitment</td>
<td>Families buy in to program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP teacher institute and ongoing teacher support</td>
<td>Teachers gain content knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teachers gain pedagogy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teachers establish professional learning community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teachers choose to continue implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP trainer of trainers program</td>
<td>Teachers assist other teachers, potential to support additional schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School provides ongoing support</td>
<td>a. School provides needed schedule modifications, administration support, opportunities for teachers to interact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Program interfaces with distinct practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. School supports college admissions process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP ongoing formative evaluation</td>
<td>Feedback to program staff who adjust program as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPP ongoing formative evaluation</td>
<td>Feedback to program staff who adjust program as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation continuing grades 9–12</td>
<td>a. Students pass or persist on mandated tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Students display attitudes for educational achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Students score adequately on college admissions tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Students graduate from HS in 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Students pass college placement exams (do not require remediation in mathematics)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix

It’s Time to Include the Children: A Statement from Omowale J. Moses, National Co-Director of the Young People’s Project (YPP)

When I volunteered to go to Mississippi, I was signing up to get myself together. I followed my younger brother and father to the Sam M. Brinkley Middle School in May 1995, after my basketball career ground to a halt when the George Washington Colonials lost to the Ohio University Bobcats in the NIT Tournament. I had spent the last decade pursuing the NBA and the accumulation of material things that are important to most twenty-year-olds. With no sense of purpose and clear direction, I asked my dad if I could spend the next year working with him in his classroom. He was surprised. He had spent the better part of the last ten years recruiting his children to work with the Algebra Project, which he characterized as the family business. I was the last one he expected to sign up voluntarily. The truth is, I hadn’t thought about doing much else with my life other than playing basketball and was fortunate to have a place to go to and the tools to reimagine myself.

While growing up in Cambridge, Massachusetts, my dad moved us from the campus of Harvard University, where he was finishing a PhD, to the street across from the Newton Court housing projects; conscientiously placing my brother and I, then eight and ten, among the children whose failures are predictable and profitable for American business. The children of the Algebra Project and the children of the Young People’s Project (YPP) are these children—the children from my neighborhood who grew up on streets occupied by a war on drugs, the children of Mississippi who embraced my brother and then me when we arrived, the six sets of brothers that became and remain my friends to this day. It wasn’t clear then where the choices our ten-, eleven-, and twelve-year-old selves were making would lead. Now, at the median of our lives, the various outcomes include: dead, fifteen years in jail for murder; school committee member; in jail for assault and battery; general contractor; in jail for selling drugs; in jail for drug use; meter maid; homeless; IT technician; nonprofit executive director; and financial manager. In the shadows of institutions like Harvard and MIT, most of us couldn’t see beyond what was in front of us, couldn’t imagine making other choices, and had no clue as to how those choices became available.

Within a week of my arrival in Mississippi, my dad began declaring that the young people need to get their act together. There was a sense of urgency in his voice that my brother and I didn’t share. He talked about jail, saying that if young people didn’t do well in math they were going to end up in jail. The cover of the February 21, 1993, edition of the New York Times Magazine had a picture of him with children from the Mississippi Delta beneath the title We Shall Overcome, This Time with Algebra: Bob Moses and Mississippi Children Focus on a Plastic Learning Screen—A Path Out of Modern Bondage. It’s difficult to make the connection between success in algebra and serfdom. When I was playing at George Washington my dad came to town to give a speech to a bunch of mathematicians. A decade before Google and Facebook, he told them that whether they liked it or not they were the leaders of the planet. It was difficult for them to imagine the role history and the evolution of technology had conceived for them, harder still to enlist them in a struggle for freedom and democracy. As we worked to create a Math Lab out of an unused classroom, clearing the walls of chipped paint before covering them with affirming words and images, my dad’s unspoken hope was that we would join the struggle he and students our age participated in during the civil rights movement in the 1960s: confronting America on paper and in practice, as they removed Jim Crow from public accommodations and the democratic political apparatus. Getting our act together in 1995 meant organizing ourselves, without the explicit threat to our lives that sharecroppers faced as they stepped off plantations to demand the right to vote, to remove what has amounted to Jim Crow in the educational system, and to erase the narrative that Black boys in particular are complicit in their own failure. We began innocently, doing graphing calculator workshops for teachers and then students in Jackson and the Mississippi Delta to prepare them for the statewide Algebra 1 exam.

The Young People’s Project was founded in 1996 with my brother, a cousin, and eight eighth-grade Algebra Project students from Brinkley. Over the last sixteen years we have successfully tapped the energy of thousands of high school students in urban and rural communities across America to work to ensure that mathematics isn’t a barrier to high school graduation, college entry or career choice. YPP trains and employs teams of high school students, coached and mentored by college students, to conduct math labs for third through sixth graders and algebra labs for sixth through eighth graders in community and school-based afterschool programs. We call this Math Literacy Work. Through this work we enlist students who have historically been set up to fail to work to address the mathematics needs of their communities. The competencies these experiences seek to develop are teamwork and cooperation, self-confidence, achievement, relationship building, and conceptual and analytical thinking. Through these efforts we have come to believe, and have demonstrated, that young people, particularly black and brown boys, need to be part of designing and implementing proposed solutions to transforming their academic and life outcomes.

Our mission is to use Math Literacy Work to develop the abilities of elementary through high school students to succeed in school and in life and, in doing so, involve them in efforts to eliminate institutional obstacles to their success. Our vision includes all young people having access to high quality education and the skills, attributes, and community support needed to successfully meet the challenges of their generation. The outcomes that we strive to produce begin in third grade and continue into college: success in grade-six math, passing Algebra 1/Algebra Exam, completing grade nine on time, staying on track for college math, acquiring Math Literacy Worker Competencies, successfully completing a minimum of two years of college, developing leadership skills, demonstrating leadership action, registering to vote if eligible, and contributing to the elimination of institutional obstacles to student success.
In discussions with students from Cambridge and Boston, Jackson, Mississippi, and Brooklyn, New York, we spent a lot of time talking about success and what gets in the way of it. The students described success as: happiness, always growing, having a vision and working hard to get there, overcoming obstacles, helping others on the way to success, and the ability to rise after failure. Through YPP, doing math literacy work has been an entry point for students to experience and think about success as individuals and, more broadly, as members of a group and a community. Math Literacy Work also challenges high school students to think about the conditions that drive their learning experiences and the subsequent choices they see available and make.

I brought a childhood friend to our discussion about institutional obstacles a few weeks ago in Cambridge. He hasn’t had a job his entire life and is a year out of a fifteen-year prison sentence. As we began talking about barriers to success, the students struggled to define “institution.” Some of the ideas they came up with were institutions have rules and expectations, they are bigger than you and impact how your life plays out whether negative or positive, sometimes they can be controlled and sometimes not. My friend wanted to know why I invited him to join our conversation. In his mind these students weren’t the young people faced with the choices he had been faced with growing up. But they are. They are all navigating a similar terrain in which there is little or no safety net for the decisions they make as adolescents. The experience of doing Math Literacy Work allowed them to see beyond what’s in front of them and allowed them to understand, imagine, and create new possibilities.

I am better at math and leadership and expressing my opinions because of YPP, because, when you’re in school, or out in the world what you think usually doesn’t matter because you’re just a kid. YPP listened to our opinions, and cared. I was terrible at math, and kind of still am, but I’m much better at it. I can do multiplication and factors now and Venn diagrams better too. In YPP I learned leadership better because I used to not lead anything, or you know, run anything, I let others do it. In YPP you are responsible for a workshop and you have to run what you plan. I expected to learn how to teach math and how to have fun with it. I did but it’s a lot more complicated than I thought it would be. I expected to learn ways to play with math, but I didn’t expect it to be so hard! I expected to learn how to control an environment with younger kids. I guess I also expected to learn how to lead little kids and I did. A lot of what I expected to learn, I did, but it’s a lot harder than I thought it would be.

Tasha, Grade 10 (2010)

People often ask, “Why math?” Math is powerful. In the context of YPP, math is the avenue through which young people can reimagine and make a way for themselves as they begin to understand the choices they have and how they become available. For black and brown boys in particular, there is a need for such spaces and experiences that build their power to define, choose, and achieve success.
Introduction

President Barack Obama set ambitious educational attainment and achievement targets for the nation and has sought innovative ideas and policies to meet them. One ambitious target is for 60 percent of the American adult population to earn a college or university degree by the year 2020 (US Department of Education 2012). The Obama administration has also promoted the development of new Common Core State Standards and is sponsoring the development of corresponding new assessments to measure student achievement. The president’s objective is for the United States to regain its preeminence in the world by (a) once again becoming the nation with the largest share of its population earning college degrees, and (b) ensuring that the US population is prepared for a contemporary labor market requiring more highly educated and skilled workers than in the past (Carnevale, Smith, and Strohl 2010).

Even though these policies are intended for the entire US population, the president’s overall objective could be achieved by raising the rate of achievement, college degree attainment, and employment of
the majority population, even if the minority and relatively low-achieving segments of the population make no progress. The effect would be a widening of existing race and social class gaps and continued suffering of underrepresented minorities in school, college, and the workforce. To prevent these gaps in achievement and attainment from widening, disadvantaged populations that are lagging behind in education and the workforce need to be at the heart of the nation’s efforts to increase college and workforce readiness.

African American males are the most disadvantaged among major population groups in the United States, and have the farthest to travel in order to meet national education achievement and attainment goals. This paper examines the relative condition of achievement and attainment of African American males, the increased productivity required of them to reach the nation’s education and workforce achievement and attainment goals, the obstacles that they must overcome in order to close gaps with other major population groups, and the actions that need to be taken to ensure their college and career readiness.

This paper focuses on the precollegiate academic, financial, and social indicators of college and career readiness, and the actions that need to be taken toward ensuring that African American males are prepared for higher education and the workforce upon becoming adults. We begin by presenting the current status and condition of African American males’ college degree attainment, enrollment, rate of degree completion, and representation among degree holders, and then examine the relative rate of increased effort and production required of African American males to reach national achievement and attainment goals. Next we discuss the three key aspects of preparation and readiness for college and careers—academic, financial, and social—and the ground that African American males need to cover in order to achieve equality. We then present the results of regression analyses showing the relative importance of students’ backgrounds and behaviors in determining their college and career readiness. We close with a discussion of the actions that need to be taken in order for African American males to make progress and avoid being left behind while the rest of the nation soars toward achieving greater preparation, access, and success in college and workforce readiness.

This paper examines the relative condition of achievement and attainment of African American males in the US adult population and their underrepresentation among enrolled college students and degree recipients. Smith, and Strohl 2010). Here we present data characterizing the relatively low degree attainment of African American males in the US adult population and their underrepresentation among enrolled college students and degree recipients.

### Attainment

Figure 1 presents the proportion of the nation’s Black and White men and women who were twenty-five to thirty-four years of age and had achieved one of the following levels of education: (a) some college without receiving a degree, (b) an associate’s degree, or (c) a bachelor’s degree or higher. The data in Figure 1 reveal a large difference between the nation’s Black and White adult populations in the proportions attaining bachelor’s degrees, and the difference between the two racial groups is larger than the sex differences within each race. In 2011 adult Black women had a slight edge over Black men in each of the three categories of degree attainment, ranging from about 2.5 to 5.5 percent.

The difference between Blacks and Whites in bachelor’s degree attainment is substantial. In 2011, approximately 36 percent of the White males and 44 percent of White females between twenty-five and thirty-four years of age had attained at least a bachelor’s degree. These rates of attainment are about double those of Blacks. The gap in associate’s degrees is much narrower. Between 8.5 and 12.5 percent of both races and sexes had attained associate’s degrees.

### Undergraduate Enrollment

The substantially smaller proportion of bachelor’s degrees among the adult African American male population is made more problematic by their underrepresentation in the pipeline of undergraduate students. Unlike degrees attained, where men and women were not much different from one another, in recent years women have made up a larger proportion of college students than men overall and within each racial/ethnic subgroup. But the sex gap is more pronounced within the African American population than in other groups. African American males comprise a lower proportion of African Americans enrolled in college than males represented in each of the other major racial/ethnic groups (Aud et al. 2011b, Table A-8-3). Of the nation’s 17.6 million undergraduate students in 2009, 57 percent were women and 43 percent were men (Aud et al. 2011a, Table A-8-1). Roughly 15 percent of undergraduates in 2009 were African Americans, of which 64 percent were women and 36 percent were men (Aud et al. 2011b). The hopeful news about African American males is that despite continuing to be underrepresented among college and university students in the United States, their undergraduate enrollment increased by percent from 2000 to 2009, from 577,000 to 958,000. Over the same time,
White male undergraduate enrollment increased by 21 percent (from 4,010,000 to 4,860,000) (Aud et al. 2011b, Table A-8-3).

Degree Representation and Completion
As with degree attainment and student enrollments, African American males are underrepresented among recent degree recipients. While making up about 6 percent of the US population, they made up 4 percent of associate’s and 3 percent of bachelor’s degree recipients in 2009. Even though they, too, are underrepresented overall, African American women are better represented than men, comprising slightly more than two-thirds of both the associate’s and bachelor’s degrees awarded to African Americans (Aud et al. 2011e, Table A-26-2).

Despite their continuing underrepresentation among degree recipients, the trend in African Americans earning degrees during the first decade of the twenty-first century was very positive. They received 77 percent more associate’s degrees and 53 percent more bachelor’s degrees in 2009 than in 1999 (Aud et al. 2011e, Table A-26-2). That compares favorably to the nation’s overall increases in associate’s and bachelor’s degree completion of 41 and 33 percent, respectively. The number of associate’s degrees awarded to African American women grew more than that of African American men (83 percent compared to 65 percent), and for both, the increase in the rate of bachelor’s degrees was the same (53 percent) (Aud et al. 2011e, Table A-26-2).

Degree Completion Rates
The increase in representation of African American males among associate’s and bachelor’s degree recipients could have been even greater if their timeliness of completion had been higher. Degree completion rates are presented as the proportion of enrolled college students who graduate within 150 percent of the expected time needed to attain the degree. Of the cohort of students entering public community colleges in 2005, about 12 percent of African American men and women, compared to 23 percent of White men and women, completed associate’s degrees within three years of initially enrolling (Aud et al. 2011d, Table A-23-3). At the bachelor’s degree level, 35 percent of African American men and 44 percent of African American women who entered public colleges and universities in 2002, and 39 percent of men and 49 percent of women who entered private, not-for-profit colleges and universities, graduated within six years. These completion rates compare with 54 percent and 60 percent for White men and women, respectively, in public colleges and universities, and 65 percent and 69 percent, respectively, in private, not-for-profit colleges and universities (Aud et al. 2011e, Table A-23-2). The gaps in timely college completion are much wider between Blacks and Whites than between males and females.

The data presented in this section reveal how African American men, as a group, are the farthest away from achieving the nation’s college access and attainment goals. Among major population groups, they are the least represented among (a) earned degree holders in the adult population of the United States, (b) enrolled undergraduate students, and (c) recent college graduates. The large overall increase of African Americans in college enrollments and earned associate’s and bachelor’s degrees during the past decade offers a glimmer of hope that they are at least beginning the very long road to closing degree-attainment gaps.

Increased Degree of Production Required to Meet the Challenge

Rate of Increase Required
In this section we attempt to put into perspective the ground that needs to be covered in degree attainment based on projections of future attainment, calculated by the authors using a variety of data sources. Achieving the goal of 60 percent among adult twenty-five- to thirty-four-year-olds by 2020 will require a roughly 30 percent increase in the total number of the nation’s growing and diverse population holding college degrees. Taking into account increasing rates of degree attainment over the last decade, the nation will only reach 77 percent of the goal by 2020 unless actions are taken to increase participation (see Appendix A, Table A1). At the present rate of growth, the African American population is projected to reach only 58 percent of the national goal by 2020—African American women will reach 68 percent of the goal, while African American men will be at 46 percent. If growth in degree attainment continues at about the same rate as the last decade, Black males between the ages of twenty-five and thirty-four will increase from 26 percent having at least an associate’s degree to 28 percent by 2020. In order to achieve the 60 percent attainment goal as a group, Black males will need to produce an average of 140,000 additional degrees each year from 2012 to 2020 (see Appendix A, Table A2).

Pool of Prospects to Cultivate
Data from the High School Longitudinal Study showed that around 59 percent of the estimated 248,516 African American male high school ninth-graders in 2009 indicated that they expected to earn a bachelor’s degree, and another 6 percent expected to earn an associate’s degree. Nineteen percent expected to earn less than an associate’s degree and 15 percent indicated that they did not know (National Center for Education Statistics 2009b). Assuming that the number of Black male ninth-graders is the number of students in each grade, if each year the nation succeeded in converting 65 percent (162,368) of Black male twenty-five-year-olds into the degree holders they expect to become, this would constitute 85 percent of the average annual number needed to meet the 60 percent attainment goal in 2020 (192,000) (see Appendix A, Table A2). However, 65 percent attainment among twenty-five-year-olds may be unrealistic, since neither White males nor females are projected to reach this level of attainment even by 2020. A more reasonable target for the rate of attainment among twenty-five-year-old Black males is
55 percent. This would be equivalent to 87,000 degrees, leaving 104,000 of the 192,000 unaccounted for. So in addition to graduating and preparing more African American male high school students for college and the workforce, by recruiting to college each year just over 5 percent annually of the approximately 600,000 African American adult males in the population of twenty-five- to thirty-four-year-olds who have completed some college but have attained no degree, and 5 percent of the additional 1.4 million with no college experience, the goal can be achieved (US Census Bureau 2011, Table 1).

In considering these difficult goals, it is important to note that the number, types, and modes of delivery of post-secondary education and student financial aid are sufficiently available that access and opportunity are abundant for anyone who wishes to attend, as long as they are able to afford it, and are not overly burdened with extraordinary personal and social impediments (Shannon and Smith 2006). But while academic preparation does not operate as an obstacle for the vast majority of people entering some type of post-secondary institution, it has substantial influence on the range of available options concerning where they might attend and the degree of success they experience in performing and completing the curriculum after matriculating (Noeth 2008). Therefore, one of the keys to improving African American male representation and completion of college is improving their precollegiate academic, financial, and social preparation and their educational test scores.

Preparation: The Key to College and Career Readiness

Student success in college and careers is enhanced by years of adequate preparation. Here we discuss the challenges that African American males confront as they prepare academically, financially, and socially for college and careers.

Academic Preparation

The college and workforce application processes focus on students’ academic records, namely high school transcripts reflecting courses taken and grades earned and admissions test scores. College admissions officers and employers use curricula transcripts and test scores as proxies for knowledge, skills, and the ability to achieve. These representations of student achievement weigh heavily in decisions by selective colleges and universities to admit and by employers to hire, and consequently make academic preparation the most prominent component of college and career readiness. Although not an assessment used for college admissions, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is one indicator of academic preparation for college and careers. Presently, African American students generally, and African American males in particular, are among the lowest performers on NAEP, and their performance has not improved relative to other population groups in recent years. Figure 2 shows that in mathematics, only 6 percent of both African American men and women achieved at or above proficient compared to 35 percent of White men and 30 percent of White women, respectively. Figure 3 reveals that in reading, only 12 percent of African American twelfth-grade men compared to 22 percent of African American women were at or above proficient compared to 40 percent of White men and 55 percent of White women in 2009.

While scores on NAEP change somewhat from year to year, they have not improved enough for African Americans to close the vast gaps with other population groups. The situation is unlikely to change unless dramatic improvements are made to education offerings and other living conditions inside and outside of school, and in the testing performance of the vast majority of African Americans. While NAEP does not gather data on school quality or students’ prior attendance in early-childhood education, research has shown that on average low-income and minority groups receive a poorer-quality education. Often schools serving these students are not adequately funded and have lower-quality teachers (Baker, Sciarra, and Farrie 2012; Peske and Haycock 2006). Similarly, low-income and minority children often do not have access to quality early-childhood education services, which have been shown to produce long-lasting effects such as decreased rates of retention, placement in special education, and delinquency and crime; increased rates of high school graduation and college attendance; and higher lifetime earnings (Barnett and Frede 2010; Heckman and Masterov 2007).

Unlike NAEP, college admissions tests are not generalizable to the whole population of students of various age and grade levels. At the same time, however, they are a useful indicator of the readiness of the subpopulation of high school students who self-select to pursue college admissions. The SAT is one of two major college admissions tests. The nearly 96,000 Black men and 120,000 Black women who took the SAT in 2011 represented 6 percent and 7 percent, respectively, of total test-takers. The promising news is that in 2011 there were nearly twice the number of African American males taking the SAT than in 2001, and the number of African American women was 70 percent larger in 2011 than in 2001. These increases in African American test-takers occurred at a time when the overall SAT test-taking population increased by 53 percent. The troubling news is that in terms of scores, African American men continue to trail White men by more than one hundred points, and African American women trail White women by nearly one hundred points on each of the three parts of the test: Critical Reading, Mathematics, and Writing (College Board 2001, 2011). These wide gaps in college admissions tests have persisted historically without substantial narrowing. Beyond revealing substantial differences in achievement, these gaps result in lower representation in the nation’s selective colleges and universities and ultimately lower-status professional networks and occupations for African Americans (Bowen and Bok 1998).

High school curricula and grades are two additional indicators of college and career readiness. Thirty percent of African American men and 34 percent of African American women completed the minimum curriculum considered necessary in order for students to be ready to perform academically in colleges and universities, compared to 58 and 46 percent, respectively, of their White male and female counterparts.
African American men and women college students are at a severe financial disadvantage relative to their White counterparts. Figure 4 shows that 70 percent of African American male beginning college students were from households whose income was below $60,000. Just over one-third were from households where the annual incomes exceeded $90,000 compared to 33 percent of their White male peers.

For the 2003 cohort of entering students, over 70 percent of African American males relied upon financial aid to meet their college expenses compared to 60 percent of their White male counterparts. Around 56 percent of African American males relied upon grants and 44 percent upon loans compared to 44 percent and 33 percent, respectively, of White males (National Center for Education Statistics 2008). Compared with White Americans, African Americans generally face greater financial obstacles to college attendance and positions in the workforce that require the skills gained from a college education. One encouraging recent development in financial assistance for college access has been the rise of “promise” initiatives. These programs pool local resources and create endowments that fund local children’s college educations. Various criteria to receive tuition support may apply, such as having a history of residency in the locality, meeting a minimum GPA, having a high school diploma, and having low-income status. Depending on the criteria, students are eligible to have a large portion, if not the entirety, of their tuition covered by the program. The programs both increase college enrollment and invigorate local economies (Miller-Adams 2009).

Social Preparation

A variety of social factors influence the likelihood that individuals will attend college and be successful after enrolling. Included among the available indicators of precollege socialization for college are the extent of readiness to college attendance and positions in the workforce that require the skills gained from a college education. Various criteria to receive tuition support may apply, such as having a history of residency in the locality, meeting a minimum GPA, having a high school diploma, and having low-income status. Depending on the criteria, students are eligible to have a large portion, if not the entirety, of their tuition covered by the program. The programs both increase college enrollment and invigorate local economies (Miller-Adams 2009).

Parents’ education. For the majority of African American high school students, if they continue on to college and complete their college curriculum, they will be the first generation in their family to attain a degree. In 2009, the highest level of education of the parents of 57 percent of African American male high school students was a high school diploma or a GED, compared with 38 percent of their White male peers (National Center for Education Statistics 2009b).

Student expectations. A student’s expectation of going to college is a prerequisite to actually applying and enrolling. In 2009, 65 percent of ninth-grade African American males indicated that they expected to attain at least a bachelor’s degree (National Center for Education Statistics 2009b). This was the same level of expectation...
expressed by Hispanic females and 8 percent higher than Hispanic males. This was a somewhat lower percent than White males (71 percent), African American females (74 percent), Asian males (79 percent), White females (81 percent), and Asian females (89 percent).

**School quality.** There are many attributes of schools such as their physical structure and resources, community involvement, prizes won in competitions, and so on that combine to reflect their quality. One indicator of school quality is the rate at which graduating students enter college. Students who are enrolled in schools with higher college-going rates are in places where they are more likely to have a college-going culture and environment. As with other forms of socialization, African American males are lagging. Fifty-five percent of White male high school sophomores studied in schools with more than 50 percent of their graduates who went to four-year colleges in previous years compared to 59 percent of Black male high school sophomores (National Center for Education Statistics 2006).

**Teacher quality.** Lower-quality teachers could also be an impediment to Black males’ achievement in high school and beyond. Teacher quality consists of several attributes of teachers, such as their education and training, their experience in the field and subject matter they are teaching, their experiences as teachers, their ability to connect with students, and more. One readily available and accessible indicator is whether they have earned a certificate to teach the subject matter that they are teaching. In 2009, 77 percent of Black male students were taught by math teachers with a regular certificate compared with 83 percent of White male students. Coincidentally, the disparity was identical for Black and White males taught by credentialed science teachers. And 42 percent of Black male students and 52 percent of White male students were taught by science teachers with a bachelor’s in science (National Center for Education Statistics 2006b).

**Teacher expectations.** Teacher expectations may be related to academic success and in turn the pursuit of higher education. In 2002 math teachers expected 80 percent of White male sophomores to attain some college or above but had the same expectations for only 59 percent of Black male sophomores. English teachers expected 80 percent of White male students but only 63 percent of Black male sophomores to attain some college or above (National Center for Education Statistics 2006).

**Parent expectations.** Another indicator of social preparedness is represented by parents’ expectations of their children. To the extent that these expectations are reflected in parents’ attitudes, encouragement, and guidance, they may be an influence upon student behaviors. On the one hand, in contrast to the parents of Black males, a larger share of the parents of White male students expect their sons to achieve associate’s degrees (14 percent compared to 7 percent), bachelor’s (47 percent compared to 41 percent), and master’s degrees (21 percent compared to 18 percent). On the other hand, a larger share of parents of Black males expected their sons to earn doctoral degrees than the parents of their White counterparts (29 percent compared to 15 percent) (National Center for Education Statistics 2006b). These data suggest that parents’ expectations of their African American sons are relatively high.

While African American males are at a disadvantage relative to their White male counterparts on such measures as school and teacher quality, teacher expectations, and parent education, they show similarities with their White male counterparts on other indicators such as parent expectations and their own expectations. School quality, teacher quality, and teacher expectations, however, are the more important elements of socialization for college and career readiness, and like academic preparation and financial preparation, the social preparation of African American males will be important to address in order to mobilize them toward higher educational achievement and career success.

### Correlates of Readiness

In the previous sections we identified key indicators of student readiness for college and indicated a number of background and school factors that are related to college readiness. On many of these measures, there are substantial gaps between Black males and White males, and even between Black males and females. In order to identify and prioritize actions that need to be taken to improve the condition of Black males, the relative importance of these background, behavioral, and school factors toward each of the indicators of college and career readiness needs to be examined.

A regression analysis was conducted to ascertain how particular student, family, and school characteristics contributed to students’ academic, social, and financial preparation. We used GPA, college admission test score, student education expectation, and parents’ saving effort as the indicators of these three dimensions and criterion variables representing college preparation. Independent variables included at the student level were sex, race, and hours per week spent on homework. At the family level, variables were socioeconomic status (SES) and whether English was the native language. And at the school level the independent variables were the percentage of students who were eligible for free lunch and the percentage of graduates who went to four-year college. We used logistic regression models to estimate
the association of independent variables with GPA (if GPA was equal or above 3.0), student education expectation (if students expected to attain a bachelor’s degree or higher) and parents’ financial saving for college (if parents made saving efforts for their tenth grader’s education after high school). We used regression to estimate the association of independent variables with admission test scores, i.e., SAT composite scores. Data were from the Education Longitudinal Study (National Center for Education Statistics 2006). The full model results are displayed in Appendix B, Table B1.

Gender differentials were found in students’ college readiness. Compared to male students, female students were 1.2 times more likely to get a 3.0 GPA or above, 7.5 percent more likely to expect to have a bachelor’s degree or higher, but averaged eighteen points less on their composite SAT scores. Regarding race, the analyses indicated that an African American student, compared to a White student, is 78 percent less likely to get a GPA equal to or above 3.0, and 24 percent more likely to expect to have a bachelor’s degree or higher. On average, African American students received 136 points less than White students on their composite SAT scores. Regression models revealed that a student who spends one more hour per week on homework out of school is 7 percent more likely to get a GPA of 3.0 or above and gain five more points on the SAT.

The results of the regression models confirmed that students’ family SES is significantly associated with students’ college readiness. Students from families at the highest SES quartile are 2.4 times more likely to get a GPA of 3.0 or above and 2.7 times more likely to expect to earn a bachelor’s degree or above than students from families in the lowest SES quartile. Students from the highest quartile gain 146 more points on composite SAT scores and their parents are 4.1 times more likely to make financial savings efforts for their college education, compared to students from the lowest SES quartile families. Meanwhile, English being a student’s native language is positively associated with composite SAT scores and financial savings efforts for education after high school.

At the school level, high school quality and the poverty level of the student body are significantly associated with composite SAT scores. Students from high schools with 75 percent or more of graduates who went to four-year colleges gained 64 points on the SAT, compared to those from high schools with only 0–24 percent of graduates who went to four-year colleges. Being from high schools with 51–100 percent of their student body eligible for free lunch gives students 50 points less on the SAT, compared to those from high schools with only 0–5 percent of their student body eligible for free lunch.

These results show significant differences between the sexes and between race/ethnic groups; however, no policy implications emerge from these findings without knowing what is behind race or sex that leads to these differences. Cultural and institutional factors that we did not measure may contribute to the significant effect of race and could be targeted by policy if more were known about these factors. The findings, however, do seem to point to two different practical responses: financial assistance to low-income students, and increased time spent on homework. The results show that students with more financial resources have higher GPAs, higher SAT scores, and more savings for college. These students also have higher educational expectations to go along with their favorable circumstances. It is also true that school environments with less poverty in the student body are associated with higher SAT scores. Substantial financial support to low-income students would likely be an effective means of improving their college readiness. Apart from finances, the results also show that each additional hour spent on homework is associated with higher GPAs and SAT scores. Promoting additional study time out of school may be another important way to improve college readiness for disadvantaged students.

Conclusion

Compared to other population groups, African Americans, males in particular, have the steepest climb up through the most rugged terrain in order to achieve the nation’s educational-achievement and degree-attainment goals. New national goals and policies pertaining to achievement and degree attainment give African Americans an ambitious timeline by which to close the gaps between themselves and other population groups. The foregoing analyses suggest that in order for African Americans to meet with success in achieving the goals and closing the gaps with other population groups, the policies need to be tailored and directed to address African Americans’ specific challenges of economic disadvantage; low-quality commerce, services, and community organizations; lack of academic opportunity; low level of academic effort; and low academic performance and test scores. We conclude this paper by offering recommendations for how African American males can make progress and close gaps based upon the evidence presented.

The data and analyses presented in this paper reveal how African Americans generally, and African American males in particular, trail other major population groups on every meaningful aspect of college and career readiness. None of the data suggest that the lagging is a consequence of a lack of talent among African Americans. Rather, their poor conditions and circumstances inside and outside of school cry out for extraordinary attention and represent the initial steps required to make progress toward becoming college and career ready in far greater numbers than they are today.

The recommendations for improving the college and career readiness of Black males fall into four categories: (a) starting education early in life and sustaining academic, social, and financial support efforts throughout; (b) improving academic achievement and standardized-testing performance; (c) improving college admissions, persistence, and completion, and upward education and career mobility; and (d) improving the research necessary to identify factors that lead to solutions and monitor progress. The recommendations are presented with the recognition that while African Americans reside in all fifty states and therefore require attention in every place, they are highly concentrated in a few states where the effort may need to be more intense and focused.
Meeting President Obama’s 60 percent attainment goal for college degrees is a daunting prospect for the population as a whole, but for African American males, the segment of the population that is farthest behind, achieving such a goal may be unprecedented and the prospect seems especially challenging. Achieving the goal for the overall population would not be unprecedented. In 1947 President Harry Truman announced his national goal of doubling higher education enrollment by 1960. At the time, there were 2.3 million students enrolled in the nation’s colleges and universities, and by 1963, enrollments had indeed doubled to 4.7 million (Snyder et al. 1991). But the goals were not accomplished without extraordinary innovations and policies. Establishing community colleges and federal student financial aid were among the most extraordinary innovations, expanding adult education, and ending racial and religious discrimination in higher education were among the progressive policies (President’s Commission on Higher Education 1947).

Achieving such a lofty goal for the nation’s African American male population may be unprecedented. President Obama’s education policies could be a similar catalyst to achieving educational equity and provide the stimulus for African Americans to achieve national goals and close gaps, but they may need to be more tailored and targeted. For example, for progress in academic achievement, the new Common Core State Standards and the K–12 assessments that the US Department of Education is supporting to measure student achievement represent innovations that have the potential to contribute to closing gaps. While the development of standards and assessments are not innovative concepts, what is innovative in this instance is that for the first time, children everywhere in the nation will be expected to pursue the same standards and be measured by the same instruments. Specifying what children should know and be able to do from kindergarten through grade twelve presents an extraordinary first step, offering opportunity for people to set goals and develop strategies for achieving the standards. Further policies will be needed, however, to support communities in developing and implementing curricula and other strategies to achieve the standards. Evolving state policies aimed toward improving school curricula and standards, teacher quality, and student performance appear on the surface to be potential solutions. Schools and school districts with large populations of African American students, however, are among the places in the nation today that are least equipped financially to support high quality teaching and learning (Baker, Sciarra, and Farrie 2012). Making meaningful progress will require more targeted and tailored efforts that include significant investments into schools and school districts where African American children comprise a large share of the population. In our opinion, this seems unlikely to occur through ordinary actions of state governments, and therefore will possibly require incentives and inducements by the federal government in order to initiate action at the state and local levels. The large annual increase in the number of degree recipients required to reach President Obama’s goal, combined with the accelerating costs and tuition increases of post-secondary education, demands the consideration of innovations that provide help to students in paying the cost of attending, innovations in delivering higher education instruction, and the use of assessment as an alternative approach that recognizes that people possess knowledge, skills, and other attributes deserving of credentials.

Lessons learned from implementing President Truman’s education goal can be instructive in the pursuit of President Obama’s ambitious education goal. On the way to achieving Truman’s goal in 1963, one innovation in the delivery system changed the landscape of American higher education: the development and spread of community colleges throughout the United States (Boggs 2010, President’s Commission on Higher Education 1947; Snyder and Dillow 1991). Many innovations may emerge as the nation progresses toward President Obama’s goal of regaining preeminence in education, and efforts must be made to ensure that African American males make progress in closing gaps with other population groups on the way to higher levels of educational and occupational attainment.

**Summary of Solutions**

The following are recommended actions that should be taken to address both the short-term and long-term underachievement and low-college-degree attainment of African American males and ensure their readiness for college and careers:

1. For African Americans to make education their top priority, starting with early ages of life and sustaining the focus on developing human capital through college completion. Actions should include the following:
   a. The development of high-quality K–12 schools that are on par with the best schools in the nation, where teaching, learning, and socialization are the prime indicators of quality
   b. The development and delivery of high-quality curricula for the early years (ages birth to eight) of life that emphasize literacy, numeracy/mathematics, social and emotional development, and executive functioning
   c. The initiative taken by local communities to launch campaigns designed to raise the expectations of students and teachers that African American males will (a) prepare academically, financially, and socially for college, and (b) attend and graduate from college with at least an associate’s or baccalaureate degree
   d. The establishment of “promise” community initiatives in cities throughout the United States that resemble initiatives in El Dorado, Arkansas, Kalamazoo, Michigan, New Haven, Connecticut, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and Syracuse, New York, where local communities promise children in the public schools that their college education will be paid for if they graduate from high school and are admitted to college, and the community generates substantial financial resources to fulfill the promise
e. The offering to local communities of incentives by the federal government to establish permanent endowments to fund “promise” initiatives that would make up the difference in price between national and state grants and the price of college tuition, thereby reducing students’ reliance upon loans to cover the cost of college

2. Assist African Americans to prepare for taking standardized tests in elementary, middle, and high school by introducing the following actions in schools:
   a. Identify the best available standardized tests and assessments and deliver education programs to African American communities about the tests, their structures, contents, and uses.
   b. Expose students to high-quality formative assessments and standardized tests regularly, as part of their school curriculum.

3. Recognize that African American males are the least represented group among new entering college cohorts, enrollments, and completers. College admissions, persistence, and completion can be substantially improved through the following actions:
   a. Local communities should encourage African American students to aim to attend the nation’s selective colleges and universities and also vigorously pursue alternative routes to earning higher education credentials.
   b. Cities should follow the model established by Louisville, Kentucky’s 55,000 Degrees initiative, where the local community set five-year and ten-year goals for making progress toward college-degree-attainment targets and developed a plan involving the business and civic communities for pursuing the goals.
   c. Colleges and universities and their feeder school systems and schools should design and implement education strategies that prepare students better academically, reducing the reliance of African American students on collegiate-level remediation programs.
   d. Public colleges and universities in states with high concentrations of African American people should be offered incentives for successfully recruiting, enrolling, and succeeding in graduating adult African American students.
   e. Public colleges and universities located in states with a high concentration of African American students should be rewarded financially by the state for improving their retention and graduation rates of African American students.
   f. Records should be kept on the status of college enrollment, degree completion, timeliness of completion, and degree attainment of all students. And the number of students required to take remedial courses should be monitored. All data should be disaggregated by race within gender.

4. Conduct new high-quality research for improving the quality and effectiveness of educating African American males. Included among the important issues that require the attention of researchers, but for which data and evidence are lacking, are the following:
   a. The knowledge and substantive awareness by the public about the problems of achievement, attainment, and the challenges that must be overcome in order for African American males to make progress
   b. How to remove or compensate for the impediments to educational attainment and achievement that result from the poverty and low socioeconomic conditions of African Americans
   c. The resources required in and outside of schools to meet the challenges of achievement and attainment
   d. Innovative ideas that would address the particular achievement and attainment challenges of school-age African American males
   e. The incentives and resources required to attract adult African American males back into schools, colleges, and universities to put them on track toward earning degrees
   f. Replicating and adapting programs and initiatives that are found to be successful with other populations in the US and abroad
   g. The quality of the home and family lives of African American boys, their communities’ encouragement of and support for their education and careers, the quality of the education they experience prior to entering school, and the quality of teaching and encouragement they receive throughout their school-age years
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Note: The categories Black and White exclude individuals who indicated they were from two or more racial backgrounds. Figures for total males and total females are based on the whole US population, i.e., these data include all racial/ethnic groups. Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Educational Attainment in the United States: 2011—Detailed Tables, Table 1: Educational Attainment of the Population 18 Years and Over, by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 2011.
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Note: Black includes African American. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Students in the “two or more races” category were categorized as “unclassified.” Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.


Figure 3: NAEP 2009 Reading High School Transcript Study: Percents of Achievement Level for Grade 12 by Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Note: Black includes African American. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Students in the “two or more races” category were categorized as “unclassified.” Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

Source: US Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading High School Transcript Study.
Appendix A

Table A1. 2020 Bachelor’s and Associate’s Degree Attainment Projections for Ages 25–34 Compared to the Number of Degrees Needed to Reach the 60 Percent Attainment Goal (Numbers reported in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Total Number of Adults in US Population, 2010</th>
<th>Number of Adults Who Have Associate’s or Bachelor’s Degree, 2011</th>
<th>Current Percent with Associate’s or Bachelor’s Degree</th>
<th>Projected Total Adult Population in 2020</th>
<th>Projected Percent with Degrees in 2020 (Based on Current Growth in Attainment)</th>
<th>Projected Number of Degrees in Population in 2020</th>
<th>Projected Number of Degrees Needed to Reach 60% Attainment in 2020</th>
<th>Number of Degrees Attained Represented as a Proportion of 60% Attainment in 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Population</td>
<td>41,584</td>
<td>17,936</td>
<td>43.13%</td>
<td>46,061</td>
<td>46.28%</td>
<td>21,317</td>
<td>27,637</td>
<td>77.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whites</td>
<td>24,860</td>
<td>12,686</td>
<td>51.03%</td>
<td>25,896</td>
<td>55.28%</td>
<td>14,264</td>
<td>15,538</td>
<td>91.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>12,524</td>
<td>5,768</td>
<td>46.00%</td>
<td>13,193</td>
<td>47.52%</td>
<td>6,269</td>
<td>7,916</td>
<td>79.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>12,336</td>
<td>6,920</td>
<td>56.10%</td>
<td>12,703</td>
<td>62.80%</td>
<td>7,977</td>
<td>7,622</td>
<td>104.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacks</td>
<td>5,638</td>
<td>1,721</td>
<td>30.53%</td>
<td>6,923</td>
<td>34.64%</td>
<td>2,388</td>
<td>4,154</td>
<td>57.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2,654</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>26.20%</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>27.69%</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>2,083</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2,985</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>34.37%</td>
<td>1,452</td>
<td>41.00%</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>2,071</td>
<td>68.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The categories Black and White exclude individuals who indicated they were from two or more racial backgrounds. Figures showing males and females alone are based on the whole US population, i.e., these data include all racial/ethnic groups. The projected percentage of degrees held within each population group in 2020 was calculated using linear estimates based on annual attainment proportions for each population group from 2001 to 2011. All other numbers in the table are taken directly from the US Census Bureau or can be easily calculated from the Census data and our 2020 attainment projections.

Table A2. Projected Yearly Attainment Growth and Yearly Attainment Growth Needed to Reach the 60 Percent Goal in 2020, Ages 25–34 (Numbers reported in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Number of 25-Year-Olds in 2020</th>
<th>Number of Additional Degrees Needed in 2020</th>
<th>Number of Degrees Needed in Each Cohort of 25-Year-Olds in 2020 to Reach 60% Goal in 2020</th>
<th>Number of Degrees Needed in Each Cohort of 25-Year-Olds in 2020 to Reach 60% Goal in 2020 Accounting for Continuing Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Population</td>
<td>17,936</td>
<td>21,317</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>27,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whites</td>
<td>12,686</td>
<td>14,264</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>15,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>5,768</td>
<td>6,269</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>6,920</td>
<td>7,977</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>7,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacks</td>
<td>1,721</td>
<td>2,398</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2,071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The categories Black and White exclude individuals who indicated they were from two or more racial backgrounds. Figures showing males and females alone are based on the whole US population, i.e., these data include all racial/ethnic groups. The projected number of degrees held within each population group in 2020 was calculated using linear estimates based on annual attainment for each population group from 2001 to 2011. The number of additional degrees earned per year, if growth continues at the same rate, was calculated by taking the difference between the projected attainment in 2020 and attainment in 2011, then dividing by 9 years. The number of additional degrees needed per year to reach the 60 percent goal was calculated by subtracting attainment in 2011 plus one year at the current growth rate) from the number of degrees needed in 2020, then dividing by eight years. To take into account continuing attainment growth—i.e., without policy changes—the number of additional degrees held by 25-year-olds across age groups was assumed to be the same for associate’s and bachelor’s degrees held by 25-year-olds. The number of degrees needed in each incoming cohort of 25-year-olds, if this were the only source of increases in bachelor’s degrees. So the proportion of bachelor’s degrees held by 25-year-olds across age groups was assumed to be the same for associate’s degrees. The number of degrees needed in each incoming cohort of 25-year-olds, if this were the only source of increases in bachelor’s degrees was calculated by taking the total number of degrees conferred from the Digest of Education Statistics 2010 and using B&B data from 2007-08 to estimate the distribution of degrees conferred across age groups. The number of degrees held within each population group in 2020 was calculated using linear estimates based on annual attainment for each population group from 2001 to 2011. The number of additional degrees earned per year, if growth continues at the same rate, was calculated by taking the difference between the projected attainment in 2020 and attainment in 2011, then dividing by 9 years. The number of additional degrees needed per year to reach the 60 percent goal was calculated by subtracting attainment in 2011 plus one year at the current growth rate) from the number of degrees needed in 2020, then dividing by eight years. To take into account continuing attainment growth—i.e., without policy changes—the number of additional degrees held by 25-year-olds across age groups was assumed to be the same for associate’s and bachelor’s degrees held by 25-year-olds. The number of degrees needed in each incoming cohort of 25-year-olds, if this were the only source of increases in bachelor’s degrees was calculated by taking the total number of degrees conferred from the Digest of Education Statistics 2010 and using B&B data from 2007-08 to estimate the distribution of degrees conferred across age groups.

Source: US Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics 2010, Table 293, Table 296.
Oscar A. Barbarin, PhD, is the Lila L. and Douglas J. Hertz Endowed Chair in the Department of Psychology at Tulane University. He earned a doctorate in clinical psychology at Rutgers University in 1975 and completed a post-doctoral fellowship in social psychology at Stanford University in 1983. He is past president of the American Orthopsychiatric Association and a Fellow in American Psychological Association’s Division 27 Society for Community Research and Action. Dr. Barbarin is the co-editor of the *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry* and the Chair of the US National Committee for Psychology of the National Academies of Science. He has been involved in longitudinal studies of the socioemotional and academic development of boys of color. His current work focuses on universal mental health screening in schools, alternative models of school discipline, and the use of group interventions to promote social competence in adolescents.

**Introduction**

This paper outlines the challenges attendant on meeting the educational and socioemotional needs of African American boys and young men. It describes the qualities that make for an auspicious environment that will nurture their skills, address their mental health issues and place them on the road to academic success. We call this auspicious environment a mentally healthy and safe school. The paper enumerates the current challenges faced by schools serving substantial numbers of African American males and outlines strategies that might be used to address them.

**Mentally Healthy Schools**

A mentally healthy school does the following:

- Supports the well-being of students and staff through the maintenance of a safe social and emotional working and learning environment
- Communicates respectfully, as reflected in the kinds of words used in exchanges among students, staff, and school leaders
- Provides safe opportunities for staff and students to express themselves, and to develop effective communication skills such as active listening
- “Sounds” happy and positive; sometimes noisy and playful; sometimes placid and serious
- Honors and celebrates positive efforts and achievement; e.g., via “Wonder Wall” displays and “Random Acts of Kindness” boards
- Values students and staff through policies that foster a sense of connection and security; e.g., taking steps to minimize stress and maximize a supportive school environment
Respects diversity regarding culture, sexual orientation, ethnicity, age, gender, and disability
- Encourages emotional literacy and help-seeking behaviors by providing accessible and culturally supportive systems and services
- Places mental-health-promotion activities prominently in the school calendar
- Addresses issues of change, loss, and grief—a whole-school approach to coping with the range of situations that affect individuals and school communities; e.g., death of a family member, separation, or some large-scale incident (Adapted from Dickinson 2001)

These qualities reflect an environment that most of us would like to create in our own homes and communities because they support healthy development. One study (Shochet et al. 2006) found that a lack of school connectedness was associated with depressive symptoms that persisted over the course of a year for boys and girls, future anxiety for girls, and future general functioning for boys (hyperactivity, emotional symptoms, conduct problems, and peer problems). Others have found an association between a low sense of school community and worry, loneliness, self-esteem, emotional distress, suicidal thoughts and behaviors, and eating disorders. In the High School Survey of Student Engagement (Center for Evaluation & Education Policy 2009), as many as 43 percent of respondents did not feel that they were an important part of their high school community. Data suggest that healthy school environments are not uncommon but perhaps not as common as they should be.

Schools face a number of challenges that make creating and sustaining a healthy environment difficult, but not impossible. It is important to note that most of the schools our children attend are safe. Although there is much variation in the extent to which schools can be described as health promoting, most offer reasonable and secure environments. However, we don’t have to go beyond the 1999 mass shooting at Columbine High School to understand that violence affects even schools serving affluent suburban communities. And some districts, especially larger districts with schools serving poorer communities, face special challenges with respect to developing and maintaining healthy learning environments. Neighborhood rivalries and peer conflicts, and even guns and other weapons, often find their way into schools. Similarly, many African American males are subject to a variety of strains that negatively impact their adjustment to school. Again it is important to note that not all students are affected; it is a troubled/distressed minority of students that create most of the chaos in schools and receive most of the attention. Moreover, most children, including a majority of African American males, are functioning well and developing the competencies they need to be successful in life. Nevertheless, there are challenges that must be addressed if we are to serve those African American males who are not doing well.

**Social Competence and Complex Trauma in African American Males**

Development of socioemotional skills is critical to successful adaptation in school. These skills are outlined in Table 1. A recent study (Barbarin 2012) examined the level of social competence in a large sample of African American male kindergartners drawn from four school districts. The results showed that a substantial percentage of African American males were judged by teachers at a level of competence that augured well for their school adjustment. Over 85 percent were judged to have positive relations with teachers, and 60 percent had developed positive relations with peers. A majority (59 percent) had developed the capacity to self-regulate their behavior. Slightly less than half (47 percent) had developed sufficient social communication skills to be judged competent in that domain. However, the weak link in their development was the self-regulation of emotions, on which only a third were judged by teachers to be competent. This means that they were not able to control anger, frustration, disappointment, sadness, and anxiety in response to situations they encountered in the classroom. They were judged to be emotionally labile and overresponsive to trying social situations.

The delays in the development of emotional self-regulation experienced by African American males are sometimes explained in terms of trauma they experience at home and in the community. Many African American males who enter school with the most serious challenges often come from a home and community environment where they have experienced complex trauma: multiple traumatic events that impair the development of coping skills and competencies that would help them adjust to the demands of school life. These multiple traumatic events include poverty, abuse or neglect, family and community violence, substance abuse, and physical deprivation. As young children, they are exposed to a variety of fear-arousing and overwhelming experiences that impair their emotional development, leading to feelings of grief, anger, and depression, and causing hypersensitivity to insults and irritability in social situations. These students might also engage in high-risk behaviors such as substance abuse and risky sexual behavior. Also common is an inability to deal with emotionally charged situations and conflicts without resorting to violence.

For example, a mental health professional reported that when three seven-year-old boys were asked about possible ways of expressing anger, they stated, in unison, that you could kill somebody, and they went on to elaborate in detail the various means of doing just that (Barbarin 2011). Following are two other examples of how some students are coping with the stress of their environments.

- Jamal, a teenage student distraught over the suicide of his sister and anxious about the violence in his neighborhood, stays awake all night playing video games as a way of coping with stress. By morning, he is too tired to go to school. Lacking direction and guidance, he plans, with the help of a friend who has dropped out of school, to rob an armored bank truck.
Antonio is suicidal after an incident in which his guardian put a gun to his head. He wants to live with his mother, but cannot because she abuses drugs. Child Protective Services will not help him, believing the report about his guardian to be false. The experience of complex trauma results in impulsivity, easy arousal, hypervigilance, overreaction to perceived threats, and fear, irritability, and hostility. That said, it is important that African American boys as a group not be looked at as damaged and in need of repair. Again, many are doing well and are resilient in the face of hardship. Instead, it is more helpful to understand these boys as struggling to define what they are to become and to find their place in the world. This understanding should guide our attempts to address their needs, involving the boys as partners, agents in their own development. This means including them in the conversation and working with them to define themselves as competent people in the world.

In adolescence, the effects of complex trauma on Black males may take the form of feigned nonchalance and hypermasculinity. They develop confusion about what it means to be a male, and thus assume roles, trying to be the alpha male, for example, where physical toughness and stoicism are valued. Boys aspire to become men but almost never achieve the ideals of masculinity; this failure can lead to frustration. Consequently, they have difficulty expressing their feelings, although talking about their feelings could help to reduce their cognitive stress.

The transition from elementary to middle school may be an especially vulnerable time for African American males, a time in which they disconnect from school, give up on academic aspirations, and pursue interests that have to do more with peer acceptance. Although school leaders and staff want to focus boys’ attention on academics, heroic efforts may be needed because African American males may come to view schooling as of lower value in their hierarchy of needs. Devotion to academic achievement may be in question for many African American males in middle school. Instead, popularity with peers may be a more highly valued outcome. As a consequence, academic achievement and behavioral compliance tends to deteriorate more for African American males than for most other groups during the transition to middle school.

National estimates of the prevalence of mental disorders among children range from 11 percent to 25 percent. Conservative estimates set the average rate of disorders among preschoolers at 10.2 percent; 13.2 percent among pre-adolescents; and 16.5 percent among adolescents (Roberts, Attkisson, and Rosenblatt 1998). Given the multiple strains experienced by poor children, the prevalence rates for children in school districts serving poor students fall arguably toward the higher end of the estimated ranges. Although the results from teacher-reported concerns estimated emotional problems at 6 percent, national studies show that depression rates are higher, and reach 10 percent among African American children (Costello et al. 1996). Emotional problems are less likely to be reported than conduct problems, because teachers and parents generally do not recognize the early signs of emotional problems in children and adolescents.

The mental health challenges facing the public schools are clearly evident in the human dramas that unfold in the hallways, classrooms, and playgrounds of some schools, where it is possible to witness students being pushed to the edge of despair and staff that are taxed to their limits. The strain on students and staff can be palpable. Expertise in mental health diagnosis is not required to conclude that serious emotional and behavioral problems are evident in incidents at school such as:

- Anger-driven cursing and striking out at adults
- Tantrums ending in hurling chairs and desks across the room
- Setting fires in school
- Threatening physical harm to self or others
- Selling and using drugs at school
- Smearing feces on bathroom walls
- Sexually assaulting peers

When asked for an expert opinion about the problems that represented the most serious mental health challenges in the schools, a panel of mental health professionals reached consensus on five issues (Barbarin 2011). Their rankings of the most serious child mental health problems are as follows:

- Co-occurring academic and emotional symptoms such as anxiety, agitation, difficulty concentrating resulting from trauma, abuse, and bullying
- Extremely disruptive behavior problems: opposition and aggression
- Problems of inattention and hyperactivity
- Emotional difficulty especially resulting in depressed mood and irritability
- Childhood psychoses and serious emotional problems

Problems such as these are more common than many people expect. Based on even the most conservative estimates, primary-grade teachers are likely to have at least two children in their classrooms that
have serious mental health difficulties. That number increases in middle and high school. It doesn’t take too many episodes of acting out or extreme emotional expression to disturb the learning environment and disrupt the academic development of an entire class. Incidents such as these are disturbing and difficult to forget and directly impact individual students. It is clear that mental health directly affects learning and development as well as the climate of the classroom and the school. When incidents of emotional outbursts and their behavioral sequels become commonplace, subpar academic performance is guaranteed. These incidents decrease time for instruction in the classroom and increase fear and disrespect. As indicated by legislation recently introduced to the House and the Senate,1 positive school climates and the socio-emotional health of students are deemed critical factors for academic success. From the vantage point of many schools, mental health problems are a subset of special-education-mandated services.

Emotional disturbances that impact learning can be easily missed, along with the opportunity to get to the root cause of children’s difficulties with emotion-focused interventions that work. However, the nature of these problems makes it clear that they are ignored only at the peril of schools and their students. The costs are also evident in the achievement ceilings many schools hit as they try to improve the academic performance of their students. These costs are apparent in the experiences of youth whose personal difficulties and lack of support lead to despair. Consider the following examples:

+ Jami, sixteen years old, sees no purpose in living and certainly no point in studying. He is a bright student capable of good grades, and one who could do well in college if he applied himself. He thinks about killing himself. He has received medication in the past but says that he cannot “keep up with it” so he manages his mood by smoking marijuana instead.

+ Phillip is so anxious and unsettled by the arguing and violence occurring at home that he finds it difficult to focus in school. When he attends, he bounces aimlessly from room to room. He is missing so much instructional time that his grades have suffered.

The pain of children with serious emotional problems is often overlooked as attention is drawn to children who are disruptive. Depression can take the subtle form of a child sitting quietly but zoned out, staring into space. It can also take a more obvious form of a sobbing child who does not know why he is crying and why he cannot stop. These children epitomize the silent crisis of school mental health that undercuts the extraordinary efforts of schools to raise achievement levels. Until such issues are addressed vigorously and creatively, progress in improving these students’ academic performance will stall out at a level that is unsatisfactory.

**Threats to Emotional and Physical Health in Schools**

**Bullying**

Bullying is one of the most serious threats to psychological health and safety in schools. In 2009–10, 74 percent of public schools recorded one or more violent incidents of crime (National Center for Education Statistics 2012). In a 2009 Gallup poll of ten- to eighteen-year-olds, 37 percent reported that they did not feel safe at school. Almost half of girls in elementary school reported being afraid of being bullied (Olweus and Limber 2010). Bullying is a major threat to health and well-being in schools, and it is widespread. Moreover, contrary to common perceptions, bullying is not restricted to adolescence but can be observed as early as kindergarten (Perren and Alsaker 2006).

Bullying can be thought of as the use of verbal threats, physical aggression, or psychological coercion to exercise power over, to subjugate, intimidate, harm, or shame a target child or victim, sometimes with the goal of making them do things against their will. More than half of the sixth graders in a nationally representative study reported at least one form of victimization through bullying (Wang, Iannotti, and Nansel 2009). Bullying usually involves a complex social situation consisting of the bully, the victim, and corroborating bystanders. The effects of bullying for all participants are far from trivial. Victimization through bullying has significant long-term psychological consequences for the victim (Hodges and Perry 1999; Hawker and Boulton 2000). Adverse psychological effects of bullying in childhood are evident even into adulthood (Isaacs, Hodges, and Salmivalli 2008). What has not been appreciated until recently is that bullying is associated with adverse psychological outcomes for the bully. This is true because the roles of bully and victim are not fixed but changeable, and victims of bullies can on other occasions become bullies themselves (Conners-Burrow et al. 2009). Children who are bullied, physically restrained, isolated, or discriminated against may exhibit emotional withdrawal and have trouble forming new attachments with others.

**Punitive Behavior Management**

Some of the approaches schools take to address behavior problems, bullying, and student disengagement from academic pursuits may actually make things worse. These include poor classroom management; teacher overreaction and use of coercive discipline; excessive reliance on punishment; ethnic disparities in suspensions; infrequent, insufficient use of positive reinforcement of desired behavior; and poor teacher-child relations.

---

1. Reducing Barriers to Learning Act of 2011 (HR 1995); Mental Health in Schools Act of 2011 (HR 751); Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students Act of 2011 (S 919).
In some schools, a punitive approach to behavior management, including inappropriate use of restraint and seclusion as intervention techniques, has become more common with increased training in these types of interventions (TASH 2011). The result is a coercive and violent environment that threatens trusting relationships and undermines learning (National Disability Rights Network 2009).

Student suspension is among the approaches most commonly used by schools to address behavior problems and violations of school rules (Boccanfuso and Kuhfeld 2011). It is so greatly overused that it has resulted in a backlash on the part of students, families, and communities (Karp 2011). Such exclusionary forms of discipline are counterproductive and often make behavior and academic problems worse (Algozzine, Wang, and Violette 2011). School suspension is ineffective because it does not reduce the undesirable behavior, it limits opportunities for learning, and it reduces the child’s sense of connection to the school (American Psychological Association Zero Tolerance Task Force 2008; Skiba and Rausch 2006). It is also associated with school dropout and entry into the criminal justice system (Wald and Losen 2003).

National suspension rates have increased for all children in K–12 education since the 1970s. However, they have increased dramatically for African American males. For example, in 1978 Black students were suspended at about twice the rate of White students, 6 percent compared to 3 percent. By 2006, the rate of suspensions for African American students had climbed to 15 percent, compared with 5 percent for Whites. Much of this increase has been attributed to zero-tolerance policies put in place by school districts (Losen and Skiba 2006). In exploring a possible explanation for the overrepresentation of certain groups being disciplined, one study (Skiba et al. 2011) ruled out low socioeconomic status and severity of the offense. By inference, race remained as a possible explanatory factor. Specifically, the authors concluded that race and factors associated with it contributed to the differential use of harsh discipline in the case of African American males (Losen and Skiba 2006).

There is compelling evidence that teachers tend to judge African American males more harshly and punish them for minor offenses that would be overlooked for other groups of children. Research indicates that a complex interaction between school systems, educators, and students results in discriminatory practices (Losen and Skiba 2006). The situation is not hopeless. There is much that can be done to turn it around and create a more favorable school climate for African American males and all students.

**Emerging from the Shadows: Making a Place, Finding a Voice**

African American boys are often lost in the crowd at school; they can feel anonymous, as though they have no stake in and little to gain from doing well in school. Sometimes they emerge from the shadows as a result of remarkable athleticism, or when they are perceived as a challenge to adult authority or a physical threat to others. The vast majority are faceless, voiceless, and most often part of the invisible underground in school. The successful education of boys requires engaging them, connecting to them socially, knowing them individually (“giving them face”), and listening to their voices. This requires that steps be taken to promote their social competence and nurture their mental health. The importance of mental and social competence to academic achievement is often lost on educators until they are confronted with their failure to reach their students and make a difference in their educational outcomes. Before taking up this issue seriously on the front end, many school administrators exhaust all other options. Instead of directly addressing the mental health concerns of their students, they pin all their hopes on educational interventions: whole-school reforms, demanding standards, teacher accountability, differentiated instruction, intensive literacy programs, and an array of other initiatives.

Even with the best teachers, the most demanding curriculum, and excellent pedagogy, schools will fail to reach many boys, because teachers and administrators have not made sufficient efforts to connect with them on an emotional and social level. They often neglect the importance of emotions and emotional functioning in academic achievement. Too often the emotional difficulties of boys are misread as behavioral problems and addressed as problems of discipline and control. Educators underestimate the truth of the principle that learning involves a combination of thinking and feeling, of reflection and emotion. Eliciting positive emotions and connecting to students on a social level are underutilized tools educators might use to tap boys’ curiosity and channel their enthusiasm. Many boys growing up in urban areas experience complex trauma from troubles they face at home and in their neighborhoods. Boys who arrive at school anxious, depressed, and bored may find it difficult to enlist their psychic energies for learning. Educators often overlook the powerfully suppressive effect of negative emotions on boys’ ability to learn.

How do we ignite the fire of enthusiasm and open them to learning? Through supportive relations, providing opportunities to understand and give expression to their emotions, schools can help boys deal with the mental health sequela of stressful lives. An important goal of education must be to help students reflect on and understand their emotional lives. This means providing them with a safe space in which to acknowledge feelings, label them accurately, and learn ways to channel emotions productively. Schools thus need to focus on the development of the inner lives of students. They need to accept the legitimate role of emotions in learning. Students learn more deeply and consolidate learning more fully when content is linked to emotions, when the learner makes a personal connection to that which is taught. This does not happen when boys feel faceless and voiceless in schools, when they are barely known to their teachers and other adults in the school environment, when their opinions and views are not solicited and valued.

As educators we must utilize tools to get to know our students, offer them opportunities to weigh in on their experiences and a chance to reflect on shared information about how they are doing emotionally.
and socially at school. Teachers are not always cognizant of their students’ emotional states and of how these states impact learning. Universal mental health screening that also covers dimensions of social competence is a valuable tool. ABLE (Barbarin 2012) is just one of many tools that can be used to help schools benchmark the social functioning and emotional well-being of their students. Schools can use information from mental health screenings to detect, through student voices, if students feel safe, if they trust the adults in their lives to take care of them, if they feel valued, and if they can afford to take risks, and possibly fail, without losing face.

**Recommendations Supporting Mentally Healthy and Safe Schools**

As we have seen, schools face a range of challenges in promoting the healthy development of African American males. The problems identified here include:

- Lack of connectedness to schools
- Lack of engagement and relationships within schools
- Punitive discipline that disproportionately impacts African American males
- Unmet mental health needs of African American males

The recommendations that follow focus on the central issues of relationships and connectedness, discipline, and mental health.

**Promote a Sense of School Community and Connection**

All students benefit from a positive, welcoming, supportive environment that goes beyond the prevention of harm and imparts a sense of community, a sense of belonging. Students’ experiences of school as a community is positively related to their self-efficacy, concern for others, acceptance of members of other ethnic groups, positive coping skills, social skills, and sense of autonomy. Creating a welcoming environment lessens the likelihood of substance abuse, delinquency, and violent behavior within schools. To this end, school staff should strive to make individual connections with all children in the school, and particularly with those young people who are isolated and troubled. Every child in the school should be known by name and family by at least one staff person at school other than the classroom teacher. Interpersonal connections are optimized when schools are organized into smaller units of responsibility, wherein staff get to know and work closely with specific children. School leaders should embrace the kinds of structural changes, such as smaller schools, block scheduling, departmental teaming, houses, and looping, that help support a climate of connectedness, as evidenced by:

- Warmth and responsiveness on the part of teachers and administrators
- Promotion of mutual respect and connectedness among administrators, teachers, and students
- Competent classroom management
- Regularly scheduled class meetings with active participation by students
- School work that encourages cooperative learning and dialogue
- Student engagement in class-level decisions (intrinsic motivation)
- Engaging class material
- Positive parental engagement

A sense of community also issues from effective and respectful communication within schools. Schools should create forums for school leaders to take the pulse of and obtain feedback from students. Creation of a principal’s advisory council that includes substantial representation of troubled and troubling children is also advised. This council would meet twice a month with principals and assistant principals to give input and provide student perspectives on what is happening within the school and what students would like to see changed and opportunities they would like the school to include.

Another important way to encourage students’ sense of connection with school is to support their sense of agency, personal efficacy, responsibility for, and ownership of the school environment. One of the reasons boys fail to engage in school and improve over time is that they lack an opportunity to connect the knowledge they have acquired outside of school with their school environment. What is taught in school does not touch their lives in any meaningful way. Consequently, there is little opportunity to apply what they know and what they are learning in school to their own lives. School leaders should offer opportunities for students to be included in the decision-making process of the school. In addition, schools should provide programs that directly impact Black males.

**Embrace Alternative Models of School Discipline**

District and school disciplinary policy should be revised to eliminate zero tolerance. Zero-tolerance policies are inflexible and, in practice, ensure a disproportionate number of African American males. Schools should make the use of suspensions exceptional and rare. This is especially true for problems such as truancy or violations of a school’s dress code.
Decrease reliance on punishment as a means of social control. This would involve a shift in school discipline from punitive methods based on adult control to peer-focused practices such as conflict resolution, victim/offender mediation, and reconciliation, community conferencing, and peacemaking (Morrison and Vaandering 2012). Discipline should be viewed as an extension of the teaching function. Steps in this direction begin with a focus on the positive—what students and staff are doing right. The result should be that students feel like they are known both for what they do well and for areas in which they need improvement.

When possible, invoke principles of restorative justice for conduct problems. Restorative justice emphasizes the natural and relational consequences of misbehavior, thus it provides a comprehensive framework for reconceptualizing behavior management in school and offers a useful alternative to suspension and other punitive measures. School leaders and staff should master principles of restorative justice and implement its related practices in the school by:

+ Implementing strategies that investigate the offense
+ Requiring the student to reflect on the incident
+ Involving educators in determining the impact of the behavior and fellow students in assigning consequences
+ Designing individualized interventions for each student based on whether the behavior is the result of making bad choices, a skill or ability deficit, or stemming from a social/emotional need

Administrators, teachers, and other school staff must be trained to employ the new strategies being implemented. Teachers should be provided with professional development to address issues that many boys of color may have with regard to authority. In addition to training, staff needs resources for assessment as well as support in carrying out response to intervention (RTI) systems.

**Develop a Coordinated System to Address Mental Health Care Needs**

Schools should adopt a mental-health screening process that is implemented as consistently as efforts to screen for learning difficulties in reading and mathematics. Each district should adopt a standardized tool designed for mental health screening to be completed by teachers and/or educational specialists for each student in their classes. Where feasible, parents could be invited to provide input and complete the screening tool.

Each school needs to develop a process for reviewing results and developing plans to address the concerns identified by the screening. A system of care should include the following elements:

+ A universal mental health screen implemented twice a year in every school
+ Socioemotional curricula implemented in each classroom
+ In-class consultation to help teachers with student mental health issues
+ An emergency response Crisis Intervention Team to provide immediate on-the-spot assistance to teachers and administrators when a serious problem erupts, especially as an alternative to calling the police
+ Outpatient services that include Tier 3 intensive intervention and a medication-evaluation clinic for school mental health
+ A therapeutic/school program for children with serious emotional/behavioral disorders run collaboratively by the schools and the Health Department
+ Short-term inpatient services for children who are in crisis

Sustained teacher training enabling teachers to implement a socioemotional curriculum in the classroom is key to this effort. Schools should dedicate a minimum of one day a year each year to professional development that helps teachers understand what mental health is, what to look for in child behavior in the classroom, and what sets off out-of-control behavior.

Teachers must be provided with mental health resources they can call on to address students’ needs. Standardized socioemotional curriculum (e.g., Second Step, Paths, or Coping Power) can increase children’s understanding of their own emotional functioning and provide them with a vocabulary to express what they are feeling, effective strategies for coping with stress, and skills to resolve conflict without violence.²

It goes without saying that dedicated psychology and social work services should be provided to schools. If not as a full-time member of the school staff, psychologists and social workers should be employed part-time to address the mental health and behavioral needs of students.

Finally, school districts need to partner and coordinate with community health and human service agencies. The city or county government needs to be involved in a way that supplements what the

schools can provide. Students in need should be referred to child mental health services provided by community health clinics and day hospital programs. The schools should work with community health clinics to tap the variety of state and federal funding streams in Medicaid, Title I, and special education that might support these services.

**Increase Accountability Through the Collection and Use of Mental Health Data**

Schools must be more accountable internally and to the public with respect to their handling of school mental health issues. School leaders should answer the following questions when assessing how they are servicing the mental health needs of students:

- To what extent are the mental health needs of students specifically addressed in annual reports to the school board?
- How is mental-health-service delivery described in the operating procedures of the school?
- To what extent is the school complying with state and federal law in their written policies?
- How much of the school’s resources (staff and financial) is devoted to mental-health-service delivery?
- To what extent is the school aware of, taking advantage of, and partnering with the network of mental-health-services agencies to assist in addressing the mental health needs of children?

**Conclusion**

A mentally healthy and safe school is a prerequisite to successful learning and advancement, and key to addressing the achievement gap affecting African American boys and young men. In failing to provide such an environment, we risk failing our youth.

In the final analysis, addressing the issues of school connectedness, discipline, and mental health will require extraordinary creativity and patience. Moreover, to be successful it will require a whole-school approach, involving school leaders, teachers, students, families, support staff, and the larger community.

**Summary of Solutions**

**Relationships and Connectedness to School**

1. Teachers should make individualized connections with all children in their classrooms and particularly with those young people who are isolated and troubled. Every child in the school should be known by name and family by at least one staff member other than the classroom teacher.
2. Organize the school into smaller units of responsibility wherein staff gets to know and work closely with specific children.
3. Support structural changes, such as smaller schools, block scheduling, departmental teaming, houses, and looping that encourage changes in the interactions between students and staff.
4. Create a school climate of warmth, support, and responsiveness on the part of teachers by promoting mutual respect and connectedness among administrators, teachers, and students; competent classroom management; regularly scheduled class meetings with active participation by all students; schoolwork that encourages cooperative learning and dialogue; and student engagement in class-level decisions, intrinsic motivation, and engaging class material.
5. Create a principal’s advisory council that includes substantial representation of troubled and troubling children as well as other students, that meets twice a month with principal and assistant principals to get input on school activities; and provides feedback on student perspectives, likes, dislikes, what is going well and what students would like to see changed, events they would like to see happen, and opportunities they would like to have in the school.
6. Support development of a sense of agency, personal efficacy, responsibility, and ownership of the school environment.
7. Create an opportunity for students to apply knowledge they have from outside of school to school-related activities so that there is a stronger connection between in-school and out-of-school learning.
8. Focus on the positive—what students and staff are doing right—to make sure all feel that they are valued.
**Student Safety and School Discipline**

9. Help school staffs understand that race and factors associated with it have contributed to the differential use of harsh discipline in the case of African American males.

10. Ensure that teachers do not judge African American males more harshly and punish them for minor offenses that would be overlooked for other groups of children.

11. Revise district and school disciplinary programs to eliminate zero-tolerance policies, which are inflexible and in practice ensnare a disproportionate number of African American males.

12. Decrease the reliance on punishment as a means of social control by shifting school discipline practices from punitive methods based on adult control to peer-focused, positive behavior interventions such as conflict resolution, victim/offender mediation and reconciliation, community restorative conferencing, and peacemaking.

13. Invoke principles of restorative justice for conduct problems, with an emphasis on the natural and relational consequences of misbehavior, providing a comprehensive framework for conceptualizing behavior management in school that offers a useful alternative to suspension and punishment.

14. Train school leaders and staff in alternative disciplinary procedures and provide resources for assessment, reinforcements, socioemotional training materials, and support to carry out procedures such as Functional Behavioral Analyses (FBAs) associated with Tier I and II interventions of the response to intervention (RTI) system. Use expert consultants to provide assistance, when necessary.

**Mentally Healthy Students, Staff, and Schools**

15. Galvanize a concerted effort to get out in front of and address a school’s mental health by creating schools that:
   a. Support student and staff well-being through the maintenance of a safe social and emotional working and learning environment
   b. Communicate respectfully, as reflected in the kinds of words used in exchanges among students, staff, and school leaders
   c. Provide safe opportunities for staff and students to express themselves, and to develop communication skills such as active listening
   d. “Sound” happy and positive, sometimes noisy and playful, sometimes placid and serious
   e. Honor and celebrate positive efforts and achievement e.g., with a ‘Wonder Wall’ display at the school entrance, or a “Random Acts of Kindness” board
   f. Value students and staff through policies that foster a sense of connection and security; e.g., taking steps to minimize stress and maximize a supportive school environment
   g. Respect diversity regarding culture, sexual orientation, ethnicity, age, gender, and disability
   h. Encourage emotional literacy and help-seeking behavior by providing accessible and culturally supportive systems and services
   i. Place mental-health-promotion activities prominently in the school calendar
   j. Address issues of change, loss, and grief—a whole-school approach to coping with the range of situations that affect individuals or entire school communities; e.g., death of a family member, separation, or some large-scale incident

16. Include students in the conversation and work with them to define themselves as competent people in the world.

17. Recognize that the challenges that children with emotional and behavioral problems bring into the school are often made worse by the lack of appropriate supports in the school environment.

18. Create programs that smooth the transition from elementary to middle school for African American males in order to minimize their disconnecting from school, giving up on academic aspirations and pursuing interests that have more to do with peer acceptance.

19. Provide multiple opportunities for Black males to talk about feelings to help reduce the strain and cognitive load of stress.

20. Help school staff understand that serious emotional and behavioral problems are evident in incidents at school such as:
   a. Anger-driven cursing and striking out at adults
   b. Tantrums ending in hurling chairs and desks across the room
   c. Setting fires in school
d. Threatening physical harm to self or others

21. Recognize that emotional problems can be subtle and may not be easily detected without training in psychological assessment.

22. Vigorously and creatively address problems of children with serious emotional problems. These students may or may not be the same as those who routinely act out.

23. Identify and eliminate the obstacles that must be overcome when promoting mentally healthy schools:
   a. Schools are typically in a reactive rather than proactive, preventative mode. This often results in an overreliance on the use of punitive strategies that deprive children of instructional time.
   b. Mental health services are typically seen as a luxury, or as being outside the domain of the academic environment, and thus a low priority within often constrained school budgets.
   c. Schools have difficulty embracing a multitiered approach to social and emotional health that parallels the multitiered response to intervention (RTI) approach commonly implemented for development of academic skills.
   d. More time and attention are devoted to assuring compliance with process than to assuring the quality of services. Staff resources are often devoted to making sure the i’s are dotted, the t’s are crossed, and the paperwork is completed, but little effort is made to evaluate whether services provided are effective and efficient.

24. Adopt a universal mental-health-screening process that is as consistently implemented as efforts to screen for learning difficulties in reading and mathematics. Where feasible, parents could be invited to provide input and complete the screening tool. The process should include, but is not limited to:
   a. A universal mental health screening implemented twice a year in every school
   b. Socioemotional curricula implemented in each classroom
   c. In-class consultation to help teachers with student mental health issues
   d. An emergency-response crisis-intervention team to provide immediate on-the-spot assistance to teachers and administrators when a serious problem erupts, especially as an alternative to calling the police
   e. Outpatient services that include Tier 3 intensive intervention and a medication-evaluation clinic for school mental health
   f. A therapeutic/school program for children with very serious emotional/behavioral disorders run collaboratively by the schools and the Health Department
   g. Short-term inpatient services for students who are in crisis

25. Develop programs that help teachers understand what mental health is, what to look for in child behavior in the classroom, and what sets off out-of-control behavior.

26. Provide each school with dedicated psychology and social work services, and partner with community agencies.

27. Increase accountability through the collection and use of data. The following questions might be asked:
   a. To what extent are the mental-health needs of students specifically addressed in annual reports to the school board?
   b. How is mental health service delivery described in the operating procedures of the schools?
   c. To what extent are schools complying with state and federal law in their own written policies?
   d. How much of the school’s resources (staff and financial) are devoted to mental-health-service delivery?
   e. To what extent are schools aware of, taking advantage of, and partnering with the network of mental-health-service agencies to assist in addressing the mental health needs of children?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Social Competencies Needed for Success in School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emotion Self-Regulation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to moderate emotional reactions to frustrations, limits, disappointments, failure, and teasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavior Self-Regulation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to moderate impulses, follow rules, delay gratification, sustain effort, and use nonaggressive tactics to resolve conflicts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Communication Skills</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to express self, discuss thoughts, defend own ideas, question unfairness, and lead others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peer Relations (Positive)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to behave in an affable and friendly way that results in being popular and well-liked by peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relation with Teacher</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity to form cooperative and emotionally close relations with teachers and other nonfamily adults</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Leticia Smith-Evans, JD, PhD, is a civil rights attorney at the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF), where she advocates for access to equal educational opportunities via impact litigation, policy advocacy, and technical assistance. Her work currently centers around school desegregation, voluntary integration, discipline reform, and access to higher education; and her research interests include education law and policy, equity and diversity, and cultural competence. Prior to joining LDF, she was an associate at a large international law firm, served as a judicial law clerk for the Honorable Dickinson R. Debevoise of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, and as a policy advisor and agency liaison for Wisconsin governor Jim Doyle. She is also a former New York City public school teacher, and often serves as an adjunct professor of education law and policy. Dr. Smith-Evans received a BA from Williams College, and a JD, MS, and PhD in Educational Leadership and Policy Analysis from the University of Wisconsin-Madison. She is a member of the University of Wisconsin Law School’s Board of Visitors and serves on a number of other nonprofit boards and committees.

Introduction

The history of legalized segregation in the United States has had a tremendous and detrimental impact that continues to extend into the nation’s education system. It has been noted that the enslavement of Blacks was permitted in the United States for 245 years, and then, after slavery ended, legalized segregation persisted in the United States for another 100 years. Public schools were not exempt: the system of de jure segregation existed throughout the country until the United States Supreme Court handed down its landmark decision in *Brown v. Board of Education* in 1954. In *Brown*, the Court held that a system of separate but equal education was inherently unequal and a violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution, ending de jure segregation in schools. Approximately one year after the 1954 decision, the Supreme Court handed down another decision that ordered school districts to desegregate with all deliberate speed. A little over ten years later, in *Green v. County School Board of New Kent County*, the Supreme Court issued another decision that made clear that school systems had to eliminate the vestiges of de jure segregation in all facets of school operations. In many instances those operations have included student discipline. Although the decision in *Brown* was handed down nearly sixty years ago, today hundreds of school districts remain under Court order or agreement to desegregate—meaning they have not been found to have eliminated the vestiges of prior de jure segregation.

Even after the Supreme Court’s decision in *Brown*, school systems’ operations often resulted in students being segregated by race. Black students were often segregated as a result of tracking into certain classes and being overidentified as having special needs. Although the placement of Blacks and other minorities in special education may have been intentional on the part of school districts during desegregation, it is evident that discriminatory practices have remained as a reality throughout the United States. In many instances, Black students are identified as being emotionally and behaviorally disturbed, expelled or removed from the classroom, and arrested and adjudicated into the juvenile justice system at rates far greater than their White peers. Today, excessive and overly punitive discipline of
young Black males is prevalent as a primary force in pushing Black males out of classrooms and schools and funneling them into the prison system. Although segregation in schools on the basis of race is not legal today, the impact of disproportionate suspensions and expulsions of African American males in particular is having a segregating effect in classrooms and schools. Young Black males continue to be pushed out of schools and disciplined at alarmingly disproportionate rates, and it is clear that we should operate with all deliberate speed in eliminating racial disparities in school discipline. Fortunately, advocates, educators, parents, and policymakers are looking for solutions to address discipline disparities based on race. Concern over the school-to-prison pipeline or “schoolhouse to jailhouse track” and ideas as to how it might be eliminated have generated a great deal of academic research in recent years. The school-to-prison pipeline is a set of policies and practices that isolate and remove students from schools and funnel them into environments outside of classrooms—including alternative schools and programs and the juvenile and criminal justice systems. Courageously confronting the issue of school discipline and race will help dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline, which has a devastating impact on students, families, and communities, and also results in education systems being financially burdened. African American students are overrepresented in the pipeline, accounting for 34 percent of all school suspensions in the year 2000, even though they made up only 17 percent of the total school population in the United States. Children who find themselves pushed out of classrooms are statistically more likely to use drugs, drop out of school, and/or become involved with the criminal justice system. This reality exacerbates the skewed racial makeup of American prisons—one in every nine African American males between the ages of twenty and thirty-four is incarcerated. The results of this disparity have been devastating, for both the children who are caught up in the school-to-prison pipeline and for the communities they call home, damaging social networks, jeopardizing community safety, and limiting community political power.

There is no question that issues of race in schools remain prevalent today. A search of federal and state court decisions referencing the discipline of Black males reveals the following: (1) more than 400 opinions discuss or mention Black males being suspended or expelled, and approximately 150 of those decisions are from the past ten years; and (2) at least 60 case decisions mention the terms Black or African American; school discipline, suspension, or expulsion; males or men; and disproportionate and disparate. Although it is evident that issues surrounding disproportionate suspensions and expulsions of Black males from schools have been raised in courts nationwide, the gravity of the problem appears more severe when we consider the administrative complaints filed by advocates and the investigations and compliance reviews initiated by government agencies surrounding school discipline.

Our history of education demonstrates that race can affect an individual’s opportunities to learn; however, it is imperative and obviously possible to prevent young Black males from meeting the intersection of the education and juvenile and criminal justice systems. We know that in our schools young Black males continue to be denied equal educational opportunities on account of their race, because they are being pushed out of schools as a result of overly punitive disciplinary policies such as suspension and expulsion. We also know that once pushed out of school, young Black males have difficulty obtaining the education that will ensure their ability to thrive in their communities and our society. We must, as educators and stakeholders, send the message to all students that they are welcome and wanted in schools, not in prison.

This paper provides a current overview of the problem of the school-to-prison pipeline and disparities in discipline faced by young Black males in schools and districts throughout the United States, highlights the actions of federal agencies and advocacy groups to address the issue, discusses the funding of related research and initiatives, and provides recommendations for education leaders and advocates as they move forward.

Schools Today: Discipline and Disproportionality

For more than twenty-five years, national, state, and district-level data confirm that students of color have been suspended at rates two to three times higher than their White peers, and have received higher numbers of office discipline referrals, harsher punishment for behavioral issues, and more expulsions. National suspension rates beginning in the early 1970s also reveal a significant increase in the use of suspension, as well as an increase in the racial discipline gap. Nearly four decades later, race continues to play a significant role in disproportionate disciplinary outcomes, even when controlling for socioeconomic status. Despite nearly two decades of school districts implementing zero-tolerance disciplinary policies, which remove students from school for a violations ranging from minor infractions to serious offenses, there is no evidence that frequent reliance on the removal of misbehaving students improves school safety or student behavior. Suspensions and removals do, however, result in a loss of instructional time and other possible harmful effects. Concerns about the effects of these policies become amplified by the consistent findings that African American and Hispanic students are overrepresented in school suspension data, and that the increased use of suspension has been largest for African American and Hispanic students. Students of color, and young Black males in particular, have suffered the greatest impact as schools have embraced more punitive disciplinary measures that effectively push the most vulnerable students into the juvenile and criminal justice systems. National data demonstrate that in the 2009–10 school
Federal Action and Initiatives

The United States Departments of Education and Justice have embarked on a number of efforts in recent years that address school discipline and demonstrate the importance of curbing school pushout for all students. Their efforts have included expanding the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC), launching the Supportive School Discipline Initiative (SSDI), requiring the consideration of student discipline in the competitive grant process, initiating compliance reviews and conducting investigations to assess whether there may be violations of civil rights laws, and reaching resolutions addressing concerns related to student discipline. In addition, the United States Commission on Civil Rights held a briefing and issued reports on school discipline.

Civil Rights Data Collection

For more than four decades, the United States Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights (OCR) has requested that local education agencies (LEAs) participate in the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC). In 2009, the Department of Education made significant changes to the 2009–10 CRDC, including collecting data on various disciplinary actions. Data in 2009–10 was collected from a representative sample—7,000 school districts and more than 72,000 schools—including all districts with at least 3,000 enrolled students, state-operated facilities for deaf and blind students, and state-operated juvenile justice facilities. In addition, the 2009–10 collection included some new data fields that sought to capture racial and disciplinary data. For example, the previous CRDC only recorded data on instances of out-of-school suspension (OSS), expulsion, and corporal punishment. Although the 2009–10 CRDC collected that same data, it also expanded to include in-school suspension (ISS), separate categories for single instances of OSS and multiple instances of OSS, zero-tolerance expulsion policies, referral to law enforcement, school-related arrests, and disaggregation of the data pertaining to students with disabilities, by race/ethnicity, sex, and limited English proficiency (LEP) status.

The collection for disciplinary data was expanded again for the 2011–12 CRDC. The data collection will include numbers for preschool suspensions and expulsions. In addition, the 2011–12 CRDC will be universal (the last universal collection occurred in 2000), and collect from all public schools and school districts, including juvenile justice facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving children with disabilities. The expanded data collection will provide educators, researchers, advocates, and other stakeholders with critical information necessary to understanding the impact of overly punitive disciplinary practices on Black and other students, identifying areas of concern in school disciplinary practices, and informing best practices moving forward.

Supportive School Discipline Initiative

In July 2011, the US attorney general and the secretary of education announced the Supportive School Discipline Initiative (SSDI) as “a collaborative project” between the Departments of Justice and Education that will address the “school-to-prison pipeline” and the disciplinary policies and practices that can push students out of school and into the justice system. The initiative aims to support good discipline practices to foster safe and productive learning environments in every classroom. The year-old initiative will work in conjunction with other stakeholder groups, including the Council of State Governments and the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, to address discipline issues in
schools, thereby utilizing the experience and knowledge of critical stakeholders to help curb school pushout and dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline.

**Competitive Grant: Race to the Top District Competition**

Another recent effort of the US Department of Education demonstrates consideration of discipline among different student groups. The Department of Education’s May 21, 2012, Executive Summary Draft for Race to the Top District Competition (RTT-D) includes in its Program Requirements section that “[d]istricts where minority students or students with disabilities are over-represented in discipline and expulsion rates (according to data submitted through the Civil Rights Data Collection) must undergo a district assessment of the root cause and develop a plan over the grant period to address root causes.” Although the announcement is in draft form, the inclusion of the language in the nineteen-page document and among the eight program requirements is significant because it demonstrates the fact that addressing racial disparities in school discipline is a priority for the federal government. What remains to be seen is whether the language will be amended or deleted, to reflect comments solicited by the department through an open comment period that ended on June 8, 2012. The language requires that there is overrepresentation of students of color being disciplined, the district specifically determine the root causes and then develop a plan to address the root causes. Importantly, this proposed requirement does not penalize districts that have been identified as having disproportionate discipline rates for Black males or other students of color, or prohibit them from engaging in the competitive-grant process or disadvantage them in the grant process; rather, it encourages the district to proactively address the discipline issues and problems that its school community faces. School districts should appreciate and take advantage of this opportunity to create a viable and effective plan of action to address racial disparities in school discipline, which may be incorporated into their application for a national competition.

**United States Commission on Civil Rights**

On February 11, 2011, the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) held a briefing entitled “School Discipline and Disparate Impact.” The commission inquired, in part, whether schools have changed their disciplinary policies as well as how schools implement and track the effectiveness of their discipline policies. Participants included teachers and administrators from a variety of states, as well as a representative of the US Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights (OCR). Most teachers who presented at the briefing contended that disciplinary problems were greatly reduced by attention to levels of difficulty of academic material, sensitivity to students and their backgrounds, parental involvement and support, and school principals that effectively assumed leadership roles within their schools. Administrators who appeared at the briefing found it useful to exercise flexibility in meeting the needs of students rather than imposing zero tolerance rules that could produce unfair results, train teachers to be culturally competent, establish programs for behaviorally high-risk students, implement parent-engagement and education programs, and/or adopt one of the nationally tested behavior-management programs known to have reduced disparities and expulsions.

As noted above, the USCCR also recently issued reports on school discipline that looked at specific school districts in Florida, Kentucky, and Tennessee, and each report discusses disciplinary policies that increase obstacles to African American students’ success in schools. Each school district identified by the reports is highly segregated by race and has a high level of poverty, and markedly low levels of educational success among African American students; and the reports note that each of the districts is facing challenges surrounding school discipline. However, the reports also identify areas for improvement in school disciplinary policies and alternative practices that may reduce racial disparities. Specifically, the Tennessee and Kentucky reports suggest that some disciplinary policies are clearly superior in assuring that a greater number of students succeed in and stay in schools. Both reports also promote alternatives to punitive disciplinary practices, which involves early identification of at-risk students and special programs catering to their needs, and positive behavior interventions and supports (PBIS) as better alternatives to the current disciplinary policies in place at problem schools.

Findings from the reports also demonstrate the effects of overly punitive disciplinary measures on African American students. The Florida report found that students benefit from school/community/home partnerships. It also found that out-of-school suspension, alternative school placement, and expulsion contribute to a higher risk of school dropout and that dropping out of school increases the risk of incarceration. Moreover, the report found that African American students in District C schools receive a disproportionate amount of discipline at harsher levels meaning that its discipline policies continue to have a disparate impact. Both the Kentucky and Tennessee reports highlight the public schools’ responsibility to educate all students who walk through their doors. And both assert that African American students in their respective school districts receive disproportionate discipline. Both assert that exclusionary punishments lead to a higher risk of incarceration for students who receive them. The Tennessee report suggests that the district needs to be vigilant in monitoring its disciplinary practices.

**Compliance Reviews, Investigations, and Resolutions**

While there is no question that schools are subject to the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, both of which prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin, allegations of violations of these key civil rights laws exist today.
As a result of the allegations, over the past four years there have been a number of complaints filed with the United States Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights. Similarly, there have been compliance reviews initiated by the Office for Civil Rights, and both the Departments of Justice and Education have reached agreements and resolutions that address Title VI violations and discrimination on the basis of race. This section will provide a sample of the compliance reviews, resolutions, settlement agreements, and complaints that the US Departments of Education and Justice have been involved in. Complaints have been brought alleging both the disparate treatment of students and also under a disparate impact theory.

Discipline-Related Reviews and Investigations

Over the last few years, the United States Department of Education has initiated nearly a dozen discipline-related compliance reviews in school districts across the country.

OCR began an investigation into District D in Delaware to determine whether African American students, and in particular African American male students, were being punished disproportionately. Recent data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (2009 Survey year) demonstrates the following district disciplinary data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Population</th>
<th>Out-of-School Suspensions</th>
<th>Expulsions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Media reports indicate that the school district has come under scrutiny for its strict zero-tolerance policies. Since the investigation began, district discipline rates have decreased slightly. The media also reports that OCR is looking into disproportionate rates of discipline for Black students (particularly Black males) in District E in North Carolina. Reports indicate that based on 2009–10 data, although Black males make up about 13 percent of all students in the district, they account for approximately 63.8 percent of all suspensions.

Some of these investigations may lead to curbing school pushout and help dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline.

Resolution Agreements

A series of resolution agreements between school districts and the United States Department of Justice and/or the United States Department of Education demonstrate that agreements include similar requirements and recommendations for addressing Title VI violations. Although the agreements do not always focus entirely on discipline matters, the recommendations and requirements require training, data collection, implementation of best practices, systems for monitoring programs designed to curb school pushout, assignment of designated personnel, and revising policies. The resolution agreements for three school districts are outlined below.

District F

District F’s resolution agreement came about as a result of an alleged Title VI violation in which a student wrongly received an in-school suspension (ISS). The resolution agreement required that District F:

+ Evaluate and revise discipline policies to ensure that they are not discriminatory on their face and limit subjectivity of infractions
+ Implement data collection and analysis for the use of ISS and out-of-school suspension (OSS) as punishments
+ Review whether prior ISS and OSS referrals were used in a discriminatory fashion, comparing rates of referrals of African American, Hispanic, and White students and assessing whether specific teachers or administrators referred disproportionately high numbers of specific groups to ISS or OSS
+ Provide trainings for faculty, staff, and administrators on revised procedures and data tracking and also to demonstrate best practices in avoiding discrimination in disciplining African American and Hispanic students

District G

In District G an investigation was initiated to evaluate whether the district was denying equal educational opportunities to national origin language-minority students. The investigation was expanded to focus on the allocation of resources to five predominantly African American schools as compared to five predominantly White elementary schools, and also addressed disciplinary practices. A section of the resolution mandates that District G:

+ Evaluate disciplinary policies at district and school levels and develop a comprehensive plan to eliminate the disproportionality in discipline imposed on African American students
Determine programmatic elements that minimize subjectivity in imposing disciplinary sanctions
Develop a system for monitoring the success of the disciplinary plan in reducing disproportionality in punishments

**District H**
District H’s resolution agreement addressed a pending Title VI investigation. The resolution was designed to prevent hostile environments and to address allegations of harassment based on race and national origin. The resolution agreement required that District H:

- Publish and disseminate an anti-harassment statement to students, parents, and staff
- Hire a third-party consultant to study and determine whether additional anti-harassment measures were necessary and to provide the consultant’s report to the federal government
- Revise its existing anti-harassment policy with specified language; revise its disciplinary policies with regard to student harassment; and submit all revisions to the United States for approval
- Provide annual training to staff regarding harassment and how to recognize and prevent unwanted behaviors
- Create a student committee to discuss discrimination and harassment for students
- Create a monitoring program to assess the effectiveness of anti-harassment efforts
- Develop a report demonstrating the implementation of anti-harassment programs and policies required by the agreement and annual submission of documentation of steps taken to address race-based harassments

**Advocacy Complaints**
A number of complaints have recently been filed with the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) regarding disparate disciplinary practices for African American students. This section highlights the claims and remedies sought in some recent complaints filed with OCR.

**District I**
In June 2012, a complaint was filed with OCR against District I, located in Massachusetts. The complaint, filed by the Center for Civil Rights Remedies at the Civil Rights Project of UCLA and the ACLU of Massachusetts, alleges that the frequent use of out-of-school suspension in public schools in District I violates Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, prohibiting discrimination based on race, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, prohibiting discrimination based on disability. The complaint alleges that the district’s suspension policy amounting to the frequent use of out-of-school suspensions violates Title VI and has a disparate impact on Black and Latino students and students with disabilities. The complaint also alleges that the district’s suspension policies are unnecessarily harsh, resulting in frequent out-of-school suspension for all students, and unsupported by research on best educational practices. Data from the 2009–10 school year demonstrates the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Population</th>
<th>Percent of Suspensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More recent data indicates that the district’s suspension rate is rising, and that the district authorizes out-of-school suspension for noncriminal, nonviolent, and minor, public-order-related infractions.

**District J**
In May 2011, a complaint was filed with OCR citing excessive use of harsh disciplinary practices against African American students and students with disabilities by District J, located in Kentucky. The complaint raises violations of Title VI, under disparate-treatment and disparate-impact theories. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the district’s implementation of zero-tolerance policies and vague and ambiguous discipline procedures results in African American students being disciplined more harshly and more often than their White peers, and that the policies are having a disparate impact on both African American students and students with disabilities. Further, the complaint alleges that African American students are disproportionately placed in the district’s alternative education programs. The complaint provides data from the 2008–09 school year that demonstrates the following:
District K

In September 2010, a complaint was filed with OCR citing the disproportionate suspension of Black students in District K, located in North Carolina. Complainants contend that for the 2008–9 school year the district had the highest number of long-term suspensions and the second-highest number of short-term suspensions in the state. The complaint raises violations of Title VI, under disparate-treatment and disparate-impact theories. Specifically, the complaint alleges that the district’s policies and procedures surrounding student discipline disproportionately exclude African American students from schools. The complaint provides data from the 2008–9 school year that demonstrates the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Population</th>
<th>Percent of Suspensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American Students</td>
<td>35% 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle School Students</td>
<td>36% 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Students</td>
<td>54% 33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OCR has not yet issued findings, but advocates have noted the following data for the 2010–11 school year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Population</th>
<th>Percent of Suspensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American Students</td>
<td>25% 59.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emerging Research and Initiatives

Education officials and advocates have access to resources that serve to help curb punitive disciplinary practices, curb racial disparities in school discipline, and dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline. The availability of these resources demonstrates the commitment of additional stakeholders in addressing the critical problem faced by Black males in schools. School districts should be proactive in seeking sources of funding for research and implementation of systems that help and inform and present alternatives to punitive disciplinary measures and policies that disproportionately impact African American students.

Government Funding

In the past decade, the federal government has awarded grants totaling over $35 million dedicated to initiatives aimed at addressing school discipline issues—by implementing preventative measures and programs, training, engaging multiple stakeholders, and enhancing conflict-resolution skills.

One grant was awarded to support the design of an intervention aimed at reducing the overrepresentation of minority students in special-education and disciplinary actions by promoting cultural proficiency and student engagement. The focus of the intervention is culturally responsive teaching and classroom-management and student-engagement strategies, and participants include parents, youth,
teachers, and administrators. Similarly, other grants focus on training for teachers, implementation of effective classroom management strategies, culturally responsive pedagogy, and improving student-teacher interactions. Many include components related to eliminating conflict between students—such as teacher-led instruction aimed at facilitating conflict-resolution skills, peer mediation, and developing problem-solving skills. In addition, numerous grant awards include examination of school-wide discipline rules, and others focus on examining and building positive behavior support systems. Some awards include multiple stakeholders in the process—parents, teachers, administrators, and youth. These awards are critical because they recognize the need for continued creation of positive strategies and effective interventions and also the need for collaborative solutions to eliminating school discipline as a barrier to educating Black males and other students.

**Other Funding Opportunities**

Similarly, a number of foundations and endowments have supported research and initiatives tied to curbing punitive disciplinary practices that push students out of schools and have a chilling effect on African American students and other students of color. The effects are educational, social, and economical—just to name a few—and it is clear that some are committed to school discipline reform, as they acknowledge through their grant awards the detrimental impact of zero-tolerance and other disciplinary measures that remove students from the educational environment. Five foundations and endowments are highlighted below.

- **Open Society Foundations**: The mission of Open Society Foundations (OSF) includes strengthening respect for human rights. OSF’s Strategic Opportunities Fund is currently seeking proposals for programs that support the implementation and evaluation of alternative school-climate and discipline models. In 2012, the fund is undertaking an initiative that seeks to reduce the inappropriate and harmful use of suspensions, expulsions, and arrests in public schools in an effort to dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline. To achieve its objectives, the fund seeks to support organizations that work at multiple policy levels to address policies and practices that reinforce, often unintentionally, the school-to-prison pipeline, including zero-tolerance school discipline codes, use of the juvenile justice system by schools to address minor youth misbehavior, and certain provisions of federal policy.

- **Atlantic Philanthropies**: Atlantic Philanthropies aims to bring about lasting changes in the lives of disadvantaged and vulnerable people. The organization provides grants through several programs, including the Children and Youth program, which has focused on reforming school discipline policies in its grant-making process. Over the past two years, Atlantic Philanthropies has provided more than a half-dozen grantees more than $7 million to pursue initiatives to help reform current school discipline policies. These grantees include: NAACP LDF, the Children’s Defense Fund, ACLU, NYCLU, Council of State Governments Justice Center, The Schott Foundation for Public Education, New York State Permanent Judicial Commission on Justice for Children, National Economic and Social Rights Initiative, and Rethinking Schools.

- **William T. Grant Foundation**: The William T. Grant Foundation seeks to understand human behavior through research, with the ultimate goal of improving the lives of children and young people. The foundation has an interest in research that is focused on understanding and improving social settings such as families, schools, peer groups, and organizations, and studying how these social settings affect youth. The foundation recently provided a grant to a pair of researchers at Indiana University and Indiana-Purdue University who seek to better understand the effect of school discipline measures on African American students and proposed to do so by conducting observations and interviews on a bimonthly basis in four middle schools in Indiana.

- **California Endowment**: The California Endowment promotes fundamental improvements in the health status of all Californians, with the belief that “health happens where we live, learn, work and play—in neighborhoods, schools, and with prevention.” In April 2012, the endowment announced the creation of a $1 million fund to support positive school discipline. The fund has targeted school districts, which can apply for training, grants, and other support in the fall of 2012. In support of the new fund, the president and CEO of the California Endowment noted, “An increasing number of school leaders now understand that harsh, unforgiving approaches are not helping students succeed. These school leaders are committed to changing their approaches, and in these difficult fiscal times, additional resources can help to speed adoption of new strategies and make Health Happen in Schools.”

- **Just and Fair Schools Fund**: The Just and Fair Schools Fund (JFSF) supports grassroots organizing initiatives that work to eliminate harsh school discipline policies and practices—and that uphold the right to education for all youth. The JFSF is awarding twenty-one grassroots organizations a total of $2,575,000 in two-year grants/subgrants during 2011–12. The fund articulates four goals for its grantees:
(1) with targeted support and broader collaboration, organized communities shift the national discourse from zero tolerance to keeping all children learning in school; (2) youth and parents convince schools, school districts, and states to adopt prevention-oriented and evidence-based school discipline that respects students’ right to education and fosters engaging learning climates; (3) organizing victories to reduce suspensions, expulsions, school-based arrests, pushouts, and dropouts; and (4) create interest in and support for community-organizing efforts on school discipline reform.

The School-Justice Partnership

In addition to the funding provided for research and strategies to curb school pushout, one initiative aims to bring together multiple stakeholders to address school discipline and pushout. The School-Justice Partnership, an initiative of the New York State Permanent Judicial Commission on Justice for Children, seeks to reduce the number of children entering the justice system by improving educational engagement and outcomes through innovative practices. Multiple stakeholders are part of the partnership, including government officials, experts, advocates, community organizers, and other key stakeholders, and they will study the issue and make recommendations for systemic change and attainable reform based on national strategies that are effective alternative approaches to keep students engaged in school and also hold students accountable for their behavior. The final product will be a report of the Task Force’s recommendations that will be released publicly in 2013 and distributed nationwide.

The report will aim to include recommendations that will consider policies and practices that promote safe, respectful, and supportive learning environments; reserve the use of punitive measures—including school suspension and mandatory arrest—for the most egregious cases; and address the overrepresentation of students receiving special-education services and children of color who are exposed to exclusionary school discipline practices that can lead to court involvement.

Conclusion

The school-to-prison pipeline is a civil rights issue, and it has a significant negative impact on many student groups including African American males. African American youth are being pushed out of schools at alarming rates—thereby diminishing their opportunities for academic and social growth and development both in and outside of the classroom. It is imperative that solutions to eliminate the school-to-prison pipeline and racial disparities in school discipline focus on increasing student achievement and opportunities while keeping students in schools. Therefore, it is recommended that school systems consider and appreciate the power of multi-stakeholder approaches to address these issues. Ideally, community advocates, law- and policymakers, students, judicial officers, parents, teachers, social scientists and researchers, legal advocates, and school and district leaders should take part in critical conversations regarding appropriate actions that may help address the problem. Such a multi-stakeholder approach may potentially permit and promote rich discussion and diversity of ideas to help dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline, that continues to devour Black youth and youth of all races. The multi-stakeholder approach also permits those involved to provide input and gain an understanding of the challenges each stakeholder group faces in the effort to educate all students. Stakeholders who work together may also hold each other accountable—thereby ensuring collaborative work and solutions. As school systems consider their approach to address the school-to-prison pipeline and school discipline, they must remember—it is critical that a school system contribute significantly and positively to the solution, not the problem.

Summary of Solutions

Based on the actions of advocates and government agencies, research by social scientists, the experiences of educators, and grant making by foundations, it is clear that multiple stakeholders aim to dismantle the school-to-prison pipeline and curb the pushout of Black males and other children from schools due to overly punitive disciplinary practices. Resolution agreements between federal agencies and school systems, complaints filed by advocates, and research and briefings on school discipline all highlight key methods that may be employed to keep African American and other students in schools. The following is a list of recommendations for school systems that will help dismantle the costly school-to-prison pipeline and keep all students in schools.

1. Incorporate recommendations such as those provided by individuals and organizations that have filed complaints against school districts with the US Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights:
   a. Hire a consultant to meet with the stakeholders involved in the complaint and resolution process
   b. Implement evidence-based practices to reduce disparities in suspensions and expulsions and referrals to alternative schools
   c. Increase the access of alternative-school students to regular education classes and extra-curricular activities
   d. Provide intensive educational instruction (remediation) for those students who are suspended for more than ten days and are behind according to educational assessment
   e. Use alternatives that will address the needs of all students
f. Adopt and implement district-wide positive behavior interventions and supports (PBIS), school-wide positive behavioral interventions (SWPBIS), and other alternatives to zero-tolerance policies and suspension

g. Create training and support for teachers in classroom and behavior management as a systematic means of improving school discipline

h. Develop training for implementing viable alternative-disciplinary practices that don’t disproportionately impact students of color and that don’t serve as zero-tolerance policies or policies that push students out of schools

i. Change underlying attitudes and policies regarding how behavior is addressed by focusing on school-wide goals and collective behaviors and structures rather than individual students

j. Use out-of-school suspensions as a measure of last resort, and require in-school suspension and other less severe sanctions for less serious offenses

k. Produce alternative disciplinary policies that are less severe and discriminatory, and more effective in improving educational outcomes and school discipline. Some viable alternatives include mediation, counseling, and parent conferences, which provide more constructive interventions and a better opportunity to understand and address the cause of the misbehavior.

l. Determine social and emotional strategies aiming to develop student characteristics that foster self-discipline

m. Consider restorative justice as an alternative, as it emphasizes a collaborative response to misbehavior that encourages support and taking responsibility for one’s own actions

2. Keep sight of the goal—keeping all students in the classroom. Schools cannot exist without students; therefore officials should focus on ensuring school and classroom climates support effective student learning. Educators may conduct climate surveys to help assess how members of the school community feel about their schools.

3. Nurture student leaders. Schools should focus on nurturing potential student leaders from all student groups. For example, students from different racial backgrounds and students with different levels of academic achievement should be considered and included. Nurturing a diverse group of student leaders would provide the opportunity for all student voices to be heard and demonstrate that all students are valued. Students should have a voice in how their school is governed—including in the creation and revision of discipline policies and practices.

4. Be transparent. School systems should aim to collect and share student discipline data with all school community stakeholders. Data must be available to inform stakeholders, including educators, policymakers, advocates, and parents, about what is going on in schools.

5. Be proactive. School systems should aggressively identify problems in their schools and aim to eliminate them. Where there are a disproportionate number of Black males or other subgroup(s) of students subjected to punitive disciplinary practices, systems must not ignore the trend, but acknowledge that there may be a problem that should be addressed. A system should not wait until a complaint has been filed or a compliance review has been initiated to assess what improvements can be made and should be made in order to eradicate systemic race and discipline problems in the district. In addition to understanding what is going on in one’s own school system, efforts should be made to consider the struggles and accomplishments of other school systems that have dealt with or are tackling school discipline issues.

6. Utilize a multi-stakeholder approach. School systems should consider utilizing a multi-stakeholder approach to dismantling the school-to-prison pipeline and eliminating racial disparities in school discipline. Such approach should include community-based and grassroots advocates, parents, educators, students, policy and law makers, researchers, and public officials.

7. Provide staff development. School systems should embrace development for all staff in schools on a consistent basis. Development opportunities should include cultural competence training for educators and other training and development related to implementing new policies and systems for tracking and evaluating school discipline.

8. Implement effective data and other systems. It is imperative for school systems to collect accurate data regarding school discipline at the classroom, school and district levels. Data should be disaggregated by race and gender and other subgroups so that opportunities for growth and professional development, areas of success, and areas in need of improvement may be identified.

9. Monitor systems and progress. School systems should appoint dedicated staff to implement discipline systems and monitor progress. The monitoring should occur on a regular basis.
10. Set goals. School systems should set benchmarks and goals to reduce their suspension and expulsion rates. They must also aim to eliminate racial disparities in disciplinary practices. If a system has set goals, it should be in a position to respond immediately if or when the system comes dangerously close to failing to progress or meet the set goals.

11. Seek technical assistance and funding. School systems should not be afraid to ask for help. There are often opportunities to request technical assistance offered by the government, academic institutions, or other organizations. Similarly, there are funds available for assisting with initiatives to eliminate disparities in school discipline and reduce numbers of school suspensions and expulsions.

12. Be accountable. School systems must remain accountable to the students they are meant to serve and the entire school community. Therefore, they should set up an internal system of accountability that all are aware of and understand.

Notes
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20. This is evident based on a July 30, 2012, search of the following in the Westlaw Database using the following terms and connectors: Black African American /5 boy student /s suspend! expel! expul! disciplin! punish! /p disparat! disproportionat!.


25. Losen and Skiba, Suspended Education.


27. Losen and Gillespie, Opportunities Suspended; NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Dismantling the School-to-Prison Pipeline.


30. The Kentucky report cites the “zero tolerance” standard in District A, whereby certain offenses like possession of tobacco or drugs or acts of violence result in an immediate removal from school as a major factor in disproportionate disciplinary practices. Additionally, Kentucky uses “alternative school settings” where a student may be placed in a “highly structured environment” outside of his/her regular school for disciplinary reasons. In addition, the report notes the disproportionate placement of African American students in alternative programs and also discusses the disproportionate number of disciplinary referrals and suspensions issued to African American students resulting in their rate of suspension being 50 percent higher than that of White students in District A.

The assessment of Tennessee’s discriminatory disciplinary policies begins with citing the high level of discretion afforded to principals in those administering discipline in District B. The discretion, the report says, is due to unclear labels for qualifying offenses under District B’s “zero-tolerance” policy. The report also cites several troubling statistics indicating the extent to which discipline is meted out unevenly along racial lines. For example, although African American students make up one-third of the student body in District B, they receive 60 percent of disciplinary referrals, two-thirds of out-of-school suspensions, and 83 percent of referrals to alternative education tracks.

The Florida report begins by noting that the student code of conduct intended to guide student behaviors at District C schools is written at a college graduate reading level, making it inaccessible to students and occasionally their parents or guardians. The report also discusses disparities in disciplinary consequences and notes that the level of segregation in alternative education programs in District C is problematic. Finally, the Florida report notes that efforts by District C to reduce suspensions and referrals to alternative programs have been successful in five sites where an “Alternative to Out-of-School Suspension Program” (ATOSB) was implemented.


39. 42 USC. 2000d et seq.; US Const. amend. XIV.
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50. Detailed information on these grants can be found at the website of the US Department of Education’s Institute of Education Sciences (http://ies.ed.gov/funding/grantsearch/index.asp), see, in particular, “Double Check: A Cultural Proficiency and Student Engagement Model” (Award No. R244A110107); “Academic and Behavioral Competencies Model” (Award No. R305L090065); “Evaluation of a Video-Based Modeling Program to Promote Effective Teacher Classroom Management Practices” (Award No. R305A100342); “The Chicago Social and Character Development Model: Extension to Grade 8” (Award No. R305A080253); “Increasing Adolescent Engagement, Motivation, and Achievement: Efficacy of a Web-Based, Teacher Professional Development Model” (Award No. R305A100967); “A Randomized Controlled Trial of the Combination of Two Preventive Interventions” (Award No. R305A080926); “Early, Evidence-Based Intervention for Externalizing Behavior Problems in School: From Efficacy to Effectiveness of the First Step to Success Program” (Award No. R324A060005); “Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies” (Award No. R105L100165); “Enhancing Data-Based Decision-Making in Schools” (Award No. R324A070226); “Identifying Factors Predicting Implementation and Sustainability of School-wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports” (Award No. R324A110278); “Systems-Level Analysis of Evidence-Based Intervention Implementation by Problem-Solving Teams” (Award No. R324A120212); “Testing the Impact of PBIS Plus” (Award No. R324A070118).
The Problem: Lagging Black Male Achievement

Recent history offers troubling educational statistics for African American boys. It is no longer newsworthy to state that young African American males are not doing well in school compared to their white counterparts. From truancy to suspensions to low high school graduation rates, in many schools across America, Black males generally are not achieving at grade level and progressing at rates consistent with similarly situated students. Numerous reports, articles, papers, and journals have lamented these academic struggles across the educational spectrum. No additional negative data need be given here. But how do we create an educational environment in which young Black boys achieve and thrive? How must the educational experience be transformed to accommodate our young boys? And what supports are needed to make this change a reality?

The Promise: Raising Black Male Achievement through School-Based Partnerships

Concerned Black Men—National Organization (CBM) has significant experience working with schools...
and school districts, developing collaborations to achieve positive outcomes for African American youth. Creating school-based programs and initiatives specifically targeting young Black males has been an organizational priority of CBM for many years. Reducing truancy, increasing students’ school attachment, and raising grade levels for Black youths are achievable results if partnerships between schools and community and faith-based groups are nurtured and supported. Many of the strategies and ideas discussed below come from the lessons that CBM has learned during its thirty-six-year history of helping children and youths in school-based partnerships.

**Benefits of School/Community Partnerships**

A school partnership with a community-based organization (CBO) can be a most compelling collaboration, particularly within the context of addressing low Black male achievement. First, partnerships can provide a greater focus on issues. Most schools and school districts acknowledge the seriousness of depressed grades and test scores for their Black male students, but don’t have an intentional strategy to address and turn around the problem. Most problems in schools (as in life) don’t get solved without the proper focus, yet scores of schools believe that the problem of, for example, Black male truancy and low achievement will miraculously solve itself if the institution just gets better at educating its youths. That generally does not happen. A collaboration, at minimum, offers school leadership a foundational tool to develop strategy and focus on pernicious problems. Even with no additional help from the city, county, state, or federal governments, a CBO/school partnership can help a school or school district provide more attention to such problems.

Such partnerships also serve to garner resources in a resource-limited environment, bringing volunteers and solution-based expertise to schools and school districts, in an effort to address the Black male achievement problem. As part of a well-designed initiative, for example, mentors and tutors can help young Black boys develop school attachment and an increase in math and reading scores. Sometimes, the only cost might be with respect to time and meeting space within the school.

School-community partnerships have also been noted for their potential for innovation (Van Acker et al. 2001). “Fresh eyes” have a greater likelihood of seeing solutions and possibilities not previously recognized. When schools and districts partner with community-based organizations, ideas that would otherwise not have been conceived and implemented are introduced and developed. Obviously, when tackling the pernicious problem of low Black male achievement, new and innovative strategies must be welcomed.

Another benefit of CBO/school partnerships is that they create opportunities for parents to become engaged in the lives of their children while at school. Research shows parental involvement is associated with higher student achievement outcomes across racial lines (Jeynes 2005). Whether we examine grades, standardized test scores, or a variety of other measures, including teacher ratings, the strong correlation between parental involvement and achievement suggests that schools must find ways to engage parents. Yet schools sometimes unwittingly become places that intimidate parents and thus prevent their consistent involvement. Many CBO/school partnerships support parents in their efforts to engage school officials and teachers—communication that most likely would not occur without the relationship, program, or initiative between the school or district and CBO.

Finally, these partnerships support needed public policy changes. Community-based partners sometimes become the best school advocates to move legislators to make important changes in educational policy. While such a strategy can be fraught with challenges, community members who have a stake in the manner in which our children are educated often are CBO leaders who take action on their own accord to influence policy. Whether intentional or not, the partnership can lead to beneficial changes in the education of our children, and in the case of the subject at hand, our Black male children.

**Implementing a School-Based Partnership**

Developing a strong CBO/school partnership requires the consideration of several key steps. At the outset, research tells us that successful partnerships effectively use collaboration processes that facilitate coalition building, such as shared decision making, effective communication, and developing a clearly defined structure (Merrill et al. 2012). Below, we examine some of the strategies that are helpful in forging strong and lasting school/community partnerships.

**Developing and Maintaining a Realistic Policy and Procedural Framework**

Every school or school district contemplating a CBO/school partnership needs to ensure that it has the necessary policies in place to develop the partnership and maintain a positive collaboration with the community-based organization. Policies related to the use of volunteers, selecting partner organizations, aligning expectations, dealing with grievances, and evaluating and concluding partnerships generally are helpful. These policies must be known to the potential partners and all school and school-district decision makers. A careful balance between comprehensive policies that frame the nature of the relationship and what is expected of the potential partner is needed. Remember that a potential partner generally offers resources, skills, and talents at a discounted or nominal cost. The school or district therefore wants to encourage the relationship and make it easy, enjoyable, and productive. Yet an important goal is to select and maintain the right partners and prevent or dissuade the wrong ones. Clear policies set within the context of the mutual needs of the parties generally are the best starting point.
Assessing Needs and Gaps
From the perspective of the school or school district, the primary motivation for developing a CBO/school partnership generally is solving a problem, filling a pressing need, or seeking crucial help in a particular area or field. Every school and school district needs to assess its needs and gaps to determine the type of partnerships needed. Performing a basic needs assessment prior to developing any partnership is essential in most cases. After determining needs and gaps, deciding upon the focus of the future partnership is advised. A school or school district, therefore, should seek to play an active role in the creation of the partnership.

Educating School Management and Teachers on Partnership Development
Teachers and school officials must be educated on the need for CBO/school partnerships. Insisting that management and teachers recognize partners as critical players in educating children is vital. The partnership cannot be viewed as an “added bonus” or a pet project for which optional participation is countenanced. Rather, the partnership must be valued and viewed as a critical component in meeting school targets and goals. Visionary school leadership making it clear that the partnership must be given priority status is vital if it is going to be an effective tool in creating positive outcomes for Black male achievement.

Identifying the Right Partners
After considering policies, assessing needs, and changing hearts and minds, the most important step is partner identification. A school or school district might be approached by many organizations with good intentions. As Joellen Killion (2011) notes:
“Reciprocally beneficial partnerships expand opportunities and extend the capacity of schools and districts. Schools and districts have much to offer as partners because they are so visible in their communities and because they touch so many members of a community. They have much to gain and potentially much to lose from partnerships. The sure way to find and enter partnerships that add value to each partner is to take adequate time to build relationships with potential partners, assess potential partnerships, evaluate partnerships they enter, and avoid partnerships that might detract from their priorities and immediate needs.”

A school/district must ask the following questions:

- How long has the community-based organization/potential partner been operating in the community? Picking a partner that has roots in the community is extremely important. A school/district needs a partner that will be respected by parents. It is difficult to establish a successful partnership without parental cooperation. Many parents will not agree to enroll their students in the partnership’s program or initiative if the CBO does not have a good reputation in the community.
- What experience does the organization have in the proposed scope of work? Obviously, experience in the proposed scope of work of the partnership is necessary for any organization to succeed.
- Has the organization implemented the project in other schools/districts? School officials will want to call colleagues in other schools and districts who have experience with this community partner.
- What challenges has the organization faced in implementing programs and how have they been overcome? Every CBO/school partnership will face challenges in implementing its programs. A successful and competent CBO must learn how to overcome challenges and persevere.
- What results did the organization achieve and over what period of time? Developing positive outcomes for young people is the goal of any youth-focused CBO. A successful CBO should have a record of accomplishments to share.
- Does the organization have policies on child abuse and other crimes against children as well as procedures governing the use of volunteers? Has it or its volunteers had complaints brought against them related to sexual abuse or other violent crimes against children? School officials must understand the origins of any complaints brought against the CBO and how they were resolved. Having many complaints against an organization may be indicative of poor volunteer-recruitment procedures.
- How long does the organization plan to stay in the school/district and does it have the funding to continue program implementation over this period? Program and partnership sustainability is important—particularly with respect to multi-year partnerships.

Setting Expectations and Goals, and Clarifying Scope and Roles
Any successful endeavor requires all parties to share mutual goals. The CBO/school partnership is more likely to succeed if the school or district is convinced that it will meet specific goals. Community partners must appreciate that schools primarily are interested in improving grades and academic performance. Therefore, it is important for the CBO partner to articulate how the partnership will contribute. In setting goals and clarifying roles, the following questions are relevant for school officials:
What are the primary goals for the program?
Which type of student will be recruited in the proposed program or initiative?
How will students be recruited?
How do program goals contribute to or impact learning?
How long does the partner have to implement the project before results are realized?
How will achievement be assessed?
Will the program coordinate with teachers to address student weaknesses/strengths, and if so, when and how often will this coordination take place?

**Partnership Coordination**

Partners to such collaboration must ensure that there is coordination of effort. A community-based partner, for example, should be assigned a school liaison as the daily point of contact. The liaison should communicate any changes at the school or in the school district that could affect the partnership programs. A CBO, on the other hand, must keep the school or district informed as to all program activities, schedules, and events. Further, coordination in a school district also includes CBO placement in schools. Certain schools or principals, for example, are more popular than others, and these schools sometimes get a disproportionate share of offers to form partnerships with CBOs. School officials must caution against such a partnership imbalance. Some school districts have initiated a vetting process that includes district-wide placement of partners. Partners apply for particular schools and are selected to conduct programs in specific schools based on need. They also provide information on the organization and its experience.

**Mutual Accountability**

The success of the CBO/school partnership in large part will depend on the extent to which both parties hold each other accountable. In most cases, the school or school district is accountable for providing continuous and timely access to youths, space in the school building to conduct the program, and other supports needed to implement successfully the program, such as a school liaison. The CBO generally is accountable for proposed program activities, and specifically, conducting those activities in a manner consistent with the mutual understanding agreed upon by the parties. It is important for schools to understand that in order for a program to succeed, support should be vertical throughout the school and executive ranks. As noted earlier, support by school officials is vital to the success of the partnership and its programs. Unfortunately, many school officials do not ensure this vertical support. Rather, CBO programs sometimes are viewed as “the principal’s pet project” instead of an integral part of a coordinated strategy to develop positive outcomes for young people. The following steps are suggested to ensure effective accountability:

1. A memorandum of understanding that outlines the school/school district and CBO’s roles and responsibilities
2. Education sessions (prior to the commencement of the partnership) for all school staff about the partnership, its purpose, policies, and procedures, and the staff’s role in ensuring its success
3. Assigning a school liaison officer who is responsible for coordination and communication with the CBO
4. Periodic meetings (for example, on a quarterly basis) with key school staff to discuss project activities, successes, and challenges
5. Beginning-of-the-year and year-end planning meetings between key partner officials to discuss changes needed to make the project more effective in the following school year
6. Schoolwide dissemination of program results and evaluation

**Cost-Sharing and Funding Options**

Unfortunately, the costs of excellent school-based programs often exceed the budgets of many CBOs. Current governmental reductions in program-related dollars have made funding the best partnership efforts even more difficult. Cost-sharing and funding options, however, can be considered a way for schools to help finance partnerships that address important school needs. Schools can consider providing space to conduct the program and pay for certain items such as snacks, offer human resources by volunteering teachers and other staff, and direct financial support.

**Policies and Procedures Regarding the Use of Volunteers**

School and school districts often have diverse policies regarding the use of volunteers who have significant contact with youths while in school. Having intelligent and standardized policies helps the CBO understand how to recruit volunteers and others to participate in partnership activities.
Evaluating CBO/School Partnerships

Evaluating the success of the partnership and its programs is critical. Evaluation not only assesses whether the goals and objectives were achieved but also provides information on the more challenging aspects of the collaboration. Evaluating the partnership could be done in several ways: (1) using an external evaluator (an outside or independent evaluator offers funding agencies the assurance that your outcomes are not biased); or (2) internal evaluations by members of the partnership.

The actual evaluation can take two forms: (1) process evaluation, which asks if the inputs and processes that were anticipated were carried out (for example, the CBO stated that it would enroll fifteen Black male youths in its program, and it accomplished this objective); and (2) outcome evaluation, which determines if the partnership achieved its goals and objectives (consistent with the above example, the CBO commits to raising the reading grades by one grade letter or more of at least 50 percent of those youths enrolled during the year, and it accomplishes this objective by raising the grades of eight of the fifteen enrolled youths). Note that these two basic evaluation processes are not mutually exclusive; in fact, both are often included in an evaluation plan.

Mentoring As a Viable Program in a CBO/School Partnership

Mentoring is a key strategy that can be used, in the context of young Black males, to create school attachment, target truancy, and help with negative behavior. While schools sometimes conduct their own mentoring programs, most involve partnerships with community-based organizations. Improvements as a result of mentoring have been noted where there has been evidence of drug abuse (Tierney and Grossman 1995; LoSciuto et al. 1996), and violence (Tierney and Grossman 1995). The impact of mentoring on academic performance also has been documented in the literature. In evaluating the Benjamin E. Mays Institute (BEMI) mentoring program, one study (Gordon et al. 2009) found that sixth-grade students in the BEMI group had a statistically higher GPA compared to a comparison group of similar students (2.8 versus 1.06). The BEMI group also identified more with academics than the comparison group. The program was conducted among urban middle-schoolers in Hartford, Connecticut. It included one-on-one mentoring; interaction with professionals in the neighboring community; structured events for all participants that allowed for interaction, sharing and developing common goals around the mentoring experience; and instruction by male instructors in major subject areas.

Another support program that included high school students also demonstrated academic success among its students using a mentoring strategy. An evaluation of the long-term impact of Sponsor-a-Scholar program found that those with better relationships with their mentors were more likely to achieve higher GPAs, and get into and stay in college. Effects also have been witnessed as a result of “natural mentoring.” In a study among 3,520 high school students from fourteen school districts in eight states (California, Arizona, Kansas, Louisiana, South Carolina, Washington, Maryland, and Massachusetts), it was found that students with naturally occurring mentoring relationships had a higher level of school attachment, which in turn led to lower rates of substance abuse and other risky behaviors (Black et al. 2010). A number of pathways have been suggested to explain the influence of mentoring on youth behavior and outcomes. Cohen and Galbraith (1995) indicate that mentoring could be used to counter the attitude that “no one cares” experienced by students. Introducing mentors in a school system also could create resilience in settings that are unresponsive to student needs (Gordon et al. 2009). Research suggests that mentoring provides social learning and opportunities for attachment to conventional organizations and institutions, leading to a reduction in risk behaviors (Black et al. 2010). Further, the trusting relationship developed between the mentor and mentee encourages the young person to model the pro-social behavior performed by the mentor. When mentors model high regard for education, abstinence from substance abuse, violence, and sexual activity, this begins a cycle of self-examination and adaptation that eventually leads to the mentee’s change in behavior. Finally, the instruction, encouragement, and support the mentor provides goes a long way in helping the young person navigate tough circumstances that otherwise would prove difficult to handle.

Types of Mentoring

Mentoring is typically done one-on-one or in the context of a small group. One-on-one mentoring involves matching a student with an adult with whom he will have frequent contact. Group mentoring pairs a small group of students with one mentor. The mentor may meet with students individually above and beyond the required group interactions, but the main interaction occurs within the group. To allow effective relationship building between the mentor and mentee, in areas where group mentoring is implemented, a mentoring ratio of one adult to four young people is suggested.

School-based mentoring programs are housed at the school site, with adults and youth meeting in various campus locations and the program making use of school facilities and administrative space. Community mentoring, on the other hand, takes place at locations within the community.

Principles of an Effective Mentoring Program

Although mentoring has been consistently found to make a difference in youth outcomes (Tierney and Grossman 1995; O’Connor 1995), scholars have consistently agreed that not all mentoring programs are equally effective (Sipe 2002; Grossman and Rhodes 2002; Herrera et al. 2000). A meta-analysis of mentoring programs (DuBois et al. 2002) found that program outcomes are enhanced significantly when greater numbers of both theory and empirically based “best practices” are relied upon. These best practices include monitoring of program implementation, screening of prospective mentors, matching...
Elements of a Successful CBO/School Partnership Mentoring Program: CBM CARES® National Mentoring Initiative

Since 1975, Concerned Black Men has implemented successful children and youth programs. In 2007 CBM National established a local mentoring program in Washington, DC, for mostly African American middle school boys. A little over a year ago, relying upon the lessons learned in the local project, Concerned Black Men created the CBM CARES® National Mentoring Initiative. CBM CARES® is a national effort that works with schools and school districts across America to implement school-based mentoring programs for Black male youths in grades five through eight. The mentors are primarily African American men and come from all walks of life. The program's goal is to keep boys in school and not truant, safe from violence and negative consequences, and help them achieve. While it is challenges to raise grades and test scores for any group of youths solely through mentoring, such a program can enhance the environment for academic achievement by reducing problem and high-risk behavior exhibited by boys who lack responsible male adults in their lives. The specific problem behaviors targeted include those most likely to change dramatically and negatively the life of a young boy: juvenile delinquency and general violence; drug use and abuse; and poor school attachment (high truancy and suspension rates, and low attendance and graduation rates). CBM thanks the program’s principal funding agency, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice, for the opportunity to help our boys succeed and achieve.

What are the essential components of a school-based mentoring program seeking to support Black male youths? Here, we use CBM CARES® as an example of such an initiative conducted by a CBO in partnership with a school or school district. With nominal modifications tailored to locality, CBM believes that such a program can be conducted in any school or across any school district in the nation.

Target Population

CBM CARES® currently includes young males in grades five through eight. African American boys are the principal recruitment targets. Given the large number of Black boys not achieving in school, truant or suspended and exhibiting negative behavior, CBM believes it is imperative that programs focusing primarily on Black males be developed. Because such youths face similar problems across the country, CBM created a program with the potential to touch many children in schools and districts nationwide. Engaging boys prior to entering high school also is important. Studies (Jimerson et al. 2000, and many others) have found that school dropout has its genesis early in a child’s school career. Therefore, CBM includes young males in the mentoring program beginning in late elementary through middle schools.

In adopting such a program, a school or school district might be asked “Why a focus on boys? And why African American boys in late elementary and middle school?” Studies show that students with poor academic achievement and inconsistent attendance in middle school are likely candidates for dropping out prior to completing high school (Balfanz, Herzog, and Mac Iver 2007). The normal transition from middle to high school significantly increases at-risk behaviors such as drug use, school misconduct, and delinquency. Boys are more likely to initiate marijuana use at an earlier age (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2010), more likely to be involved in the juvenile justice system, more likely to engage in violent crime (Snyder and Sickmund 2006), and more likely to drop out of school (Child Trends Data Bank 2007). Research suggests that African American boys are subject to the greatest risk for the above-targeted behaviors. For example, with respect to school completion, African American boys generally have the worst high school graduation rate of any school-aged group. While there is no nationally accepted standard to measure graduation, various methodologies show only 49 percent and 48 percent of African American and Hispanic males graduating from high school within four years, respectively, compared to 74 percent of white males and 69 percent of black females.

of mentors and youth on the basis of one or more relevant criteria, and other best-practice procedures (Freedman 1992). Additionally, an assessment of the effectiveness of fifty mentor/mentee relationships among youth participating in a Big Brothers Big Sister’s program in the Midwest found that best practices in mentor training were positive predictors of mentor efficacy (Parra et al. 2002). The same study showed that mentors who were confident and knowledgeable (both included as functions of mentor efficacy) were more likely to have substantial positive associations with youth, meet with their mentees more regularly, and overcome program difficulties more easily.

Other studies have underscored the importance of the length of the mentoring relationship. As noted earlier, Grossman and Rhodes (2002) found that youths who were in mentoring relationships lasting a year or longer reported more improvements in academic, psychosocial, and behavioral outcomes. The study found that youth in relationships that terminated within three months reported drops in self-worth and perceived scholastic competence. However, length alone does not tell the whole story. A number of other factors, including the mentor’s interpersonal style, the mentor’s approach during the first few meetings, the closeness of the mentor/mentee relationship, as well as the number of hours of each meeting have been found to have a bigger influence on outcomes (Grossman and Johnson 1999). Interviews conducted with mentors indicate that the number of hours per month that mentors and youths spent together was associated consistently with reports of more positive relationship experiences (Herrera et al. 2000). In analyzing the impact of the quality of mentor/mentee relationships, scholars suggest that closer relationships are more likely to last longer and provide more emotional and practical assistance (Rhodes et al. 2002; Herrera et al. 2000).
**Goals and Objectives**

Program goals and objectives have to be both realistic and challenging. In creating a school-based mentoring program for African American male students, goals and objectives must embody the problem behavior the CBO or school or school district wants to change. Typically, the CBO’s goals would include some or all of the following language:

- **Goal 1:** To reduce the proportion of students who engage in violence-related problem behavior
- **Goal 2:** To reduce drug abuse among participating youth
- **Goal 3:** To increase school attachment among participating youth
- **Goal 4:** To increase overall academic performance

The actual goal language would be more specific—tailored to the particular situation—including the desired percentage reduction (or increase) of the specific behavior, the time period in which the CBO wants to achieve the reduction or increase, and the evaluative tool used to measure the change. For example: Goal 3, as given above, might be narrowed as follows:

- **Objective 3.1:** To reduce by 50 percent the number of unexcused school absences among youths who have been matched with mentors for one year as measured by the student’s attendance records before and after the one-year match

Again, depending on the strategy employed by the CBO in your partnership, additional objectives associated with this overall goal might also be included.

**Project Location**

CBM took the lessons learned from its early DC-area local mentoring project and geared up quickly to create a multisite national mentoring program. The importance of incorporating lessons learned from other partnership-based efforts, particularly mentoring programs, cannot be overemphasized. From operational delays to mentor-recruitment problems, such partnership programs operating across many schools or school districts are fraught with challenges that can derail good intentions. By relying upon lessons learned, a CBO anticipates challenges and stays in front of them, thereby minimizing their impact upon the partnership program. CBM CARES® is conducted in five locations nationally, in approximately four schools per district, for a total of twenty schools. Columbia, South Carolina; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Richmond, Virginia; and Washington, DC, initially were included. Recently, Prince George’s County, Maryland, was added.

**Project Activities**

The “intervention” includes activities that make up the core of the program: mentoring, parent and youth workshops, and structured enrichment activities.

As noted, with CBM CARES®, the interventional activities revolve around one-on-one and group mentoring. CBM CARES® includes approximately eight contact hours between mentor and mentee per month. Most but not all of the mentoring time involves personal contact. A minimum of two in-person meetings per month is required. Generally, the group mentoring sessions are conducted on the school grounds. Mentors, with the assistance of CBM staff, select a venue for the one-on-one mentoring sessions.

A very important point regarding mentoring and achievement: Mentors can encourage school attachment by getting students to commit to attending school more regularly, promote positive social behavior such as respect for self and others, and help youths develop and explore college, vocational, and career pursuits. Mentors also can help mentees improve academically in reading and language arts, but note that a mentor is not a tutor or a teacher. A principal objective of mentoring is the establishment of a trusting relationship—one in which the youth feels comfortable sharing feelings and personal thoughts with his mentor. If the potential mentor views his role as that of instructor or disciplinarian, it is less likely that the mentee will view the relationship as special and less likely that a true mentor-mentee relationship will develop and last.

One study of mentoring in the learning environment (Karcher et al. 2006) distinguished between “developmental” and “instrumental” mentoring activities. The former focus on the mentee’s social and emotional development, with activities such as play and recreation, casual conversations, and the discussion of close relationships. The latter, in contrast, primarily involve learning skills (e.g., vocational), achieving specific goals, or thinking critically about issues that may be important to the youth’s future.

In this and other recent studies, mentors in matches that engaged in more developmental activities reported the highest levels of positive outcomes, while mentors in matches enlisting more instrumental activities reported the lowest level of beneficial outcomes.

Essentially, mentoring will have the greatest impact upon achievement if homework and schooling are not the key interventional activities of the mentoring relationship.

Parent and youth workshops are another important element of the CBM CARES® intervention. Many parents, especially single mothers, face significant challenges raising sons. CBM’s interaction with families in the target group also has shown that many parents, especially single mothers, lack sufficient knowledge to develop supportive relationships with their sons. Lack of understanding of the issues and pressures faced by male children, lack of confidence in their ability to relate to their sons, poor disciplining methods, and the inability to identify and address “red flags” involving the drug culture, school, and
associations all hinder many of our parents from being active catalysts for change in their sons' lives. CBM CARES® therefore organizes a number of parent workshops a year to help improve parenting skills. These workshops discuss a variety of issues including relationship building, monitoring children, establishing a stable home environment, effective parent-child communication, drug prevention, avoiding violence, gang involvement, and school dropout, and a number of other topics.

Finally, CBM CARES® offers structured enrichment activities intended to: (1) expose youth to higher career and educational goals; (2) provide opportunities for bonding with parents and guardians; (3) instill a love for reading and educational pursuits; and (4) provide additional opportunities for mentors and mentees to interact.

The Mentor-Mentee Relationship

As noted above, CBM CARES® mentoring activities require mentors to meet with the mentees for at least eight hours a month in not fewer than two meetings. Mentors who can attend the group mentoring sessions are permitted to count this hour toward the required time commitment. One-on-one meetings take place primarily out of school at a place agreed upon by the mentor and mentee (with advisement of the staff). Examples of meeting places include public parks, restaurants, public libraries, movie theaters, and sports arenas. To protect students, staff ensures that one-on-one meetings are conducted in public settings. To help the mentor, the majority of the meeting hours can take place during structured enrichment activities, as described earlier.

CBM emphasizes that at minimum a twelve-month relationship with a mentee is required and three years desired. Why a minimum of twelve months? Studies show that youths who were in matches that lasted more than twelve months reported significantly higher levels of self-worth, social acceptance, and scholastic competence. Mentees also report that their relationship with parents had improved, school productivity increased, and that they had a better sense of responsibility to be good mentors while eliminating individuals who might harm youths. CBM CARES® has four levels of reference checks: (1) completion of a detailed application process; (2) a thorough personal interview to solicit information on interests, motivations for mentoring, and personality assessment; and probe for criminal activity or undesirable behaviors; (3) review of personal references; (4) FBI records check for criminal activity and child or domestic abuse. All information collected in the above procedures is kept confidential.

Recruitment and Retention of Youths

As noted earlier, CBM CARES® includes Black boys from grades five through eight. School officials, including school social workers and counselors, should help steer young people meeting the required to the program. In addition, counselors are asked to make referrals for youths who may have been in trouble with drug use and violence or are at high risk for school dropout. To recruit students, CBO staff addresses parents during PTA and other parent meetings at the beginning of each year. Staff also promotes the project to students during assemblies and other school-arranged meetings. Parents interested in enrolling their children return a signed consent form, and student and parent profile forms.

Matching of Mentor and Mentee

CBM CARES® uses both the MENTOR PRO program, a database that assists in program management, and subjective staff assessment to match mentors with mentees. In order to match a mentor with a mentee, Mentor Pro requires data on mentor/mentee interests, goals, mentor demographic characteristics, and other factors. CBM CARES® collects this information on the potential mentor’s application and profile forms as well as during personal interviews. An initial match is made using this database. Staff then reviews these preliminary matches and makes a final match based on information collected from both the mentor and mentee. Also considered are observations and recommendations made by the parents and their children resulting from an informal “meet and greet” between mentor and mentee scheduled early in the school year.
Training of Mentors

Mentors who are poorly trained are more likely to drop out of mentoring programs and less likely to develop quality and lasting relationships with their mentees. CBM CARES® provides mentor training prior to being matched with mentees, and in-service training, which is conducted throughout the mentor/mentee relationship.

+ Pre-match training: During this training, program policies and procedures as well as expectations and roles of the mentor, mentee, parent, and CBO are discussed. Each mentor also receives a mentor’s manual, which includes the CBO’s policies on volunteering as well as detailed information on mentoring and volunteering for a CBO, how to develop and sustain a relationship with the mentee, and similar information.

+ In-service training: CBM CARES® also conducts in-service training throughout the program year. The required workshops focus on: urban culture and the hip-hop generation; working with youth from low-income families; youth-development principles; and dealing with “family” in the mentor-mentee relationship. CBM CARES® seeks to provide at least twenty hours per year of mentoring training.

Supervision and Support of the Mentor/Mentee Match

Studies have shown that mentors who are supported in their efforts tend to have a greater impact on their mentees (Abell Foundation 1990; Tierney et al. 2001). The CBO’s match-supervision and support mechanism: (1) ensures that the mentor and mentees are meeting regularly; (2) monitors the quality of the mentor/mentee relationship and assesses whether the relationship is progressing; and (3) addresses potential and actual problems between the mentor and mentee. The first step in supporting mentors consists of providing comprehensive training that adequately prepares them for this important responsibility. Once a month, a CBO staff person should call the mentor and mentee to ask about their meetings during that month. During these calls, any potential problems are explored. When necessary, match support also involves preparing the mentor and mentee to end the match. While the project works diligently to beat the odds, research shows only approximately half of the mentoring relationships established through such programs last beyond a few months (Rhodes 2002). Among at-risk youths, as those included in CBM CARES®, an even higher percentage should be expected to end prematurely (Grossman and Rhodes 2002). Care must be taken to ensure the ending of the match occurs without the young male thinking that he (again) has been abandoned or that the relationship is ending because of something he has (or has not) done.

Summary of Solutions

Partnerships

When creating partnerships, schools and districts should…

1. Create the necessary policies to facilitate collaboration: for example, procedures that define how to use volunteers, select partner organizations, deal with grievances, evaluate and conclude partnerships, and other important considerations. In following this process, schools and school districts ensure that these policies are known to the potential partners and all decision-makers.

2. Cultivate partnership relationships that are easy, enjoyable, and productive. Remember that the potential partner generally is providing resources, skills, and talents at a discounted or nominal cost. Use collaboration processes that facilitate coalition building, such as shared decision making, effective communication, and developing a clearly defined structure.

3. Perform a basic needs assessment prior to developing any partnership. After determining need and gaps, deciding upon the focus of the future partnership is critical.

4. Educate teachers and school officials on the need for the partnership. Management and teachers should recognize partners as critical players in educating children. The partnership cannot be viewed as an “added bonus” or a pet project for which optional participation is countenanced. Rather, the partnership must be valued and viewed as a critical component in meeting school targets and goals.

5. Evaluate potential partners to determine their efficacy, and avoid partnerships that might detract from their priorities and immediate needs by asking the following questions:
   a. How long has the potential partner been operating in the community?
   b. What experience does the organization have in the proposed scope of work?
   c. Has the organization implemented the project in other schools/districts?
   d. What challenges has the organization faced in implementing programs and how have they been overcome?
   e. What results did the organization achieve and over what period of time?
f. Does the organization have policies on child abuse and other crimes against children as well as procedures governing the use of volunteers? Does it or its volunteers have complaints related to sexual abuse or other violent crimes against children?
g. How long does the organization plan to stay in the school/district and does it have the funding to continue program implementation over this period?

6. Ensure that the partner understands that schools/districts are primarily interested in improving grades and academic performance. Therefore, it is important for the partner to articulate how they will contribute. In setting goals and clarifying roles, the following questions are relevant for school officials:
   a. What are the principal goals for the program?
   b. Which type of student will be recruited in the proposed program or initiative?
   c. How will students be recruited?
   d. How do program goals contribute to or impact learning?
   e. How long does the partner have to implement the project before results are realized?
   f. How will achievement be assessed?
   g. Will the program coordinate with teachers to address student weaknesses/strengths and if so when and how often will this coordination take place?

7. Ensure that there is coordination of effort. A school liaison should be assigned as the daily point of contact. The liaison should communicate changes at the school or in the school district that could affect the partnership programs. A partner, on the other hand, must keep the school or district informed as to all program activities, schedules and events.

8. Be mindful of how partners are placed in schools. Certain schools or principals, for example, are more popular than others, and these schools sometimes get a disproportionate share of offers to form partnerships. School officials must caution against such a partnership imbalance.

9. Establish an atmosphere of mutual accountability. In most cases, the school or school district is accountable for providing continuous and timely access to youths, space in the school building to conduct the program, and other supports needed to successfully implement the program, such as a school liaison. The CBO generally is accountable for proposed program activities, and specifically, conducting those activities in a manner consistent with the mutual understanding agreed upon by the parties.

10. Support for the partnership’s initiative should be vertical throughout the school/district and executive ranks. Support may be evidenced by the following:
   a. A memorandum of understanding that outlines the school/school district and partner’s roles and responsibilities
   b. Education sessions (prior to the commencement of the partnership) for all school staff about the partnership, its purpose, policies, and procedures and the staff’s role in ensuring its success
   c. Assigning a school liaison officer who is responsible for coordination and communication with the partner
   d. Periodic meetings (e.g., on a quarterly basis) with key school staff to discuss project activities, successes and challenges
   e. Beginning-of-the-year and year-end planning meetings between key partner officials to discuss changes needed to make the project more effective in the following school year
   f. School-wide dissemination of program results and evaluation

11. Share the financial costs of the partnership. Schools can provide space to conduct the program and pay for certain items such as snacks, offer human resources by volunteering teachers and other staff, and direct financial support. The partner may pay for costs directly related to their program activities.

12. Evaluate the success of the partnership and its programs. Evaluating the partnership could occur by using an external or internal evaluator. The evaluation should include both formative and summative components and both the school/school district and the partner should determine how the project will be evaluated.

 Mentoring
When creating mentoring programs, schools and districts should...

13. Review and become knowledgeable about the different types of mentoring programs: one-on-one and group mentoring, and school-based versus community mentoring.
14. Become knowledgeable about and implement the best practices for screening of prospective mentors, and matching of mentors and youth, on the basis of one or more relevant criteria. Target problem and high-risk behaviors exhibited by young males who lack responsible male adults in their lives, such as: juvenile delinquency and general violence; drug use and abuse; poor school attachment (high truancy and suspension rates, and low attendance and graduation rates).

15. Understand that the role of the mentor is not that of instructor, tutor, or disciplinarian. Mentors must develop trusting relationships with mentees, such that mentees will feel comfortable confiding in their mentors about challenges in school and at home. Collaborate with mentors in conducting parent and youth workshops to address a variety of issues, including relationship building; monitoring children; establishing a stable home environment; building effective parent-child communication; avoiding violence, drugs, gang involvement, and decreasing school dropout; and other topics as necessary. These workshops are especially helpful for single mothers who face significant challenges raising sons alone.

16. Ensure that the mentor provides enrichment activities to expose youths to higher career and educational goals and encourage bonding with responsible male figures in their lives. Such activities can: (1) expose youth to career and educational goals; (2) provide opportunities for bonding with parents and guardians; (3) instill a love for reading and educational pursuits included as a part of “fun” events; and (4) provide additional opportunities for the mentor and mentee to interact.

17. Require a mentoring relationship of at least twelve months. The best programs seek to establish mentoring relationships that last as long as three years or more.

18. Make an attempt to connect young Black males to responsible adult Black men.

19. Establish clear screening procedures to eliminate individuals who might harm youths and identify those who are best suited to serve as mentors. Four levels of reference checks might include: (1) completion of a detailed application process; (2) a thorough personal interview to solicit information on interests, motivations for mentoring, and personality assessment, and probe for criminal activity and undesirable behavior; (3) review of personal references; and (4) an FBI records check for criminal activity and child or domestic abuse. All information collected in the above procedures is kept confidential.

20. Enlist the support of school officials, including school social workers and counselors, to help identify young males for the program. An overview of the program may be shared with parents during PTA and other parent meetings at the beginning of each year. Staff may also talk with students during assemblies and other school-arranged meetings. Parents interested in enrolling their children return a signed consent form, and student and parent profile forms.

21. Carefully match the mentor with a mentee by examining mentor/mentee interests, goals, mentor demographic characteristics, and other factors. Also consider observations and recommendations made by the parents and their children resulting from an informal “meet and greet” between mentor and mentee scheduled early in the school year.

22. Ensure that mentors receive professional development throughout the mentor/mentee relationship. Activities should include a review of program policies and procedures as well as expectations and roles of the mentor, mentee, and parent. Each mentor should receive a mentor’s manual, which includes policies on volunteering as well as detailed information on mentoring and volunteering, and how to develop and sustain a relationship with the mentee, and other important issues. At least twenty hours per year of mentoring training is recommended.

23. Ensure that the mentor, if appropriate, keeps in touch with his organization, at a minimum once a month. The mentor reports progress and any potential problems are explored.

24. Ensure that there is a procedure for ending the mentor/mentee relationship that does not cause the young male to believe that he (again) has been abandoned or that the relationship is ending because of something he has done.
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Introduction

The current educational dilemma of boys of color has significant implications for our nation and the world. The strength of our nation is dependent on our ability to educate all students. Failure to successfully
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Boys of color across all socioeconomic backgrounds demonstrate gaps in learning as compared to white male children. Often, socioeconomic factors are raised as significant barriers to education for boys of color. Students whose families live in poverty or reside in low-income communities do face additional barriers and pressing needs that impact their ability to focus on education. Many students go hungry, have unattended medical issues, have unstable housing, or face trauma in their homes or the communities in which they live. In addition, schools operating in low-income communities lack sufficient resources to provide educational environments that are not only comparable to schools in more affluent neighborhoods, but that can address and mitigate the barriers to education their students face. These barriers, however, must not provide an excuse for giving up on boys of color. We cannot simply throw our hands in the air and declare the task of educating these boys as too daunting.

It is imperative that America recognize that the problem is not the students themselves. There are countless stories of boys of color who were failing miserably in one teaching environment, only to thrive after being placed in another. Those students didn’t suddenly change—rather, the new educational setting was able to provide a more developmentally responsive learning environment. The solution to the sweeping problem of academic struggle for boys of color does not rest with the students themselves, but with policymakers and schools. Rather than looking at the students for the solution, we must sweep the problem of academic struggle for boys of color does not rest with the students themselves, but with policymakers and schools. Rather than looking at the students for the solution, we must look critically at our federal, state, and local education policies, as well as the way in which educational institutions and school leadership function. As such, we work with schools in successfully educating boys of color. COSEBOC is committed to high standards, exemplary instruction, and the building of coalitions within and outside the community. As such, we work with schools to improve their performance in these critical areas so that they are able to teach boys of color more effectively. In partnership with the Metropolitan Center for Urban Education at New York University, COSEBOC has developed the Standards and Promising Practices for Schools Educating Boys of Color Self-Assessment Tool as a mechanism and set of guidelines to assist school districts and educational leaders that seek to develop and enhance schools and programs serving boys of color. The document is based on the assumption that under the right learning conditions, all students can be successful. While the measures and indicators in the COSEBOC Standards can lift the achievement of all students, they were designed specifically to address the needs of boys of color and to bring a level of intentionality to the work of improving outcomes for these students.

The goal of this self-assessment tool is to assist schools in their pursuit of ongoing school improvement. The tool merges the research on effective schools with promising practices for working with boys of color. The instrument is organized into seven core areas; each contains a list of quality indicators and promising practices for ensuring improved outcomes for boys of color.

Seven Core Areas for Improving Education for Boys of Color

Core Area 1: Assessment

Determine the rate by which or amount of what a student has learned, what his/her needs may be, and how to best meet those needs.

Consistently, research on the opportunity gap identifies behavioral and cognitive assessments and their implementation as minimizing educational access for boys of color (Entwisle, Alexander, and Olson 1997). More specifically, the research on disproportionality in special education and suspension (Ahram, Ferbus, and Noguera 2010; Ahram and Fergus 2011; Artilles, Rilingrner, and Tate 2006) highlights how assessments are used as criteria for entry into special education, talented and gifted, Advanced Placement, and honors programs. Based on this understanding, the COSEBOC tool’s focus is on mitigating the negative effects of assessment upon the educational trajectories of boys of color.

In the COSEBOC tool, “assessment” refers to a process of determining the rate by which or amount of what a student has learned, what his needs may be, and how to best meet his needs. Similarly, “evaluation” refers to the process of determining a condition, significance, or worth of an element of the teaching/learning process (i.e., how a student has learned something, how a teacher may improve his/her lesson plan, etc.). Assessment, in other words, is something that can be calculated or measured through a system, often consisting of numbered scores, whereas evaluation is based on study or observation, typically conducted over a period of time. Research highlights five core categories of assessment as impacting learning opportunities for boys of color. In addressing these categories, the COSEBOC Standards seek to improve access for boys of color, as presented below.

Promising Practices for Schools Educating Boys of Color

The Coalition of Schools Educating Boys of Color (COSEBOC) was created to support school leaders in successfully educating boys of color. COSEBOC is committed to high standards, exemplary instruction, and the building of coalitions within and outside the community. As such, we work with schools...
Standardized assessment preparation. State assessments operate as critical accountability levers for schools and, as a result, urban school districts and low-performing schools spend a large portion of the school year preparing students for them. Based on this knowledge and research, the COSEBOC Standards contain indicators on alignment of existing standards to available instructional strategies, continuous data examination of universal and diagnostic assessments, and core instructional strategies centered on skill development and acceleration rather than simply remediation.

“Alternate” or “authentic” assessment. The COSEBOC Standards tool indicators involve students creating a response to a question or task, rather than choosing a response from a set of possible answers. Alternative assessments can include short answer questions, essays, performances, oral presentations, demonstrations, exhibits, and portfolios.

Special education assessment. The process of special education assessment is outlined by IDEA (reauthorization of Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 2004). Given the history of disproportionate representation of boys of color in special education despite IDEA provisions, the COSEBOC indicators focus on improving the assessment process in order to minimize bias. The indicators include examination of collaboration with instructional support and problem-solving teams, the types of evaluation tools used, engagement with parents, and adherence to the Individualized Education Plan (IEP).

Talented/gifted and honors/Advanced Placement program assessment. The process of selecting students for rigorous academic preparation must involve criteria that consider multiple facets of the abilities of boys of color. The indicators include identifying criteria such as sample work, prior course grades, teacher and parent recommendations, standardized test scores and diagnostic assessment data, and examination of disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status.

Self-assessment. Students, teachers, and administrators each need opportunities for reflection and self-assessment of their abilities. The COSEBOC Standards tool offers indicators to assess students’ own academic and social progress. For teachers, it calls for opportunities to assess students’ own pedagogical practices. In like manner, administrators should take opportunities to assess their own leadership practices.

Core Area 2: Parent/Family/Community Partnerships
Create a safety net for students through substantive engagement of parents and family in the educational process, and cultivation of formal partnerships with organizations in the larger community to effectively address student needs.

Implementing and sustaining systemic educational change is a slow and challenging process, and engaging in that process in conjunction with community partners, parents, and community members represents a fundamental shift in the way school districts and schools have traditionally done business. This kind of change requires profound shifts in both community expectations and participation as well as school and district operations. It challenges district, school board, and teacher leadership to overcome resistance and systemic barriers and create alternatives to current administrative and institutional arrangements. In January 2002, Congress expanded parental involvement statutory provisions in Title I of the No Child Left Behind Act. The provisions provided the tools by which student achievement can be enhanced through parental involvement in schools. The use of learning compacts or pledges is required in all public schools receiving Title I funds, affecting over half the nation’s public school children.

In the COSEBOC Standards tool, the Parent/Family/Community Partnership section is organized based on the premise that the development of a close social safety net surrounding boys of color is vitally important. Research demonstrates that students do better in school when their families get involved, but unless schools send clear messages of respect and inclusion, families who do not fit the mold may never trust educators enough to speak up or show up (Catsambis 1998; Epstein 1995; Henderson and Mapp 2002; Simon 2000). To engage these key stakeholders, districts need to establish mechanisms for informing and engaging the parents or caregivers of boys of color in assessment, planning, decision making, and implementation processes. For schools, which have seen far too many reform efforts come and go, having external partners who can support them in the process is one way to ensure both sustainability and accountability. Indicators included in the tool borrow from the substantive research of educator Joyce L. Epstein and others.

Teacher-family or school-family communication. Making information available to parents and caregivers is essential to creating connections between home, community, and school. Sample indicators of communication strategies involve providing consistent and accessible information, opportunities to communicate with parents and caregivers of boys of color that focus on the academic and behavioral progress of the child, information about school processes, and ensuring that all communication is provided in the primary languages of the community.

Community involvement. Collaboration with community organizations is vital for school districts serving vulnerable populations, because the needs demonstrated...
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by population go beyond the capacity of the school district. A number of organizations are engaged in collaborative community planning to support needs; examples include Beacon Program schools, Children’s Aid Society Community Schools, and Communities In Schools.

**Parent involvement.** Parents have a high sense of efficacy when they believe that they can: (1) help their children do well in school, and be happy and safe; (2) overcome negative influences and keep their children away from illegal drugs or alcohol, and (3) have a positive impact such as improving quality of the school and making the neighborhood a better place. The COSEBOC Standards indicators focus on building parents’ sense of efficacy through targeted workshops that meet their needs as individuals and parents, as well as opportunities for parents to see their boys of color excel in an academic, athletic, and/or performance experience.

**Core Area 3: Curriculum and Instruction**

Ensure that curricula and expectations in schools educating boys of color are aligned to requirements, and sufficiently rigorous to assure preparation for post-secondary opportunities; ensure that instruction demonstrates both cultural and gender sensitivity and relevance.

Aligning curricula and expectations from grade to grade, as well as aligning pre-K–12 requirements with those for college entrance, ensures that students are (1) well prepared each successive year of school; (2) aware of the expectations at each successive year of school; and (3) prepared for full participation in post-secondary education. COSEBOC recognizes that the purpose of pre-K–12 is to prepare boys of color for some type of postsecondary education, to assist in reducing their risk and to mitigate the effect of structural bias. Thus, the curriculum and instruction core area looks at the central elements involved in the delivery of curriculum and the quality indicators of “good” instruction to prepare boys of color as central to the active knowledge in the classroom. The indicators address issues of child and male development (social, emotional, and physical) at various life stages, including “coming of age” during adolescence, school environmental cues that promote various types of masculinity representations, and instruction that incorporates discussions of sexuality and critiques of gender roles.

**Core Area 4: School Environment and Climate**

Create a social atmosphere or learning environment in which students have positive and developmentally protective school interactions and experiences with both staff and peers, based upon protocols set up by teachers and administrators.

The importance of climate as it relates to the academic success of vulnerable populations is documented in various bodies of research. Key among these school climate factors are relationships with adults and peers in which students feel they are part of the school and have a strong sense of belonging (Gottfredson et al. 2005; Gregory and Schoeny 2007; Way and Rhodes 2007), where they are treated fairly and respectfully by staff and administration (Bryk and Thum 1989; Gottfredson et al. 2005), and where there is a strong sense of cohesion and camaraderie among peers. The physical, cognitive, social, and emotional environment of the school binds vulnerable populations to the school in the belief that they can achieve success. In the COSEBOC Standards tool we approach school climate as the cognitive, social, emotional, and physical atmosphere of a setting or learning environment in which the protocols established by the teachers and administrators enable boys of color to have a better school experience. The following provide sample indicators of school climate:

**Physical environment.** This involves considering the environmental or physical cues that can demystify for boys of color ways in which to be successful. The indicators include classroom displays of cultural diversity of populations present around the world, consistent displays of student work including rubrics on how to achieve success with each assignment, and inclusive policies and practices that consider emotional/cultural factors and the physical safety of students.

**Student leadership and voice.** This involves considering the extent to which boys of color feel they are provided opportunities to be heard and voice concerns and feedback to adults. While teachers and administrators may feel they offer ample opportunities to everyone, the school environment can provide unspoken social cues to boys of color that cause them to feel limited. The indicators include development of student-led groups that provide feedback to the school, providing opportunities for positive child development (e.g., field trips), and antidiscrimination policies and practices that promote student self-monitoring and support for diversity.
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Core Area 5: School Leadership

Enlist and guide the talents and energies of teachers, pupils, and parents toward achieving common educational aims.

Both district and school leadership provide critical bridges between most educational reform initiatives, and those reforms make a genuine difference for all students. A number of strategies have been identified to develop teachers’ and administrators’ leadership and professional abilities. Efforts to improve the recruitment, training, evaluation, and ongoing development of high school teachers and leaders are considered highly cost-effective approaches to successful school improvement (Leithwood et al. 2004). In order to prepare teachers and school leaders to teach and lead to academic excellence and to build professional development at all levels.

Inclusive policies and practices. This involves considering the degree to which the policies and practices governing the social, emotional, and physical environment encourage reflection/self-assessment as to whether there is sufficient inclusivity. The indicators of inclusive policies and practices include evaluation of teachers and administrators by various constituency groups (other teachers, students, colleagues, self, supervisor, etc.) with respect to inclusive practices that promote achievement and prohibit retention of lower-achieving groups without having first provided academic supports, prohibit harassment and discriminatory behaviors of any kind, address the needs and safety of adults as well as students, and promote practices and curricula that build a sense of community, a greater understanding of individual student needs and goals, and respect for and among all students.

Inclusive policies and practices. This involves considering the degree to which the policies and practices governing the social, emotional, and physical environment encourage reflection/self-assessment as to whether there is sufficient inclusivity. The indicators of inclusive policies and practices include evaluation of teachers and administrators by various constituency groups (other teachers, students, colleagues, self, supervisor, etc.) with respect to inclusive practices that promote achievement and prohibit retention of lower-achieving groups without having first provided academic supports, prohibit harassment and discriminatory behaviors of any kind, address the needs and safety of adults as well as students, and promote practices and curricula that build a sense of community, a greater understanding of individual student needs and goals, and respect for and among all students.

Inclusive policies and practices. This involves considering the degree to which the policies and practices governing the social, emotional, and physical environment encourage reflection/self-assessment as to whether there is sufficient inclusivity. The indicators of inclusive policies and practices include evaluation of teachers and administrators by various constituency groups (other teachers, students, colleagues, self, supervisor, etc.) with respect to inclusive practices that promote achievement and prohibit retention of lower-achieving groups without having first provided academic supports, prohibit harassment and discriminatory behaviors of any kind, address the needs and safety of adults as well as students, and promote practices and curricula that build a sense of community, a greater understanding of individual student needs and goals, and respect for and among all students.

Inclusive policies and practices. This involves considering the degree to which the policies and practices governing the social, emotional, and physical environment encourage reflection/self-assessment as to whether there is sufficient inclusivity. The indicators of inclusive policies and practices include evaluation of teachers and administrators by various constituency groups (other teachers, students, colleagues, self, supervisor, etc.) with respect to inclusive practices that promote achievement and prohibit retention of lower-achieving groups without having first provided academic supports, prohibit harassment and discriminatory behaviors of any kind, address the needs and safety of adults as well as students, and promote practices and curricula that build a sense of community, a greater understanding of individual student needs and goals, and respect for and among all students.

Instructional leadership. The emphasis in this area is on strengthening the instructional and classroom management capacity of teachers to increase achievement of boys of color. Indicators include placing educational concerns of students over the management concerns of the school, strengthening teaching practices through professional development that impacts directly on classroom practice, and integrating more progressive approaches to classroom management and discipline.

Community leadership. Strengthening the school community as a whole is an important task for school leadership. It is important that each partner is confident in their role and their importance in achieving the school vision. Indicators include school leaders building the capabilities and confidence of those they lead, establishing coherent communities within schools and a sense of a responsible community beyond the school, and having the confidence to deal effectively with conflict.

Visionary leadership. This involves more long-range thinking about the goals of educating boys of color, and the strategies needed to make sustainable changes to benefit them. Indicators include taking a broad view of change that is focused on the larger picture beyond day-to-day operations, taking advantage of external opportunities to generate change and to encourage staff to innovate, and placing emphasis upon forms of leadership that are people-oriented, transformational, and empowering.

School leaders’ self-awareness. School leaders ought to be intentional about exploring and affirming their knowledge and awareness about culture and race, and the vital role it plays in both educating and advocating for boys of color. Indicators include being conscious of their own cultural heritages and the values associated with those heritages, accepting and respecting cultural difference, possessing specific knowledge about the racial/ethnic groups that are represented in the school community, and being aware of the institutional barriers that hinder the educational mobility of students of color.

Core Area 6: School Counseling and Guidance

Engage school counselors at the elementary, middle, and high school levels to provide academic, career, college readiness, and personal/social competency support to all students through advocacy, leadership, systemic change, and teaming and collaborating with other stakeholders as part of a comprehensive developmental school counseling program.

As stated earlier, the school environment must take into account the social and emotional factors affecting male racial/ethnic minorities. Counselors, both guidance and social workers, can play a key role...
in the context of school. The range of issues that surround boys of color span the social and emotional terrain, and counselors can play a critical role in helping youth gain coping strategies. The COSEBOC Standards outline some of the key strategies of supporting the emotional development of boys of color.

+ **Academic counseling.** This involves rethinking the way in which school counseling services are typically administered, and empowering other staff in the building to see themselves as participants in the counseling process for boys of color. Some of the indicators in this area include utilizing counselor-teacher-parent-administrator teams to strategically plan challenging cases, fostering an awareness of the effect of ecological conditions surrounding boys of color, and providing the support and resources necessary to help all students meet challenging standards. Of great importance also is maintaining a student-counselor ratio that allows for students to meet with their school counselors one or more times per term.

+ **Social and health services coordinated with larger community.** Partnerships to provide greater access to needed services for boys of color and their families support learning and development, and ease the stress of families. Indicators in this area include greater coordination between schools and service agencies, revision of policies to facilitate students’ access to the services they need, and regular communication about available services to the school community through presentations and one-on-one meetings.

+ **School counselors’ self-awareness.** Like school leaders, school counselors must bring to their roles cultural and racial awareness, acknowledging the role such an awareness plays in meeting the needs of and advocating for boys of color. The indicators here include counselors being conscious of their own cultural heritages and values, and accepting and respecting the range of ways that students display social and cultural identities; demonstrating a willingness and ability to collaborate with other counseling personnel employed by schools and community organizations; being able to send and receive culturally sensitive verbal and nonverbal messages accurately and appropriately; and serving as interpersonal and systemic (school system) advocates, depending on the situation.

**Core Area 7: School Organization**

*Develop a strong structural arrangement for the educational institution that includes (but is not limited to) course schedule, leadership structure, staffing arrangements, etc., to ensure a better educational experience.*

Improving and reforming schools to meet the needs of boys of color requires profound changes at the community, school, and district levels, changes that can be facilitated or complicated by state and federal policies and structures. These types of improvements cannot happen without support and understanding at the state and federal level, particularly given the nature of many of the issues that schools need to address. School reform issues such as accountability systems and waivers, alignment of state standards with assessment programs, and the articulation of common-core standards-based instruction place pressures on schools that must be considered. Thus, school organization becomes a paramount focus of examination, because having accountability systems and standards does not automatically create a highly effective school. Clear visions for organization, leadership, and development are binding tools for making such educational elements operate effectively and take hold. The COSEBOC Standards take into account the following with respect to school organization:

+ **Core mission statement.** The creation and implementation of a core mission statement for schools that conveys a belief that all students, including boys of color, are valuable and can soar to their highest potential is of tremendous value. Key indicators include setting high academic and social/emotional expectations and developmental goals for every student, providing educational experiences that prepare students to be lifelong learners and participants in a global society, and providing all students with opportunities to demonstrate participatory citizenship and leadership.

+ **Alignment between high school and college preparedness.** Schools should be intentional about coursework offerings to ensure that boys of color graduate from high school prepared for collegiate courses. Some of the indicators in this area include increasing the availability of honors and Advanced Placement classes, providing options for dual (high school and college) enrollment, and enabling transition from high school to career/technology programs through joint articulation agreements.

+ **Small learning communities.** This involves rethinking the middle and high school experience, incorporating a small learning community paradigm that has been shown to provide greater educational support for boys of color. Indicators in this area include fostering high academic achievement through a variety of interventions such as academic teaming, counseling, tutoring, and extended day and week learning opportunities. In addition, there is an emphasis on increasing efforts to improve communication, coordination, and trust among the adults in the various settings where boys of color spend their time.
Engaging in the Self-Assessment Process

The self-assessment tool is designed to assist school leaders in taking manageable steps toward determining their current ability to educate boys of color effectively, and to set tangible goals for the future. The process of examining school practices is time consuming and complicated. The tool is not to be used simply as a checklist to highlight school strengths and deficiencies; nor is its use meant to burden school leaders such that their daily school responsibilities are shortchanged. To assist in this process, COSEBOC outlines the following steps:

1. **Define the process of examination.** The tool can be used by a school team made up of administrators, teachers, students, parents, and support staff, or it could involve an outside evaluator. Using a school team or an outside evaluator will still involve defining what period of time will be spent on examining the practices, planning for improvements, implementing improvements, and evaluating improvements. If a team approach is used, multiple formats for self-assessment would work, including professional-development days, committee meetings, grade- or content-level meetings, and parent meetings.

2. **Identify a good facilitator for the examination process.** The facilitator must be able to engage all participants, actively listen and connect ideas from multiple constituents, avoid personalizing feedback, remain nonjudgmental, and work toward achieving consensus. This process will result in a ranking of 1–4 for each quality indicator in each of the core areas being examined. To be effective, schools need to work towards achieving, at minimum, a “satisfactory” level in all of the quality indicators within each of the seven areas.

3. **Develop a timeline for school improvement, prioritizing the quality indicators that the tool deems most critical to schools working with boys of color.** In devising an improvement plan, begin to establish benchmarks and develop an action plan to reach those benchmarks.

4. **Assess the action plan periodically during the school year to ensure that you remain on course, and make any adjustments necessary during the implementation process.**

Summary of Solutions

The issue of academic achievement for boys of color, though laden with challenges, is not without solutions. By placing emphasis on the educational systems and policies, not on the boys themselves, we shift the conversation from deficiencies in the students to opportunities within the system. Both education policymakers and school leaders must play a role in reframing how boys of color are perceived and served in the education system. Even in the face of significant external barriers, school leaders have the opportunity to shape educational opportunities in a manner that overcomes barriers and meets the educational and social needs of the boys of color in their care. These changes will not come without effort and planning on the part of school leaders. But by engaging in an intentional process to identify and understand issues, with the aim of strategically implementing changes and monitoring progress, schools can provide a higher quality education to boys of color and improve academic outcomes.

To be successful in this work, COSEBOC has learned through research and its development of the Standards and Promising Practices Self-Assessment Tool that there are several key solutions that are important for school leaders:

1. **Create and implement a core mission statement that expresses a belief that all students, including boys of color, are valuable and can soar to their highest potential.** Ensure that this mission statement is reinforced daily in your leadership approach, and that both staff and students embrace and affirm it. This mission statement becomes the driving force for all other areas of improvement that will come.

2. **Cultivate strong school staff who work toward the common vision of achievement for boys of color.** Develop and articulate a goal of high achievement for boys of color, and express to all school leaders the specific role they play in meeting that goal. Invest in professional development opportunities that will directly benefit boys of color. For example, strengthen the ability of teachers and staff to manage their classrooms effectively, as this has a positive impact on school discipline. In addition, guide all school personnel through a process where they become more self-aware and knowledgeable about issues of race, gender, and unconscious bias, and can use that knowledge to strengthen their role as educators and advocate for boys of color.

3. **Create a school environment that is safe and supportive for boys of color.** The social atmosphere and learning environment should be one where boys of color have positive school interactions and experiences with both staff and peers. The physical environment should be in good condition, and the décor should reflect cultural diversity. Boys of color should have opportunities to participate in school leadership activities. School discipline should also be handled in a manner that is consistent with sound youth-development theory.
4. Be certain that the curriculum and instruction provided is sufficiently rigorous, and has both cultural and gender relevance for boys of color. Ensure that boys of color have access to course work and curriculum that assures they are prepared for post-secondary opportunities. Ensure that instruction demonstrates both cultural and gender sensitivity and relevance, such that boys of color can see themselves represented well in the material taught.

5. Organize the school in the manner that is most conducive to academic success, considering the needs of all students including boys of color. Develop a structural arrangement for the school that ensures an optimal educational experience. This may require evaluating areas such as the physical layout and location of classrooms, course schedules and offerings, the leadership structure, staffing arrangements, and class configurations.

6. Ensure appropriate and culturally sensitive academic assessment of boys of color. Identify what a student has learned, and what his academic needs may be, by observing the quality of classroom experiences, observing levels of participation in academic clubs or school activities, and analyzing data on participation of boys of color in special education, low-level courses, gifted education, and honors or higher-level courses. Develop methods to meet the academic needs of boys of color and ensure their participation in higher-level coursework.

7. Strengthen school counseling and guidance services provided to boys of color. Ensure that school counselors provide a comprehensive set of services to boys of color, including academic, career/college readiness, and personal/social competency support. Engage teachers, parents, and the students themselves in the academic goal-setting process. Partner with other organizations to provide access to supportive services for students and their families in a respectful and confidential manner.

8. Engage in meaningful partnerships with parents and caregivers to increase their participation in the educational process. Establish methods of communicating with parents that work well for them, rather than at the school’s convenience. For example, consider whether parents and caregivers have reliable access to the Internet before determining that communication via computer is the best method. Initiate communication with parents before problems arise, and encourage them to raise any questions or concerns. Help parents feel like valued participants in their children’s education. In addition, have parents participate in decision-making for the school and implementation of new ideas.

9. Develop partnerships with trusted community organizations to work in collaboration with the school to effectively address the needs of boys of color. Increase the school’s capacity to meet the academic and social needs of students by working with community organizations that provide youth development services. Work together to build cohesion, structure supportive activities, and identify sources of funding.
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A Way Forward: Ready for College, Work, and Life

America’s youth often experience pressures to succeed and perform, without the recognition and nurturing of the strengths and capacities they possess. For many African American male students, in particular, absent this kind of investment, adolescence is a turbulent time that can easily overwhelm them as they navigate the passage to adulthood. Predictably, youth can feel unsupported and disconnected from both adults and peers as they disengage from and disinvest in their learning and success.

For more than half a century, the National Urban League’s (NUL) Education & Youth Development Division has worked to improve educational opportunities for African American students by developing innovative programs to support their academic achievement, encourage their civic involvement, and contribute to their intellectual, physical, and emotional development.

Our signature national education program, Project Ready, is designed to help the nation reach our Education Empowerment Goal: that every American child is ready for college, work, and life by 2025. Project Ready develops an individual student’s knowledge and attitude toward, and capacity for, post-secondary success via strong local partnerships and an emphasis on positive youth development and out-of-school time (OST). Our model brings together research and promising practices in youth development, adolescent literacy, OST learning, and readiness, within the tradition and legacy of the Urban League Movement.

The NUL has more than one hundred years of experience providing education and workforce-development services through our network of ninety-eight affiliates in thirty-six states and the District of Columbia. Throughout its history the NUL has been committed to the belief that educational opportunity is the most significant means and lever for communities of color and underserved communities to empower themselves and their families. Most critically, we believe that the focus should remain squarely on the readiness of youth and young adults to succeed after high school. For the NUL, readiness is best defined as the ability to enter the first year of higher education or a career without the need for remediation. Delivering outcomes such as these for African American males and other vulnerable students will require additional investments in human, fiscal, capital, and content resources, as well as a commitment to provide each student with the opportunity and access necessary to achieve at the highest levels.
The Opportunity Before Us

Current research suggests that expanded-opportunity programs and supports can have positive impacts on achievement in both reading and math for participants, even absent a sole focus on academic achievement to attain those results (see, e.g., Durlak and Weissberg 2007). Furthermore, it is possible the breadth of opportunity and supports that provides maximum benefits for young people in raising achievement levels, rather than a singular approach focused on one aspect of development (academic) to the detriment of others. As experts such as Karen Pittman of the Forum for Youth Investment rightly suggest, “problem-free” does not mean “fully prepared” or “fully engaged” youth (Pittman 1992). In order to grow into healthy, responsible adults, youth require a range of developmentally appropriate supports, services, and opportunities, only some of which are provided in schools.

In different ways and to varying degrees, progress has been made in diminishing the educational and opportunity gaps our students face. Educators have been engaged in multiple activities that have been shown to work, and in some cases work well. However incomplete our understanding and perspective about these approaches, it is becoming increasingly clear that given the right interventions and investments, there are things we can do, there are questions we can answer, and there are young people who can benefit.

However, more than fifty years after Brown v. Board of Education, nearly half of our nation’s African American students, and nearly 40 percent of Latino students, attend high schools in which graduation is not the norm. More than one million youth annually leave the school system prior to—or during—high school; every nine seconds, a child drops out of school (American Youth Policy Forum 2006). The failure to graduate has long-lasting individual, community, and national consequences. Dropouts from the class of 2008 alone will cost the United States almost $319 billion in lost wages over the students’ lifetimes.

By almost any measure, including high school graduation, employment, and involvement in the juvenile justice system, African American and Latino youth are failing to thrive and are at substantially elevated risk for social and economic failure compared to their white counterparts. According to the National Center for Education Statistics, 75 percent of all American high school students graduated on time, while only 55 percent of African Americans and 54 percent of Hispanics did the same (Stillwell and Hoffman 2009). Not surprisingly, low levels of educational attainment are also reflected in unemployment. Currently, the national unemployment rate is 8.3 percent, but for African Americans ages sixteen to nineteen, the unemployment rate is 39 percent, not including “discouraged workers” who have abandoned looking for work. The long-term impact on work and earnings due to dropping out is also grim.

Before the current economic turmoil began, the unemployment rate for high school dropouts was 26.9 percent versus just 9.8 percent among those who graduated from high school and were enrolled in college. High school dropouts are more likely than high school graduates to be in poor health, live in poverty, be on public assistance, and be single parents. High school dropouts earn $9,200 per year less than high school graduates, and more than $1 million less over a lifetime than college graduates. Dropping out is strongly correlated to involvement in the criminal justice system, with dropouts incarcerated at more than eight times the rate of high school graduates. A recent College Board literature review finds that generally men of color outpace their female counterparts in a number of negative post-secondary outcomes including unemployment, incarceration, and death (Lee and Ransom 2011). Research shows that 10 percent of African American males, 3 percent of Asian American males, 5 percent of Hispanic males, and 3 percent of Native American males are currently incarcerated.

Most distressingly, unemployment is the most likely destination for those African American and Hispanic males who do not end up either dead or incarcerated. Data shows that more than 51 percent of Hispanic males, 45 percent of African American males, 42 percent of Native American males, and 33 percent of Asian American males ages fifteen to twenty-four will end up unemployed, incarcerated, or dead. It has become an epidemic, and one that we must solve by resolving the educational crisis facing young men of color (Lee and Ransom 2011).

According to a September 2009 report from the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) of the US Department of Education, more than one out of four public high school students in the class of 2005–6 did not graduate “on time” (in four years). Another IES report, released in June 2010, similarly sets the figure for students who did not graduate on time at 25 percent for the class of 2008 (Stillwell 2010). Unless this pattern is altered, one million students that entered the ninth grade in the fall of 2010 in public high schools in the United States will fail to graduate high school in the spring of 2014.

Even for those who persist to high school graduation and go on to college, there are distressing signs that approaches that worked for them may not be available at sufficient scale or distributed equitably. Participants in the National Black Male Achievement study (Harper 2012), specifically designed to track early schooling experiences, cited at least one influential teacher who helped solidify their interest in going to college. Several participants shared that in their cases a few educators went beyond typical teaching duties to ensure these young men had the information, resources, and support necessary to succeed in school. Noteworthy, however, is that some Black male students felt that these same teachers failed to support other African American male students in comparable ways. Many participants perceived teachers (especially White women) as incapable of engaging meaningfully with more than one or a few Black male students at a time—only these teachers’ favorites received such attention. Most considered themselves among the lucky few to have had teachers who, for some reason, thought they were worth the investment (Lee and Ransom 2011, p. 69).
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Even for those who persist to high school graduation and go on to college, there are distressing signs that approaches that worked for them may not be available at sufficient scale or distributed equitably. Participants in the National Black Male Achievement study (Harper 2012), specifically designed to track early schooling experiences, cited at least one influential teacher who helped solidify their interest in going to college. Several participants shared that in their cases a few educators went beyond typical teaching duties to ensure these young men had the information, resources, and support necessary to succeed in school. Noteworthy, however, is that some Black male students felt that these same teachers failed to support other African American male students in comparable ways. Many participants perceived teachers (especially White women) as incapable of engaging meaningfully with more than one or a few Black male students at a time—only these teachers’ favorites received such attention. Most considered themselves among the lucky few to have had teachers who, for some reason, thought they were worth the investment (Lee and Ransom 2011, p. 69).
Over the past thirty-plus years, African American men have steadily represented only 5 percent of all undergraduates in the United States, with little positive change in trajectory or progress. Similarly, African American males represent 3 percent of all graduate students in higher education; this is also unchanged from 1976. All progress made in the number and percentage of African Americans attending college has been made by African American women. In addition to a skills gap among urban young people, "Black women are still enrolling and graduating from college at nearly double the rate of Black men; a disparity that does not exist among any other minority group" (US Department of Education 2002). This gender gap in college enrollment and completion will greatly impact our communities in the decades to come.

Put simply, African American male student success—the success of any student—should not be a matter of chance and the extraordinary efforts of solitary teachers, no matter how well intentioned. What is required are systemic approaches and investments that have demonstrable benefits for all African American male students. Fortunately, a growing body of evidence and emerging set of promising practices suggest that it is possible for youth, even those from historically underserved and underserved schools, to find the path toward education and socioeconomic development and success via just such equitable, systemic approaches.

An Alternative Narrative of Opportunity, Possibility, and Success

Fundamentally, the Urban League Movement believes in the importance of education as an empowering force in the lives of individuals and communities. However, we believe that too many people confuse what an education (teaching and learning wherever it takes place) can do with what schools (teaching and learning in a school building during school hours) can do. Schools in and of themselves were not designed to, are not adequately funded to, and cannot accomplish all of the educational outcomes necessary for student success.

In the Urban League’s framing, an education system implies connections not just between the larger community and schools, but between the various members of the community (including schools) and other members. Rather than a wheel, with schools as the hub, it is best described as a web, with connections and relationships that relate to the center without all contacts running directly through the center. More than a semantic shift, the creation of a system that is inclusive of schools but moves beyond in-school learning ultimately means forging new relationships, building a multiplicity of connections, and developing new capacities to collaborate, in order to expand educational and developmental opportunities for all students.

One component of such an education system has been identified as high-quality out-of-school time (OST) programming, provided by a professional team of OST educators. Providing youth with additional productive exposure to an array of learning and developmental opportunities, knowledgeable adults outside their families, and motivated peers can result in very different levels of student development and achievement (Alexander, Entwisle, and Olson 2001). In fact, research demonstrates that a substantial percentage of achievement and academic success for high-income students can be explained by their increased access to educational opportunities in non-school settings.

Youth-development and OST research convincingly demonstrates that the activities young people engage in outside regular school hours (after school, summer learning opportunities, Saturday academies, etc.) have important developmental consequences. Unsupervised time puts youth at risk for academic and behavior problems, drug use, dropping out of school, and many other detrimental activities and behaviors; most importantly, unsupervised time deprives them of critical learning and developmental opportunities (Gordon, Bridgall, and Meroe 2004).

Conversely, young people benefit when they spend time engaged in structured activities that offer opportunities for positive interactions with adults and peers, encourage them to contribute and take initiative, build fresh capacities, and participate in challenging and engaging tasks that allow them to develop and apply new skills and competencies.

A growing body of evidence demonstrates that it is possible for youth, even highly vulnerable youth like African American male students, to find the path toward educational growth and success. Recent research and practice strongly suggest that in order to significantly address the findings described above, multidimensional, asset-building approaches are required.

Many of these resources are available to those who know about them, can access them, and can advocate for additional resources. However, this knowledge and opportunity is unevenly distributed across families and communities, resulting in an opportunity gap that accelerates achievement gaps. Furthermore, an ill-conceived or low quality OST system could reinforce and amplify inequity, rather than expanding the prospects of historically underserved and underperforming students such as African American males. On the other hand, high-quality learning and developmental systems of opportunities create additional learning and developmental spaces where young people can experience success and opportunity.

A careful examination of OST programs and supports nationally could help clarify the association between opportunity, supports, and achievement for African American males. While there has been a great deal of focus over the past two decades on addressing the achievement gap, with limited impact and success (DeShano da Silva et al. 2007), it is increasingly important to frame and understand how critical these out-of-school and expanded-learning opportunities are to student success.

Providing youth with productive exposure to an array of learning and developmental opportunities,
knowledgeable adults outside their families, and motivated peers can result in very different levels of student development and achievement. Youth success is often wrongly attributed chiefly to school-based factors, when in fact it is their exposure to an array of education and developmental opportunities that causes differentiated achievement. In order to grow into responsible adults, youth require a range of appropriate supports, services, and opportunities, only some of which exist in their communities and home lives. These opportunities and supports can exist in a mentor relationship, in a community-based program, or in an internship. For the majority of African American males and other vulnerable populations, the location of meaningful relationships and opportunities matters far less than their sustained presence and their quality. The hallmark of prevention and youth-development strategies is a focus on nurturing strengths, capacities, and, on programming that helps young people acquire skills that promote a sense of accomplishment and self-worth and allow them to excel and contribute to their communities in substantive ways.

Out-of-school-time providers and experts are increasingly relying on a set of practices collectively known as positive youth development (PYD), which seek to build on the existing assets of youth and supplement those assets with relationships and programs that support healthy development. A report by the Pew Charitable Trusts (Fry 2003) found that effective PYD programs share a number of common characteristics, including: physical and psychological structure and safety, opportunities to belong, skillbuilding opportunities, and connections to out-of-school-time programs and supportive relationships, particularly with responsible adults.

Despite the dismal school-completion statistics, data from the High School Survey of Student Engagement (Yazzie-Mintz 2010) tell a compelling story about student aspirations. From 2006 to 2010, more than 350,000 students from high schools in forty-two states completed the survey. Of these students (N=352,140), only 5 percent expected that they would not finish high school. While 8 percent did not know how far they wanted to go in their schooling, 89 percent expected to leave high school with a diploma and 80 percent expected to attain some form of post-secondary degree. Though the dropout problem dominates policy discussions, students’ aspirations and expectations for their own schooling by the Pew Charitable Trusts (Fry 2003) tell a compelling story about student aspirations. From 2006 to 2010, more than 350,000 students from high schools in forty-two states completed the survey. Of these students (n=352,140), only 5 percent expected that they would not finish high school. While 8 percent did not know how far they wanted to go in their schooling, 89 percent expected to leave high school with a diploma and 80 percent expected to attain some form of post-secondary degree. Though the dropout problem dominates policy discussions, students’ aspirations and expectations for their own schooling matter far less than their sustained presence and their quality. The hallmark of prevention and youth-development strategies is a focus on nurturing strengths, capacities, and, on programming that helps young people acquire skills that promote a sense of accomplishment and self-worth and allow them to excel and contribute to their communities in substantive ways.

Despite the dismal school-completion statistics, data from the High School Survey of Student Engagement (Yazzie-Mintz 2010) tell a compelling story about student aspirations. From 2006 to 2010, more than 350,000 students from high schools in forty-two states completed the survey. Of these students (n=352,140), only 5 percent expected that they would not finish high school. While 8 percent did not know how far they wanted to go in their schooling, 89 percent expected to leave high school with a diploma and 80 percent expected to attain some form of post-secondary degree. Though the dropout problem dominates policy discussions, students’ aspirations and expectations for their own schooling matter far less than their sustained presence and their quality. The hallmark of prevention and youth-development strategies is a focus on nurturing strengths, capacities, and, on programming that helps young people acquire skills that promote a sense of accomplishment and self-worth and allow them to excel and contribute to their communities in substantive ways.

In addition to exposure to high-quality school-based and OST learning opportunities during the academic year, families and communities must make specific and significant additional investments in learning environments and opportunities during the summer in order to drive success for African American males. Differences in summer learning opportunities and supports during the foundational pre-K to sixth grade school years help explain aspects of the achievement gap in the middle grades and high school. Young people from low-income backgrounds specifically lag behind their more affluent peers, perpetuating family advantage and disadvantage across generations through differential access to summer learning and developmental opportunities (Alexander, Entwisle, and Olson 2007). Again, in order to produce the desired impacts (improved outcomes, engagement in learning, post-secondary success, etc.), summer learning need not equate with “summer school.” Significant and durable academic and intellectual gains are probable even when those gains are not the primary focus of a high-quality learning and developmental opportunity.

In an effort to develop a comprehensive set of supports, the National Urban League designed our signature education program, Project Ready, in order to expose urban youth to diverse, asset-based educational, developmental, and career opportunities with increasing degrees of complexity, responsibility, and skill acquisition.

**Project Ready: An Overview**

Project Ready is a signature program of the National Urban League, meaning that it is innovative, scalable, evidence-based, demonstrably enhances a participant’s economic or educational status, and can be easily replicated and expanded. The goal of all Urban League signature programs, beyond better outcomes for individuals and families as well as the larger urban communities in which they live, is to replicate innovation, improved standards, and additional accountability throughout the affiliate movement. The Project Ready theory of change illustrates how the various program elements fit together to accomplish the goal of building a “ready for success” identity in youth to enable them to thrive and prosper in the twenty-first century—in college, work, and life. Project Ready is specifically designed to provide high-quality experiences that encourage children and youth to aim for success.

Our theory of change is based on assumptions that are holistic, asset-based, and ecological. By holistic, we mean that the theory specifically includes the intellectual, social/emotional, and physical development of children and youth. An asset-based (or developmental) approach focuses on building competencies in young people so that they will be prepared for the challenges, opportunities, and responsibilities of adulthood; this approach differs from one that too often emphasizes youth deficits and casts African American male students as fundamentally broken. An ecological view acknowledges that an individual
develops within a context of interacting environmental systems. Simply put, an individual’s development is influenced by his or her immediate environment (such as family, school, peers, and neighborhood), which is embedded within larger social, cultural, economic, and political systems. These systems interact with each other and are influenced by changes over time—from individual growth to changes in life circumstances, such as changes in a family’s income or housing situation or citizenship status.

While we recognize that participation in a program cannot, by itself, override the many direct and indirect influences on a child living in disadvantaged circumstances, the Urban League Movement strives to tilt the trajectory toward success, through high-quality programmatic approaches steeped in positive youth-development principles, research-based curricula, asset-focused pedagogy, high expectations, deep engagement, intentional planning, competent staff, timely evaluation of impacts and outcomes, and robust community partnerships.

Launched in the fall of 2006, the National Urban League’s original Project Ready College Access Curriculum provided a comprehensive approach to addressing the academic and personal needs of young people as they prepared themselves for a variety of higher education settings (four-year college, community college, technical and professional schools that provide market-ready credentials, etc.). Traditional college-access programs tend to place greater emphasis on academic skill-building, often at the expense of other equally important concerns such as students’ personal and social maturity and readiness for college (Anderson 2006 and 2011). Since creating the original Project Ready model, the NUL has learned that a key strength of the approach is its focus on building the diverse academic and social assets of urban youth, its inherent flexibility, which encourages local innovation and customization, and its ability to serve an affiliate’s larger goals of positive impacts on family and community. Affiliates that have successfully adopted Project Ready implement one of the following models:

- **Magnet Model:** an organizational model supporting students during out-of-school time at a site other than a school (affiliate offices, college/university, etc.) typically serving the target population drawn from multiple schools or school districts.

- **School-Based Model:** an organizational model supporting students during out-of-school time at a single school or school campus.

- **Expanded Day or Year Model:** an organizational model featuring additional learning and developmental time added to a school day. The additional educational time is provided by the local Urban League affiliate during the school day and during out-of-school time via an explicit partnership with school administration and faculty.

While many college-preparation programs place great emphasis on academic skill building for college, often at the expense of other equally important concerns such as students’ personal and social maturity for college, as a post-secondary success program Project Ready specifically integrates academic preparation and personal, global, and cultural awareness.

In 2011 the National Urban League expanded the Project Ready curriculum into a “2.0 version” in order to support middle and high school students and refocus our aim on post-secondary success and readiness. The updated program model creates additional supports in order to maximize the impact of the intervention through a clear focus on youth readiness for college, work, and life after high school. Students are expected to make measurable academic progress, benefit from cultural-enrichment opportunities, and develop important skills, attitudes, and aptitudes.

Project Ready’s three targeted groups align with the Urban League’s historical focus. The primary group is African American and other children and youth in urban communities. Urban youth in historically underserved and underresourced communities can be particularly vulnerable to an educational system that does not adequately prepare them for academic success. The Project Ready curriculum, sustained literacy resources, and a comprehensive approach focused on summer learning opportunities and local approaches and program designs support youth as they persist to graduation and begin their careers.

The secondary group is parents and families of urban children and youth. The National Urban League is very clear that parents can be effective “first teachers” of their children and can be similarly effective in maintaining educational growth with the proper resources and engagement. Engaging parents in a meaningful and systemic manner allows them to support and even accelerate children’s learning both before children enter formal settings and throughout the pre-K through college school years. This is especially true in the middle and high school years when many parents reduce their interaction with schools and are not as actively engaged in their child’s development as they were in the early and elementary school years.

The tertiary group consists of community leaders, elementary school teachers, youth-serving organizations, childcare providers, policymakers, advocates, corporations, and foundations that support African American and other children and youth in urban communities.
American and urban student success. These entities are most fully invested in, and informed about, the issue, and will incorporate information and perspective into their practice, policy, advocacy, and community-building work. Improved student literacy skills, capacities, aptitudes, and competencies will hopefully result in additional investments in children and the institutions that serve them, both locally and nationally.

Project Ready posits a number of inputs as critical to meaningful out-of-school-time learning and developmental time, including hiring and supporting a professional staff of OST educators, providing high-quality content, ongoing capacity building, clear accountability, and established program standards, but four additional elements stand out when the programs specifically support African American males: effective partnerships, successful transitions, individualized support, and sustained engagement.

**Effective Partnerships**

As we implement and continue to develop Project Ready, the National Urban League seeks to provide a set of resources and content that allows affiliates to partner more effectively with schools and families to improve educational outcomes for urban youth. The larger concept of partnering in OST to deliver improved outcomes for African American males holds great and specific potential because it focuses on achievement and readiness issues holistically, rather than in a piecemeal fashion. Furthermore, rather than explicit alignment with the school day, Project Ready instead seeks coherence with the school day so that the approaches are reinforcing, not repetitive.

Valuable education partnerships are constructed through, and maintained by, high-functioning collaborative relationships and structures that build the capacity to engage, partner, and innovate. Developing effective education partnerships requires creating a new covenant between communities and their schools, which is explicitly tied to the creation of an education system that includes, but extends beyond, schools. Meaningful partnerships ultimately require forging new relationships, building a multiplicity of connections, and developing new capacities to collaborate, all tied to a vision of successful outcomes for children and youth (Smith 2009).

Reform and innovation efforts in education or youth development too often consist of little more than loose civic associations with competing ideologies and cross purposes that quickly deteriorate into dysfunctional programs and policies. Such efforts fail to recognize the interrelatedness of the issues they attempt to address, and can provide an incomplete and constrained understanding of the problems they are designed to remedy. Isolated approaches severely limit what can be understood and thereby what can be accomplished. Meaningful and substantive partnerships encourage constituencies to engage in cooperative planning, implementation, and support of African American children and youth as both an institutionalized practice and a shared responsibility.

Despite the potential for partnerships to better provide young people with opportunity and support, with increasing intensity and frequency, blame—directed primarily at teachers, schools, and parents—has replaced a sense of collective responsibility at the heart of community/school relationships. Accountability has largely been reduced to test scores rather than the larger sense that somehow everyone has a stake in the success of children and youth. Complicating relationships and the development of a shared vision for better serving and supporting African American males are legacies of race, income, and gender-based inequities that inhibit opportunity and power differentials (individual and institutional) and preconceptions around capacity, skills, and appropriate roles.

Theoretically, widespread school-reform efforts and investments in urban areas over the past decade have cultivated a fertile landscape for active community participation at various levels of the school system. In response, many school systems have begun to turn away from the traditional paradigm of soliciting community stakeholder feedback on decisions that have already been made, and instead ask community members for input and perspective on emergent decisions. Implicit in the idea of education partnerships is the idea that they should be as impossible to imagine without the full participation of community partners in their shaping and implementation, as it would be to imagine an education reform absent the full participation of principals and teachers.

Effective education partnerships such as those at the heart of local high-quality implementations of Project Ready demonstrate that community-based organizations such as Urban League affiliates can be leaders as well as great partners in educational content development, service delivery, innovation, and reform. As a required part of each local program, Urban League affiliates engage a number of partners including schools, museums, private industry, volunteer organizations, and institutions of higher education. While each partnership is unique in multiple ways, they provide an important blueprint upon which other partnerships can develop. Education relationships are not simple to build or maintain, but they clearly represent a means to deliver opportunity and improved outcomes in ways not possible for a single institution, such as an individual school or school system, to accomplish.

Additionally, the perspectives and data from other child- and youth-serving sectors holds great potential for education partnerships in bringing helpful resources, tools, and practices to bear. Increasing the graduation rate should not only be measurable in school achievement data but seen as correlating with such factors as a decrease in risky behaviors among teens, improved feelings of youth connectedness and engagement, the rise in the teen employment rate in the city, and the ability to retain and attract businesses and industry. Successful partnerships perhaps translate best as increases in issue ownership, additional traction for reform, and the development of collective efficacy, or the belief in the ability that outcomes for African American students and other vulnerable students can improve through better relationships, shared visions of success, and, most importantly, shared work (Smith 2005).
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Successful Transitions

Ideally, community-based opportunities and other OST programs should prepare youth with an explicit focus on readiness, persistence, and success beyond high school. In Project Ready, the National Urban League argues that academic success in high school and beyond requires the creation of robust supports and opportunities at the pre-K, elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, and high school to postsecondary transition points in order to positively impact the dropout and achievement gaps. Rather than focusing on the achievement, opportunity, and graduation gaps as an African American male phenomenon that emerges primarily in high school, research suggests it is much more productive to concentrate investments at a much earlier time in students’ development, in order to prevent or at least slow the gap nearer to its inception point.

At each transition point along the pre-K through college pathway, children and youth can fall behind and become disconnected from schools as they struggle to adapt to changed expectations, supports, and learning environments. Most high school dropouts do not simply fall behind in the ninth grade; rather, they enter high school not fully prepared to thrive and graduate. What do we know about the kinds of experiences and measures that are predictive of dropouts prior to high school, when the learning gaps are far smaller and far more manageable?

A 2006 Philadelphia study found that there are four powerful factors (both individually and in combination) that can predict which sixth graders will ultimately fall behind and off-track: attending school 80 percent or less of the time; receiving a poor final behavior mark; failing math; and failing English. A sixth grader with any of the four indicators has only a 10 to 20 percent chance of graduating with his/her peers. Researchers have begun to label these students “early dropouts” (Balfanz and Herzog 2006). Current research also shows that achievement levels attained by eighth grade are more determinative of readiness for post-secondary success than anything that happens academically in high school (ACT 2008).

In response, the National Urban League has developed a comprehensive, research-driven education and youth development approach focused on supporting successful transitions for urban youth. The Project Ready approach focuses on smoothing transitions from one developmental state to another and as such is critically important at the middle and high school ages. Adolescence is a time when African American males struggle with developmental changes on several fronts. At this stage of life, youth have a special need for challenging activities and supportive programs to promote their positive development and foster the problem-solving and critical thinking skills that help them stay on track and excel (Balfanz and Herzog 2006; NYC Coalition for Educational Justice 2007).

While retention in any earlier grade decreases a student’s odds of making it through the ninth grade, retention in the middle grades is particularly problematic and has been shown to be a contributing factor in a student’s dropping out later in their academic career (Balfanz, Herzog, and Iver 2007). Students must successfully navigate a myriad of physical, emotional, and intellectual changes as they enter adolescence. In order to be successful, they require intentionally structured opportunities designed to improve educational outcomes, and developing such interventions is the central focus of the Project Ready approach.

Many of the positive effects of youth-development programs are well-documented statistically, yet youth in grades seven to twelve are less likely to participate in any type of afterschool program compared to younger children. For middle- and high-school students, low participation in out-of-school programs is the norm (Lauver, Little, Weiss 2004; Little and Lauver 2005), and providers often struggle to engage older youth in their programs (Hall, Israel, and Shortt 2004). Yet it appears that high-quality programs such as Project Ready that allow youth to build real-life skills may have greater appeal for teenagers and the potential to draw in youth who would not otherwise participate in any college-readiness program.

Individualized Support

Project Ready students engage in activities that require them to take a look at themselves and “take stock” of their skills and abilities. These exercises are used to build a foundation to help students identify their aspirations and set specific goals. More specifically, student progress and success are guided by an Individual College/Career Development Plan (ICDP), a set of explicit, personalized student outcomes for achievement and success. While the ICDP is initially administered at intake, a student’s progress through Project Ready is closely monitored by affiliate staff during the program year via the ICDP.

In that way, the ICDP remains a critical component of our approach, and provides each student with a clear, incremental, and understandable plan of action and mentoring to help ensure they succeed. The components of Project Ready are grouped into benchmarks that are similar to “units” in other types of in-school curricula. Benchmarks represent “stages” or “milestones” individual students should reach in the program and those which are necessary to facilitate their success after high school. In the enhanced Project Ready 2.0, each component is broken into approximately twenty benchmarks (except the Academic Achievement component, which has only one benchmark each for reading/vocabulary, math, and writing).

As a matter of course, Project Ready participants are introduced to an array of content, tools, approaches, and resources necessary to achieve their goals and the goals of the program. Students are led through the process of discovering their “success tools,” which include workshops and programs that focus on (1) strong decision-making skills; (2) positive work and study habits; and (3) understanding time management. Each benchmark includes a series of lessons, activities, and assessments that are
aligned with the Project Ready curriculum outcomes, detailed steps for a teacher/facilitator, and can be modified or rearranged to be completed in one session or over several sessions depending on student interest and progress. Further, given the developmentally appropriate activities, the benchmark system can be spread over four academic years, if benchmarks are done concurrently.

**Sustained Engagement**

Studies of out-of-school-time programs have consistently shown that participation for at least two years is positively correlated with positive outcomes, and that the longer participants remain in the program, the greater the impact (Chaput, Little, and Weiss 2004). As a result, Project Ready has been designed to serve students for multiple years via the inclusion of a number of local customizations and via nationally designed enhancements. To date, the National Urban League enhancements have included a STEM-focused curriculum (emphasizing science, technology, engineering, and math), a service-learning curriculum, a middle-school demonstration project, the development of content and supports specifically designed to combat summer learning loss, a multistate mentoring enhancement funded by the Department of Justice, a digital literacy program funded by the Department of Commerce, and piloting the use of literacy coaches to drive improved academic outcomes.

As a result of their participation in our programs, the Urban League expects that the more than seventeen hundred students currently enrolled in Project Ready, nationally, will achieve academic progress, benefit from cultural-enrichment opportunities, and develop the critical skills, attitudes, and aptitudes necessary for post-secondary success.

Our framework intentionally links research and “leading edge” practices on youth development, adolescent literacy, out-of-school-time learning, and student success and readiness with the tradition and legacy of the Urban League in the delivery of better outcomes for African American males across the nation.

**Communities in Action**

Provided here are overviews of local approaches to serving African American males in three Urban League communities: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Rochester, New York; and Chicago, Illinois.

**Pittsburgh**

According to data compiled by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, fourteen thousand African Americans between the ages of fourteen and eighteen live in Allegheny County, the service area of the Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh (ULGP). Only 22 percent are believed to reside in two-parent households, while 73 percent are estimated to live in a female-headed household, many of which are also low-income. As a result, many African American males in Pittsburgh are raised in impoverished families without a male presence.

Statistics also show that more than 80 percent of African American tenth graders score in the bottom half of statewide Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) reading and math tests, with African American males performing worse than their female counterparts. Even among students attending college, African American males have the lowest graduation rate of any racial/gender group (Education Trust 2007).

In greater Pittsburgh, the employment rate of sixteen- to twenty-four-year-old African American males is 30 percent below that of comparable rates for Whites and Latinos. African American males are nearly seven times more likely to be incarcerated, nine times more likely to die from homicide, and nearly seven times more likely to suffer from AIDS than their white counterparts (National Urban League 2007).

In light of these statistics and as a part of its historic mission, the Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh created a leadership program devoted to helping young African American males take advantage of educational opportunities, become productive citizens, and achieve their full potential.

Each year, the Black Male Leadership Development Institute (BMLDI) enrolls seventy-five African American male students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds entering ninth, tenth, eleventh, or twelfth grades from Allegheny County. Since it was launched in 2006, the program has served more than four hundred students. The BMLDI, co-sponsored by the Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh and Robert Morris University (RMU), consists of a week-long summer residential program on the RMU campus, and a year-long series of Saturday Institutes and Saturday luncheons. In addition, administrators from area partner schools provide access to their school facilities, teachers and counselors, as well as students as a part of program recruitment and engagement. The program is viewed as a success by partners and, in the words of Dr. Rex Crawley, Associate Dean of Communications at the university, “provides Robert Morris University the opportunity to sow into the lives of African American high school students essential skills to help them develop not just into manhood but into productive members of society.”

School partners provide sustained access to youth during the school day in order to provide additional support to the students in their educational pursuits, collaborate in order to create additional high-quality expanded-learning opportunities, and participate in larger collaborative groups intentionally focused on building the educational and developmental assets of African American males.

Other partners include notable African American male leaders in the Pittsburgh community, fraternities, and African American educators who serve as speakers, sponsors, hosts, and mentors. Esther Bush, president and CEO of the Urban League of Greater Pittsburgh, believes that effective partnerships are...
critical to their approach and more importantly to addressing the issue across Pittsburgh. “It takes a village to raise a child” is an ancient West African proverb. The Black Male Leadership Development Institute is an outgrowth of this community-centered tradition, which the Urban League seeks to reinvigorate.”

Developmental outcomes include enabling participants to internalize and demonstrate the desired behavioral changes that the BMLDI program seeks. Evidence of this type of change is shown in their ability to go beyond the simple recitation and itemization of leadership skills that they have learned, and instead define themselves as leaders via their service to the community and their ability to prepare themselves for the challenges of high school, college, and the world of work.

While it may be a bit early to say that all of the changes evidenced thus far have been completely internalized by participants, the program has produced evidence that positive changes in the participants’ behaviors, aptitudes, attitudes, and performance are sustained over the time period that they are actively involved in the program. Participants have cited how the program has helped them to “stay on the straight path, and not focus on anything but what I should be focused on.” In general, the young men report that the program has improved their readiness, expanded their thinking about their future and the options available to them, and meaningfully provided a set of pathways for attaining success during and after high school.

The Urban League of Greater Rochester is eager to expand its program and develop a similar approach for African American females, similarly based on building their educational and developmental assets. In furtherance of their work in the community, the ULGP is a leader in the African American Achievement Trust and has also launched the Urban League of Greater Rochester Charter School, serving 230 K–fifth-grade students from across the city. The ULGP is motivated to continue to innovate and improve through a commitment to serving African American males and all of their students by way of seeking out the best possible content, learning and developmental opportunities, partnerships, and enhanced options as a part of their guiding philosophy and ongoing practice.

Rochester

The Urban League of Rochester’s long-standing high-school-to-college transition program is the Salute to Black Scholars program. The program was founded in 1980 to identify and encourage academic achievement among African American high school students in the Rochester area. It provides recognition, assistance, and incentives to high school students who, by their senior year, have achieved a B or better average for seven consecutive semesters. In 1980, when the first group of Black Scholars graduated, 56 African American students were eligible for recognition. Since that time, more than 7,700 students have been designated as Black Scholars, and the number increases with every graduating class. In 2011, there were 323 Black Scholars from forty-six high schools in the greater Rochester area.

The Early Recognition component of the program was founded in 1985, and works with youth in grades nine to eleven to encourage them to aspire to become Black Scholars by their senior year. The Early Recognition component has recruited over 28,000 students to date. In November 2011, ULR recognized 1,065 students from fifty-nine schools as Early Recognition scholars.

In June of each year, the program organizes and sponsors a Recognition Banquet at the local convention center, where scholars are presented with personalized plaques commemorating their academic achievement. In addition, organizations, businesses, corporations, churches, sororities, fraternities, and others announce their scholarship winners. In 2005, approximately $4.2 million in scholarships were awarded to the Black Scholars by forty-four organizations, a high point for the program. The annual culminating event is attended by well over five hundred students, parents, and other family members, teachers, and individuals instrumental to the success of the participants. In the week before the banquet, the local newspaper features all students who have earned designation as Black Scholars.

The most successful area of accomplishment in the implementation of the ULR Black Scholars program is in assisting students as they prepare for college. Over 80 percent of Black Scholars enroll in college in the fall following their high school graduation. The Black Scholars program provides students and their families with opportunities to learn about preparing for college through workshops such as The Real Deal About Going to College, which covers all of the steps involved in applying for college. The Black Scholars college fair, financial aid workshops, and information on scholarships also help prepare college-bound students and their families.

The Black Scholars program also helps low-income students stay in college through the Black Scholars Endowment Fund. In the late 1980s a group of local Black business owners, along with leaders from other business and social organizations, established this fund specifically to enable Black college students from the Rochester area to remain in college when all other sources of financial assistance have been exhausted. The fund is administered in conjunction with the program and complements existing established scholarships that are awarded annually to students. The endowment fund currently stands at over $1.4 million.

Building on the success of the Black Scholars program, in 2006 ULR launched a Project Ready program for African American male high school students in grades eight to twelve. The students participating in ULR’s program show potential to successfully complete high school and enroll in college but also demonstrate the need for a range of supports in order to ensure that they stay on track academically and take the appropriate steps necessary to gain admittance to college. The program operates according to...
the Project Ready magnet model, providing program activities after school, on Saturdays, and during the summer.

The need for a Project Ready program was evidenced by the fact that the Rochester City Schools struggle with high dropout rates, low rates of student achievement, and low graduation rates. The substantial dropout rate in the Rochester schools meant that a significant number of youth were unprepared for college, work, and life. According to Rochester City School District records, 1,122 students left high school in the 2009–10 school year. The majority of these students (1,067, or 95 percent) dropped out of school, while only 55 (5 percent) left school to enter an approved high-school equivalency preparation program. Only 51 percent of Rochester students who entered the ninth grade in the fall of 2006 graduated four years later in August 2010 (New York State Department of Education 2011). Alarmingly low rates of achievement in Rochester City School District (RCSD) schools mean that even students who graduate are not likely to be prepared for work or college. In the 2010–11 school year, only 20 percent of eight graders met state standards for achievement in math, and only 17 percent met state standards for English Language Arts (New York State Department of Education 2011). The lack of achievement experienced by RCSD students is even greater for African American males. Just one-third of black male students in the Rochester School District finished high school during the 2007–08 school year (the most recent year this data was available), the seventeenth-lowest rate of the nation’s fifty-nine largest school systems. About 44 percent of their white male classmates finished. (Democrat and Chronicle 2011).

Since its launch in Rochester, Project Ready has worked with approximately thirty young men annually, helping them excel in their academic work and focus on their goal of going to college. Overall, the youth served by the program have improved their academic skills and experienced success in high school, and those who have graduated have enrolled in college. Through participation in the program, these young men realize the importance of good attendance at school and devotion to improving their academic grade point average while taking rigorous classes that prepare them for college. PR Newswire (2009) states that on any given day, 23 percent of African American males attending high school in the United States are not preparing for college, and 12 percent are not preparing for work or college. Project Ready participants have improved the GPAs and their math and reading skills, in preparation for high school graduation, applying to college, and ultimately persisting to college graduation. All of the students have stayed in school throughout their participation in the program and all have maintained a school attendance rate of 93 percent or better. All of the students enrolled over the last three years have been promoted to the next grade level or have graduated from high school. Furthermore, 72 percent of those for whom we have data improved their math scores, and 68 percent improved their reading scores. Over the last three years the program has enrolled fifty youth ranging from freshmen to seniors. Eighteen students have graduated from high school and are enrolled in college.

Chicago

For almost a decade the Chicago Urban League, through its African American Male Adolescent Initiative (AAMAI), has operated programs specifically targeting African American males, with a focus on education, inspiration, and empowerment. The initiative provides intensive case management and mentoring services to low-income youth ages thirteen to eighteen from female-headed households. The program helps young men transition to manhood through the exploration of healthy lifestyles, personal development, career choices, and self-empowerment.

According to the Chicago Public School data, 58 percent of African American males drop out of high school. For every one hundred African American males who graduate from high school, only two will successfully graduate from college. PR Newswire (2009) states that on any given day, 23 percent of
African American men ages sixteen to twenty-four who dropped out of high school are in jail, prison, or a juvenile institution in America—compared to only 6–7 percent of Asian, Hispanic, or White dropouts. The 2005 Illinois Juvenile Justice Commission Annual Report similarly finds that “African American youth comprised approximately 14% of Illinois’ youth (ages 10–16), yet make up 57% of youth arrests and 41% of youth held in detention. In Illinois’ juvenile correctional facilities, African American youth are 52% of the population although detention can cost as much as 15 times more than alternative programs” (Sum, Khatiwada, and McLaughlin 2009).

With statistics showing a very grim set of outcomes for African American youth, it is no wonder that there are few programs that actually attempt to specifically address African American male adolescents. The social service community in Chicago has traditionally placed most of its service dollars into programs addressing women and young children, with the primary initiatives for African American males closely and overly related to the juvenile justice system in their approach and orientation.

While the Chicago Urban League feels there is a strong need for programs that prevent this population from entering the juvenile justice system, they also felt that there was a need for programs that expand the educational outlook and prospects for African American males beyond keeping them out of the courts and prisons. As a result, the CUL has created a program approach and set of partnerships specifically intended to instill a sense of self-worth and agency and that builds skills that can ultimately help African American males achieve academic and career success.

In 2010, the Chicago Urban League expanded its approach by developing the Urban Youth Connection Mentoring Program (UYC) in conjunction with the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to serve 175 adolescent males through the AAMAI program and also through the CPS Mentoring and Advocacy Program (MAP). MAP is a pilot program managed by CPS, and offered to nineteen Chicago agencies for one year. The new program component required ten to twelve mentors (per agency) to provide intensive mentoring services for each participant fifteen to twenty hours per week. This program was designed to specifically fill in the gaps that exist in services and supports for vulnerable students; for example, ensuring that students who are suspended from school attend workshops instead of staying at home doing nothing. This encourages parental and familial engagement, as it provides a meaningful opportunity for students and parents to dialogue and problem-solve about issues that often go unnoticed under other approaches.

The Chicago Urban League Violence Prevention Initiative was developed by CPS after a comprehensive assessment was conducted of the violence-related risks posed to CPS high school students inside and outside of school. In response to extensive databased research and community discussion, the CPS Board created a strategy to advance the protection, safety, and security of high school students. The Chicago Urban League was selected as a vendor because of the African American Male Adolescent Initiative program’s pre-existence in a number of target neighborhoods.

Over the life of the program the Urban League has served 3,636 African American males, targeting fathers between the ages of eighteen and forty (Male Involvement Program), ex-offenders, returning veterans, and others. African American youth between the ages of thirteen and eighteen, mostly from single-female heads of households and/or who have been pre-identified from community partners such as schools, and other CBOs are the target population for the UYC. Currently the CUL programs have 250 adult males and 70 youth enrolled. All are African American, and 90 percent are low- to moderate-income Chicago residents.

Partners include local schools and the staff, parents, drug and alcohol abuse counselors, other local CBOs with specific capabilities (early-release centers, AIDS counselors, etc.), hospitals and health centers. Because their partners are specialists in their fields, each brings additional resources to the table that support participants across the specific circumstances in which they find themselves. Partners also provide critical additional mental health resources for both mentors and constituents that are based on an understanding of, and sensitivity to, the personal history of African American males and the many challenges that impact their development, readiness, and success.

Of particular importance to the success of the program are the strong relationships with the Chicago Public Schools. Partner schools provide a critical link to information on grades and behavior and are central to the readiness and post-secondary success of the program participants, and maintaining a good relationship with the schools is vital to the program’s aims and implementation. The better the program staff’s relationship is with the schools that participants attend, the better the parent can engage with the school when problems arise or when there are opportunities to further and deepen success, and the better advocate the CUL can be on behalf of the families. The CUL approach helps parents develop a more comfortable relationship with schools where they may not feel accepted or see the importance and relevance of school because of their personal histories with the school system.

Essential to the success of the UYC is the relationship built with both the youth and his or her family. Time is spent to develop a trusting relationship in order to empower youth and their families to make better and healthier choices. The Urban Youth Connection Mentoring Program staff and partners consistently look to enhance current approaches to better reach and serve program participants. Specifically, they seek to fill in the gaps that exist in services and supports for vulnerable students; for example, ensuring that students who are suspended from school attend workshops instead of staying at home doing nothing. This encourages parental and familial engagement, as it provides a meaningful opportunity for students and parents to dialogue and problem-solve about issues that often go unnoticed under other approaches.

The UYC tracks a number of student/participant outcomes, but mainly focus on a student’s BAG (behavior, attendance, grades). When each student becomes a part of the program, he goes through a DAP.
(data, assessment, plan), analogous to the Project Ready ICDP. This process consists of the mentor and program staff collecting all of the data pertinent to the student’s readiness and preparation for success. This data usually consists of the student’s current and past grades, number of credits, behavior infraction, home life, street gang affiliation, and extracurricular activities. After the mentor has collected all the data, he or she assesses the data to come up with a plan on how to engage the student in a way that will be most effective and impactful. Utilizing this process, the CUL has been very successful in helping students to graduate from high school and go on to college. Working with local colleges and universities, the CUL is developing additional outcome and tracking measures such as gang affiliations, enhancing program offerings through the use of additional data points and research, and calculating the return on investment for participants who avoid incarceration and instead positively contribute to the local economy and community.

Conclusion

The mission of the Urban League Movement is to enable African Americans to secure economic self-reliance, parity and power, and civil rights. Preparing young people for success is critical to achieving this mission. For more than fifty years, the National Urban League’s Education & Youth Development Division has worked to improve educational opportunities for African American students by developing innovative programs to support their academic achievement, encourage their civic involvement, and contribute to their healthy physical and emotional development. The Urban League’s Project Ready approach combines the NUL’s history of service and programmatic opportunities with a deep reservoir of community trust and decades of experience in developing and implementing technical assistance for national social and human services programs.

Community-based and equity-centered approaches to out-of-school-time learning and the kinds of partnering embodied in the Project Ready design are instrumental in closing and eliminating opportunity gaps between African American males and their peers. Our approach centers primarily on how local partnerships can build the capacity of youth, families, and community through the creation of a diverse array of developmental spaces and processes that are explicitly asset-based. This approach may well involve local partnerships in offering very different opportunities, structured in quite different ways, but always reflective on the experiences and support necessary to best support students.

A structuring of out-of-school time as merely an explicit reinforcement of, or supplement to, academic work done in school is insufficient for ensuring learning and development. Opportunities configured solely in this way can function as something done to young people and communities, or in their name, rather than with them, for their ultimate benefit. Critical innovations on learning time and out-of-school-time learning opportunities should substantively reframe teaching and learning to include better content, more hands-on learning, project-centered content, additional supports, and expansive opportunities for students. More of the same practices stretched out over longer periods of time are clearly insufficient to produce the educational results we seek.

What may be most beneficial to African American males in particular, and to vulnerable students more generally, is offering alternative pathways to those who struggle in traditional school-based approaches and with classical approaches to pedagogies and curricula. Providing multiple opportunities for learning, success, and achievement in sufficient breadth, depth, and variety allows for alternate definitions of, and pathways to, excellence and equity. Increased post-secondary readiness and success for larger numbers of urban children must be built on a complex interplay of structures, supports, and opportunities that create better results and outcomes for young people.

The likely outcome of failing to improve the educational and developmental opportunities for underrepresented populations such as African American males is that the average education level of the American workforce in 2020 will actually be lower than it is today. The technologies and economies of the twenty-first century will continue to demand a greater number of educated and highly prepared workers than has ever been produced in prior generations. Clearly, additional strategies and investments will be necessary to help ensure that young people acquire the knowledge, skills, habits, and opportunities that will lead to academic, civic, and economic success.

Educational investments of this type and magnitude are representative of the Urban League Movement’s historic and ongoing dedication to advancing the quality, scope, and scale of opportunity for urban youth. We believe it is worthwhile to investigate innovations such as positive youth development and out-of-school time in both the singular and the collective, for it is only through a range of efforts that we will make accelerated progress for African American males.

Summary of Solutions

1. Create a support system that is inclusive of schools but moves beyond in-school learning, by forging new relationships, building a multiplicity of connections, and developing new capacities to collaborate, in order to expand educational and developmental opportunities for Black male students.

2. Provide Black males with productive exposure to an array of learning and developmental opportunities, knowledgeable adults outside their families, and motivated peers to enhance student development and improve student achievement.
3. Create opportunities that focus on nurturing strengths, capacities, and assets, and on program-
ming that helps Black males acquire skills and capacities that promote a sense of accomplishment
and self-worth and allow them to excel and contribute to their communities in substantive ways.

4. Enroll Black males in effective Positive Youth Development (PYD) programs that include:
physical and psychological structure and safety, opportunities to belong and connect, skill-
building opportunities, connections to out-of-school-time programs, and supportive relation-
ships, particularly with responsible and knowledgeable adults.

5. Assist Black males in making the leap from their aspirations to actuality by creating opportuni-
ties where the youth/adult relationships are based on the youth’s assets and interests rather than
focusing on existing or perceived deficiencies, engage in structured activities that offer opportu-
nities for positive interactions with adults and peers, encourage them to contribute and take
initiative, and contain challenging and engaging tasks that allow them to develop and apply new
skills and personal talents.

6. Encourage families and communities to make specific and significant additional investments in
learning environments and opportunities during the summer in order to drive success for African
American males.

7. Ensure that all out-of-school opportunities for Black males are holistic, and that they address their
intellectual, social/emotional, and physical development needs; are asset-based (or developmental),
that they are focused on building competencies in young people so that they will be prepared for the
challenges, opportunities, and responsibilities of adulthood, and that they incorporate an ecological
view in their approach, acknowledging that youth develop in a context of interacting environmen-
tal systems.

8. Create effective education collaborations by recognizing that meaningful and substantive part-
nerships encourage constituencies to engage in cooperative planning, implementation, and sup-
port of Black males as both an institutionalized practice and a shared responsibility:
a. Partners can be leaders in educational content development, service delivery, advocacy,
innovation, and reform; they clearly represent a means to deliver opportunity and
improved outcomes in ways not possible for a single institution, such as an individual
school or school system to accomplish.

b. Successful partnerships perhaps translate best as increases in issue ownership, additional
traction for reform, and the development of collective efficacy, or the belief in the ability
that outcomes for African American students and other vulnerable students can improve
through better relationships, shared visions of success, and, most importantly, shared work.

9. Create a system of robust supports and opportunities at the pre-K, elementary to middle school,
middle school to high school, and high school to post-secondary transition points, in order to
positively impact the dropout and achievement gaps of Black males. Smoothing transitions from
one developmental state to another is critically important at the middle and high school ages.

10. Work with Black male students and their families in order to identify clear benchmarks or mile-
stones necessary to facilitate their success after high school. Benchmarks should be both academic
and nonacademic in nature.

11. Ensure that Black male students participate in workshops and programs that focus on (1) strong
decision-making and leadership skills; (2) positive service, work, and study habits; and (3) effective
time management.

12. Customize out-of-school experiences for Black males that are long term, minimally two years,
and are customized to the local environment and include nationally recognized enhancements
such as service learning, rites of passage, etc.

13. Create leadership programs devoted to helping young Black males take advantage of educational
opportunities, become productive citizens, and achieve their full potential.

14. Recognize and provide assistance, incentives (such as internships or summer work opportunities),
and financial rewards to Black male high school students who, by their senior year, have achieved
significant post-secondary milestones.

15. Collaborate with local higher education partners to conduct workshops on college access, college
completion, financial aid and scholarships, and to provide equitable access to a range of high-
quality learning and developmental opportunities for Black male high school students.
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Improving the Academic Achievement of African American Males: A Path Forward for America’s Great City Schools

Michael Casserly, PhD, has served as Executive Director of the Council of the Great City Schools since January 1992. Before assuming his current position, he was the organization’s Director of Legislation and Research for fifteen years. As head of the urban-school group, Casserly has unified big-city schools nationwide around a vision of reform and improvement; led the nation’s largest urban school districts in volunteering for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP); guided the organization to be the first national education-membership group to call for the Common Core State Standards; initiated an aggressive technical-assistance program to improve urban education; directed the development of public education’s first performance-management system; and led the first national study of common practices among the nation’s fastest improving urban school districts. He is currently spearheading efforts to boost academic performance in the nation’s big-city schools; strengthen management and operations; and improve the image of urban education. USA Today has referred to him as a crusader for urban schools. He is a US Army veteran and holds a PhD from the University of Maryland and a BA from Villanova University.

Introduction

America’s Great City Schools educate approximately one-third of the nation’s African American male students. Many of these students do well and go on to take important leadership positions in their chosen fields. They make substantial contributions to the nation, raise and support loving families, and serve as role models for others.

Still, too many African American males do not realize their full potential in our schools. A number of reports and studies, including the Council of the Great City Schools’ 2010 report—A Call for Change: The Social and Educational Factors Contributing to the Outcomes of Black Males in Urban Schools—indicate that too often our schools have not served these students well. In many cases, in fact, we have simply failed them.

To be sure, there is now broad consensus that the nation’s urban public schools need to vastly improve the quality of education these students receive in order for them to succeed in college and careers. Other institutions at the local, state, and national levels also need to do a better job, but for our part, the Great City Schools are stepping up to the plate, taking responsibility, and working to reverse negative trends and improve the quality of life of, and future opportunities for, our African American youth.

This chapter pulls together some of the broad lessons articulated by the authors of the previous chapters and outlines steps urban school leaders should take to increase African American male achievement. The lessons learned are organized around broad themes: (1) the importance of strong leadership and a unifying vision for reform; (2) the role of instructional rigor and high expectations for all students; (3) the pursuit of multilayered reforms at the district, school, and individual levels; and (4) family and community involvement.

The recommendations and proposals in the chapter are gleaned from the suggestions of the other authors in this series and are infused into the chapter’s various sections. Together, this collection of
articles argues for a fundamental shift in the traditional approach of schools, which has been to sift and sort children for the benefit of some and to the detriment of others.

Each theme and action step presented in this chapter is crucial, but it is unlikely that, taken in isolation, any of them could result in better outcomes for the African American males in our urban schools. Instead, it is the combined force of these reforms and how they lock together that is likely to make the biggest difference for our students. Consequently, we are urging a strategic and systemic approach that uses the broadest possible array of action steps.

Finally, this chapter does not seek to lecture anyone on what needs to be done to improve conditions for African American males, although there is more than enough work to do. Instead, the chapter is meant to be a broad and thematic road map for urban schools to follow as they strive to improve the academic attainment of their African American male students.

Themes and Strategies for Action

In discussing the strategies likely to improve the academic progress of African American males, it is worth stepping back to consider what strategies are employed to improve urban student achievement as a whole, and applying those lessons. What follows is a description of the major themes that should define our work on behalf of African American males in the nation’s urban public schools, as well as the ways that schools and communities throughout the country have begun to approach this work. It seeks to synthesize the lessons learned from the previous chapters, and to prompt urban public schools to move urgently on behalf of these valuable young people.

1. Strong Leadership and a Unifying Vision for Reform

In general, efforts to improve urban public education are galvanized when there is clear political consensus around the need for change, and a unifying vision for what shape this change should take. The demonstration of a compelling community interest in school reform—as well as the dedication and talent of strong leaders willing to work toward this shared goal—opens the door to constructive dialogue about which reforms a school district should pursue, setting measurable goals and translating these goals into attainable objectives, sustaining support for reforms, and establishing mechanisms for holding people accountable for results (Snipes, Doolittle, and Herlihy 2002; Casserly et al. 2011). For instance, two districts that have seen some of the most substantial gains in student achievement—Atlanta in reading and Boston in math—also produced large gains among African American males. For example, two districts that have seen some of the most substantial gains in student achievement because of the instructional reforms they pursue also see significant improvements in the academic attainment of African American male students. Finally, urban school systems need to develop clear mechanisms by which staff members are held accountable for the academic progress of these—and all—students.

2. Instructional Rigor and High Expectations for All Students

Another important strategic element shared by urban school districts that have shown overall academic progress is the pursuit of reform at scale. In other words, to attain district-wide results, districts need to pursue district-wide reform strategies, not just school-by-school improvements. Moreover, these district-wide reforms were focused primarily on improving curriculum and instruction. While high-achieving or fast improving districts do not necessarily employ uniform academic programs or materials in every school, they do develop a clear, system-wide approach to instruction, with clear, grade-specific learning objectives for all students.

Not surprisingly, most districts that have seen substantial overall gains in student achievement because of the instructional reforms they pursue also see significant improvements in the academic attainment of African American male students. For example, two districts that have seen some of the most substantial gains on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) in the history of the assessment—Atlanta in reading and Boston in math—also produced large gains among African American males attending their schools. The reading scores of Atlanta’s fourth-grade African American males went up
eleven scale-score points between 2003 and 2011 and eighth-grade males jumped fourteen points over the same period, while nationally NAEP reading scores increased by only four points and two points, respectively. Math scores in Boston among African American fourth-grade males increased by fourteen scale-score points, and eighth-grade males jumped twenty-three points between 2003 and 2011, while nationally math scores went up only six points and seven points, respectively, over the same period.¹

The gains in both cities were achieved by improving the overall instructional program in districts with large numbers of African American students, but the reforms themselves were not specifically defined around them (Casserly et al. 2011).

Without being an “African American male” initiative per se, these instructional reforms improve the academic achievement of these students because they address the fundamental educational obstacles African American male students face: failing schools, low-quality instruction, ineffective teachers, and inadequate educational resources.

In too many schools, African American male students and others are denied access to a rigorous core curriculum on many other fronts as well, contributing to the inequities in public education and exacerbating achievement gaps. In many cases, the practices that cut off students from high-quality instruction have little to do with either spending levels or the availability and distribution of high-quality teachers. Instead, they are driven by low expectations for student performance that were born of one group’s misbegotten sense of superiority over another and result in the perpetuation of gaps in achievement.

Generally, there are three main ways in which African American male students are steered away from academic achievement of these students because they address the fundamental educational obstacles African American male students face: failing schools, low-quality instruction, ineffective teachers, and inadequate educational resources.

In too many schools, African American male students and others are denied access to a rigorous core curriculum on many other fronts as well, contributing to the inequities in public education and exacerbating achievement gaps. In many cases, the practices that cut off students from high-quality instruction have little to do with either spending levels or the availability and distribution of high-quality teachers. Instead, they are driven by low expectations for student performance that were born of one group’s misbegotten sense of superiority over another and result in the perpetuation of gaps in achievement and opportunity.

Without being an “African American male” initiative per se, these instructional reforms improve the academic achievement of these students because they address the fundamental educational obstacles African American male students face: failing schools, low-quality instruction, ineffective teachers, and inadequate educational resources.

In too many schools, African American male students and others are denied access to a rigorous core curriculum on many other fronts as well, contributing to the inequities in public education and exacerbating achievement gaps. In many cases, the practices that cut off students from high-quality instruction have little to do with either spending levels or the availability and distribution of high-quality teachers. Instead, they are driven by low expectations for student performance that were born of one group’s misbegotten sense of superiority over another and result in the perpetuation of gaps in achievement and opportunity.

Generally, there are three main ways in which African American male students are steered away from instructional content that would prepare them for college or careers. The first involves the inequitable placement of students into instructional “tracks” that deny them full access to high-level instruction. Examples of this are found in the overidentification of students in special-education classes not held in a “least restrictive environment,” who are separated from their nondisabled peers and receive limited access to the general curriculum, or remedial classes or intervention programs that do not include critical features of the core curriculum.

The converse of this practice involves restricted access to gifted and talented programs, where entry is often determined based on personal references or scores on standardized tests that are not necessarily designed to identify special talents or potential. In other cases, students are placed in gifted programs that would constitute a standard program in any other school or find that they are enrolled in a school with no higher-level math courses, like calculus, and few Advanced Placement classes. Finally, schools sometimes deny students the benefits of the curriculum by not ensuring their course-taking sequences are aligned with college and career-ready graduation requirements or that students are staying on track to high school graduation.

A second way in which high-quality instruction can be denied to students is by excluding them from the school setting. This is sometimes done through suspensions, expulsions, or placements in alternative settings. And the research is clear that these exclusionary tactics are applied disproportionately to African American male students and are more often applied to African American males for behavior that others would not be sanctioned for. The problem is both the excess use of suspensions on the one hand and, on the other, the failure of schools to provide ongoing instructional support, homework assignments, or catch-up work for students while they are out or when they come back. In either case, the result is often an extraordinary number of lost instructional days for students, lower achievement, and wider gaps.

Unfortunately, these instructional practices—and others—go hand in hand with inequities in state and local funding and high-quality teacher distribution that stack the deck against African American male students and others in ways that aggravate our achievement gaps and impair our ability to raise student achievement.

A third way in which inequities in education are sometimes perpetuated to the detriment of African American males is through coursework that is low in academic rigor. In an effort to make the material more “accessible” to students, districts and schools sometimes devise courses, particularly in math and science, which fail to develop an understanding of complex ideas or concepts or that rely inordinately on worksheet drills on basic skills.

This use of watered-down instructional materials and strategies fails to equip students with the knowledge and skills they need to succeed in subsequent grades where the content becomes increasingly complex. In addition, the misuse of “leveled” texts can sometimes keep students from accessing more advanced material even if they are ready for it. A variation on this dynamic is sometimes seen with African American students who are also English-language learners. In these cases, students are sometimes placed in remedial reading or special-education programs because they are not equipped with the academic vocabulary or language-development skills that would grant them full access to the content being taught.

In each of these circumstances, African American males and others are denied quality instruction because some educators have low expectations for their performance. There are numerous ways in which these low expectations can be exhibited in addition to those cited above. When teachers, administrators, or parents fail to hold African American males responsible for not finishing assignments or completing homework, they are signaling that they do not expect high academic attainment. When schools use

¹. The achievement gap between Black and White students within each city did not necessarily narrow, however.
“safe harbor” accountability provisions under No Child Left Behind to avoid sanctions, they are lowering expectations for student performance. When district curriculum writers delete requirements from national or state standards for students to solve word problems or for teachers to teach comprehension skills, they are indicating low expectations for student achievement.

Many of these practices, of course, are driven by ideas about who is valuable in this society and who is not. Who we have high hopes for and for whom we have no hopes at all. Who we have high standards for and for whom we hold no great expectations. However, our job in urban education is not to reflect, affirm, and perpetuate the nation’s inequities or to let them define us or hold our kids back. Instead, our job is to overcome these barriers and teach all our children to the highest standards.

A more productive path forward for our African American male students would be for school districts to raise the standards of teaching and learning, while ensuring full access for all students to this rigorous instruction. Our urban schools should be routinely collecting and analyzing disaggregated data on the numbers of African American males enrolled in or placed in gifted and talented programs, Advanced Placement classes, special-education programs, remedial classes, and the like before the Office of Civil Rights does so, and devising comprehensive plans for ensuring more balanced results and the mechanisms by which staff are held responsible for ensuring those results. Moreover, districts should devise aggressive programs that build stronger system-wide pipelines of African American males enrolled in advanced coursework and minimize placements in remedial or special-education programming.

Urban school districts—and others—also need to be far more proactive about the degree to which they suspend or expel students, particularly African American male students, from classes or place them into alternative schools or programs with watered-down coursework and little chance of returning to regular core classes. Part of this effort ought to include the restricted use of harsh penalties for minor offenses, the elimination of zero-tolerance policies that ensnare disproportionate numbers of African American males, the pursuit of effective school-attendance policies and programs, and the development and universal implementation of positive behavioral strategies for students.

Finally, every urban school system ought to be devoting time and energy to ensuring that disproportionate numbers of African American males are not being placed in undemanding or low-level courses that deprive them of engaging academic content, as well as the skills they will need to succeed in college or careers.

3. Pursuit of Multilayered Reforms

In addressing the needs of African American males, acting at scale on behalf of these students also means acting on multiple fronts—at the school level, with turnaround strategies for improving failing schools that African American males are more likely to attend; at the individual level, with intervention strategies designed to identify and support at-risk students; and at the family and community levels, with social outreach strategies and out-of-school programming aimed at addressing the full range of academic, financial, and social challenges these students face.

Broad, system-wide reforms can accrue to the benefit of African American male and other students, but substantial numbers of schools and students may be left behind with such generalized strategies. In response to this need for additional layers of support, urban school systems are beginning to supplement their broad district-wide improvement strategies with more targeted school-by-school reforms.

Examples of these school specific turnaround strategies include Atlanta’s Carver High School, Philadelphia’s Clemente Roberto Middle School, Cincinnati’s Taft High School, Denver’s Bruce Randolph School, Boston’s Orchard Gardens K–8, and Miami’s Edison and Central High Schools. The importance of these and other school turnaround strategies supplementing district-wide efforts is that these chronically low-performing schools often enroll large numbers of African American students.

For instance, the racial composition of Great City Schools receiving federal Tier I or Tier II School Improvement Grants (SIG) is 55 percent African American, according to a study published last year by the Council of the Great City Schools (Lachlan-Haché, Naik, and Casserly 2012). In fact, some 7.4 percent of the nation’s African American students are enrolled in a Tier I or Tier II school, compared with only 1 percent of the nation’s White students. In all, approximately 2.9 percent of all students nationwide are enrolled in a Tier I or Tier II school.

These school-by-school turnaround efforts are often dramatic and comprehensive, involving the substantial replacement of staff and the overhaul of curriculum and instructional approaches. It is still too soon to tell whether these efforts are likely to result in overall academic gains among African American males in urban schools, but preliminary evidence indicates that these reforms are on the right track. Like the district-wide reforms, these school-by-school reforms are not necessarily focused specifically on African American students, but on the schools that these students are most likely to attend. In that

2. Under the federal School Improvement Grant (SIG) program, a Tier I school is either a Title I participating school that is identified for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under No Child Left Behind or has a graduation rate lower than 60 percent; or is a school that is at least as low achieving as the highest achieving of the previously described schools and has either not made adequate yearly progress for at least two consecutive years or has a reading and math proficiency rate in the lowest quintile of its state. A Tier II school can be any secondary school that is among the lowest five secondary schools that are Title I eligible or the lowest 5 percent of schools, whichever is greater; or is a Title I-eligible (but not participating) school that has a graduation rate lower than 60 percent over a number of years.
way, these school turnarounds have the potential—if done well—of boosting the academic attainment of African American male students in urban settings.

In addition to school-level supports, districts face the need to provide individualized support to struggling students—particularly those at risk of dropping out of school. For instance, dropout-prevention strategies—particularly those that are targeted at African American males specifically—have the potential to improve educational outcomes for these students given the large numbers that drop out of urban high schools every year. To an even greater extent, districts should meet the dropout crisis among African American males head-on by developing and instituting early warning systems based on the proven signs of academic failure. These systems can help identify students for support and intervention when they are starting to slip behind academically or behaviorally.

Finally, in addition to providing support to African American males via school turnaround efforts or individualized dropout-prevention strategies, there are a small number of urban school districts that are implementing targeted efforts that are specifically focused on the academic attainment of African American males. Examples include TechBoston Academy in Boston, B.E.S.T Academy High School in Atlanta, the 10 Boys Initiative in Boston, the MORE (Men Organized, Respectful & Educated) Initiative in Cincinnati, the Columbus City Preparatory School for Boys, the Male Academy Program in Long Beach, the Empowering Boys Initiative in New York City, the African American Male Achievement Program in Oakland, the Men’s Leadership Academy in Sacramento, and other efforts.

In addition, a number of charter schools, like the Urban Prep Academies that are chartered by the Chicago Public Schools, specialize in educating African American males in urban settings with relatively positive results. In general, there is some evidence from NAEP that district-authorized charter schools overall are making somewhat more progress with African American students generally than regular public schools are, but not necessarily with African American males specifically. Still, the strategy of dedicating specific schools or programs to the academic attainment of African American males—whether in regular or charter schools—may hold promise when they involve enhanced curriculum, strong interventions, and extended hours and instructional days.

All in all, these strategies dedicated specifically to the improvement of the academic attainment of African American students, particularly males, are relatively new, and it is unclear how these efforts will do over time. What is even less clear is the promise behind the possible layering of all three types of strategies—district reform, school-turnaround efforts, and dedicated tactics devoted specifically to African American male students. There is reasonable evidence to suggest that the three approaches could add substantial value one to another in improving the academic attainment of African American males in urban school districts. In combination with the other strategies discussed in this chapter and elsewhere in this volume, it is worth major city school systems attempting this kind of strategic layering of efforts more so than they currently do.

4. Family and Community Involvement

In addition to these multitiered academic-reform strategies, acting at scale to improve African American male achievement requires schools and communities alike to address the social, mental health, economic, family, nutritional, and health dimensions of the challenges African American males face. Many African American male students served in urban public schools are hampered by poverty and lack the family supports that other students rely on. These conditions are exacerbated by parents or guardians who often lack post-secondary education and who themselves have had bad experiences with schools. Moreover, weak coordination between schools and various social service agencies often denies these children of critical social supports and resources.

The need to address this array of challenges begins at birth, with early-childhood programming. Addressing achievement gaps that appear as early as pre-kindergarten means ensuring that African American children have access to high-quality preschool and early-childhood programs. These programs should set clear goals for the developmental progress of children, and provide a seamless transition to kindergarten and elementary school curricula.

Comprehensive district strategies also need to address out-of-school-time learning, promoting the participation of African American males in extracurricular programs that include physical development, academic enrichment, social and emotional support, positive relationships with adults and peers, and skills and leadership development. These opportunities should build on and enhance what students are learning during the school day, and further engage students in their own academic and social development.

Finally, recognizing the important—but partial—role schools play in shaping African American male development, districts need to establish and nurture constructive partnerships with families and communities based on shared goals and priorities for student enrichment. Parent outreach initiatives should seek to inform and engage parents in the work of supporting their children’s academic and social development. Districts should ensure that the parents and guardians are actively encouraged to participate in school and parent/teacher activities, as well as out-of-school learning programs.

Schools should also work with community organizations to create an even wider support network of mentors, role models, and opportunities for social engagement that reinforce joint school and community priorities such as high school graduation and college enrollment. Education may be the key to social and economic opportunity for these students, but schools alone cannot level the playing field.
Conclusion

Efforts to improve African American male outcomes work best when they are implemented in tandem to produce an overall culture of support and reform. Each element of reform is critical, but it is unlikely that, taken in isolation, any one of them can result in higher student achievement.

To move forward, urban school districts need to develop a strong, unifying vision for the improvement of African American male achievement, as well as multilayered strategies for translating this vision into practice. They need to ensure that African American males have full access to high-quality instruction during the school day, as well as ample opportunities for out-of-school enrichment. And they need to engage not only schools and teachers, but parents, social service agencies, and communities in order to create a wide network of people and institutions ready to intervene and support African American young men.

In sum, these strategies need to be as comprehensive as the challenges these students face, for it is typically the joint force of multiple reforms and how they are locked together and integrated that appears to make all the difference in improving student achievement. It will not be enough to purchase a program stamped “Black Male” and think the issue has been solved, when the remaining levers of the school system are stacked against these students.

As the preceding chapters of this volume discuss at considerable length, supporting African American male students will require a shift in the approach of educators and communities alike, as well as greater collaboration between schools, families, and community-based organizations on behalf of African American males.

Moreover, these efforts need to be rooted in a belief in the potential of our African American students, and a commitment to not only holding students to these high standards, but holding teachers, schools, leaders, and communities accountable for the academic attainment of all of our students.
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TO: The Honorable the Members of the Board of Regents

FROM: MaryEllen Elia

SUBJECT: Proposed recommendations from the Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color and Blue Ribbon Committee.
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SUMMARY

Issue for Decision

Should the Board approve the recommendations from the Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color and Blue Ribbon Committee?

Reason(s) for Consideration

Approval of recommendations.

Background Information

Over the past several years, diverse groups representing foundations, think tanks, and national/local leaders from a variety of arenas have emphasized an aggressive agenda dedicated to improving college readiness rates of our nation’s students. However, the goals expressed by these and other stakeholders are unlikely to be achieved in the absence of a greater policy and action emphasis that supports all students, especially students who are victims of the access and opportunity gap. These disparities are most apparent for boys and young men of color.
Throughout the educational pipeline, both nationally and locally, too many males of color do not realize their full potential in our nation's schools and school systems. Numerous reports and studies have indicated that too often our schools have not served these students well. In many cases, in fact, we have simply failed them.¹

Boys of color graduate at lower rates; drop out at higher rates; participate less in Advanced Placement courses and preparatory tests, such as the PSAT; and are suspended from school at dramatically higher rates than their white counterparts.² There is broad consensus that the nation's public schools need to vastly improve the quality of education these students receive in order to succeed in college and careers.

In February 2014, as part of his plan to make 2014 a year of action focused on expanding opportunities for all Americans, President Obama unveiled the “My Brother’s Keeper” initiative to address persistent opportunity gaps faced by young men of color and ensure that all young people can reach their full potential.

My Brother’s Keeper is focused on six milestones:

- Getting a Healthy Start and Entering School Ready to Learn – All children should have a healthy start and enter school ready – cognitively, physically, socially, and emotionally.

- Reading at Grade Level by Third Grade – All children should be reading at grade level by age 8 – the age at which reading to learn becomes essential.

- Graduating from High School Ready for College and Career – All youth should receive a quality high school education and graduate with the skills and tools needed to advance to postsecondary education or training.

- Completing Postsecondary Education or Training – Every American should have the option to attend postsecondary education and receive the education and training needed for the quality jobs of today and tomorrow.


• Successfully Entering the Workforce – Anyone who wants a job should be able to get a job that allows them to support themselves and their families.

• Keeping Kids on Track and Giving Them Second Chances – All youth and young adults should be safe from violent crime; and individuals who are confined should receive the education, training, and treatment they need for a second chance.

Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color

New York has not proven to be an exception in failing to provide males of color with the necessary tools to realize their full potential in our school systems. Male students of color are falling behind early in their educational careers. The opportunity gap that persists in the state is particularly evident when looking at state test results in elementary school. The percentage of African-American and Latino male students performing at proficiency is more than half that of their white male counterparts on 3-8 English Language Arts and Math state tests.

This trend continues into high school and beyond. Male students of color in New York drop out of high school at a rate that is more than double that of white male students, and of those who remain in school, less than 60 percent are graduating from high school. This is compared with 85 percent graduation rate for white male students. Of students who graduated in 2014, just 15 percent of African-American and 19 percent of Latino students were deemed ready to do college-level coursework compared to nearly 51 percent of White students.

In light of these staggering statistics (which demonstrate only a fraction of the ways in which boys and young men of color are disadvantaged in New York’s school system) and to address the goals of My Brother’s Keeper in New York, the Board of Regents established the Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color. Providing boys and young men of color with sustainable educational advancement opportunities is a matter of both social justice and economic importance. Providing boys and young men of color with greater access to the opportunities to earn a college degree or specialized postsecondary training can change the course of their lives and the lives of generations that follow.

The Workgroup was charged with developing a series of educational policy, budget and legislative recommendations that reflect informed judgment, innovative “best” practices and collaborative efforts that must be taken across the Pre K- 20 pipeline to right the inequities that have impeded access to educational opportunities, and ultimately life opportunities for boys and young men of color in New York State. The Workgroup
began its deliberations by identifying ten priority areas for action that was later refined to the following six:

1. Ensuring equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K through Grade 12 and Postsecondary Education;

2. Establishing prevention, early warning, and intervention services;

3. Executing differentiated approaches based on need and culture that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate;

4. Responding to structural and institutional racism;

5. Providing access to comprehensive and coordinated support services; and


Blue Ribbon Committee

The Workgroup convened a Blue Ribbon Committee (See attachment for member bios) consisting of state, regional and national experts including practitioners representing education Pre K—20, community based organizations, youth development, health, elected officials, and state-wide professional organizations (New York State United Teachers, United Federation of Teachers, Council of School Supervisors and Administrators, New York State Council of School Superintendents, New York State School Boards Association). The committee was charged with examining the educational challenges and opportunities boys and young men of color face on a daily basis and to recommend strategies to address the challenges and expand opportunities to increase their educational successes. The Blue Ribbon Committee held two full day work sessions; one at Medgar Evers College, CUNY in Brooklyn on September 29, 2015 in which 120 people participated; and one at Nazareth College in Rochester on November 9, 2015 in which 114 people participated. Participants included Blue Ribbon Committee members; Regents; Youth Panelists; Superintendents; members of the NYS legislature; College Presidents; the City of Rochester’s Mayor; members of the business community; community-based organizations; and Department staff.

Both Blue Ribbon Committee meetings included a morning Young People’s Panel which provided the panelists, based on their backgrounds and individual journeys, an opportunity to share their experiences, opinions, and recommendations on how schools can improve. A video of the meetings including interviews with the students was
developed by SED Communication Office. The morning panels were followed by six breakout sessions focused on each of the six priority areas. Blue Ribbon Committee members were asked to focus on recommendations that will help the Workgroup meet its charge of advancing a policy agenda for New York State on improving outcomes for boys and young men of color. Members were asked to categorize their recommendations into the areas of Educational Policy, Legislative Policy, and Budget Proposals.

**Workgroup and Blue Ribbon Committee Recommendations to the Board of Regents**

This is not the first time that we have had this kind of conversation in this country and state, but we are at a critical historical point in New York State to aggressively move beyond conversation to concrete action and policy change focused on: the recruitment, development, preparation and retention of professional staff with the necessary knowledge, pedagogical skills, and dispositions to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color; the importance of stakeholder relationships; the need to involve multiple institutions and agencies around a developmental/holistic approach; providing greater clarity on the roadmap leading to college and career success; and building equitable school systems. The Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color and the Blue Ribbon Committee submit the following set of recommendations to the Board of Regents with the goal of making New York State the first state in the nation to develop a statewide policy specifically addressing the goals of My Brother’s Keeper:

**Educational Policy**

1. Challenge school districts to support teachers, administrators, and pupil personnel services staff in ongoing professional development; and encourage college and universities offering teacher preparation programs to incorporate training that supports the expansion of knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to provide competent educational approaches and practices to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color.

2. Expand the definition of college and career readiness in order to establish a clear/discernable path to college and career success which addresses milestones for kindergarten readiness, early grade reading, middle grade math, high school graduation, post-secondary enrollment, and post-secondary degree completion.
3. Convene a statewide council to review and analyze New York State data and identify critical data elements the Board of Regents need to collect to assess and address issues related to the impact of racial disparities in service delivery. The council would present a written report on findings and recommended actions to the Board of Regents.

4. Create a Pre K–12 Statewide Office of Family and Community Engagement within the Department to create a statewide policy with best practices and guidance for school districts related to providing families, community-based organizations, and local associations with necessary information about the Pre K–12 educational process. This new Office would encourage their participation in improving outcomes for all students, with an emphasis on improving outcomes for boys and young men of color. The Office would support the development of training programs for parents, students and personnel on how to engage, interact, and sustain relationships. This office will also advocate services to educate parents and communities on how to navigate the educational system and query how they can support their child.

5. Encourage all school districts to offer boys and young men of color high-quality coursework such as Advanced Placement courses; Honors Programs; Science, Technology Engineering and Math (STEM) programs; Arts and Fine Arts Programs, among others. Research has shown that higher expectations result in higher performance; simply, students with high expectations perform at a higher level than those with low expectations.

6. Develop and implement a plan that would accelerate the rate of individuals of color, including a targeted emphasis on men of color, entering the teaching profession in New York State school systems, including creating pathways for school personnel to become teachers. The teaching force in the State should be as diverse as the student population being served by our schools.

7. Serve as a resource to school districts that accept the My Brother’s Keeper Challenge and implement a coherent cradle-to-college and career strategy aimed at improving life outcomes for boys and young men of color. Support their local planning process, assist them in developing successful strategies for action, and track their progress.

Legislative Policy and Budget Proposal

1. Create a New York State Interagency Joint Council to provide coordination among State Departments of Health, Education, the Office of Mental Health as
well as other State agencies to develop and monitor current and future policy, plans, and partnerships among schools, community-based organizations, and businesses to address important health and educational outcomes of students across the continuum of Pre K-16. Particular focus should be on schools and districts with greatest inequities and highest population of boys and young men of color. A singular person would lead the Joint Council, and be directly responsible to each agency head to ensure the goals of the Joint Council are met.

2. Provide $12.5 million for expanding family and community engagement programs, with a significant portion of the funding directed to school districts targeted at improving outcomes for boys and young men of color. Funds would also be needed for the Department to support the newly formed Office of Family and Community Engagement. (*State Aid and Budget Proposal*)

3. Create a set aside of $6.5 million in Career and Technical Education (CTE) funding for expanding participation rates of boys and young men of color in these types of programs. High quality CTE programs provide opportunities for students to demonstrate and reinforce both academic and technical skills as well as experiences in work-based learning where on the job mentoring can play a critical role in developing life-long, transferable employability skills for a constantly changing global economy. More examples of the NYS P-TECH\(^3\) model need to be implemented in our large cities to provide targeted populations with the opportunities to experience academic and career-focused success at an early grade level. Outreach in middle schools for such programs helps students and families make decisions on education, and careers that can transform lives. They provide work-based learning opportunities that enable students to connect what they are learning to real-life career scenarios and choices. Redefining college readiness to include these components will also help lead our students to successful rewarding employment and success in life. (*State Aid Proposal*)

4. Invest $5.5 million in funding the expansion and development of exemplary school models and practices that demonstrate cultural and linguistic responsiveness to the needs of boys and young men of color, e.g. schools that create a 9-16 continuum for the eventual placement of college graduates into teaching and other educational professions. Direct a majority of the requested

\(^3\) NYS Pathways in Technology Early College High School (NYS P-TECH) partnerships provide nearly 6,000 students with a high school diploma, college degree and pathway to a job. Students earn an associate degree at no cost to their families and will be first in line for jobs with participating companies when they graduate.
$5.5 million to the big four city school districts outside of New York City with a portion available through an RFP process to other districts. (*Budget Proposal*)

5. Dedicate an additional $5 million\(^4\) in Teacher Opportunity Corps funding to support the recruitment and retention of teachers of color by providing incentives such as tuition, fees, stipends, program development costs, faculty staff time, as well as creating pathways for school personnel to become teachers in high concentration of disadvantaged people. (*Budget Proposal*)

6. Provide $7 million as an incentive for school districts to accept the My Brother’s Keeper Challenge to encourage school districts to implement a coherent cradle-to-college and career strategy aimed at improving life outcomes for boys and young men of color. (*Budget Proposal*)

7. Provide $10 million for supporting school professional development programs that expand knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to provide competent educational approaches and practices to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color. (*State Aid Proposal*)

**Next Steps**

If the Board of Regents approves these recommendations, the Department will:

- Advocate for the inclusion of these recommendations during the upcoming State Budget process and Legislative Session;

- Advance the implementation of the Education policy recommendations, including conducting an assessment of tasks to be completed, establishing timelines, and identifying and securing any additional needed resources; and

- Continue to gather information from other areas across the State related to improving outcomes for boys and young men of color.

\(^4\) The 2016-17 Regents Budget Priority for Supporting NYS Access & Opportunity Programs discussed at the November 2015 Regents meeting requested $3 million for Teacher Opportunity Corps, this proposal would add an additional $5 million for a total requested increase of $8 million.
### Participant Biographies

**Mr. Antonio Aponte**
Antonio Aponte was born on the Lower East Side of New York City and attended boarding school at Moses Brown Prep in Providence, Rhode Island through the Boys’ Club of New York Scholarship program. Aponte went on to graduate from Syracuse University in 1979 with a dual major in Psychology/Theater.

In 1990, Antonio founded the Latino College Expo Inc. ([www.latinocolleger-expo.org](http://www.latinocolleger-expo.org)), whose mission is to elevate the educational aspirations of the Latino community by offering an array of specialized services sensitive to their needs. This organization, now in its 26th year, has become one of the most anticipated educational events in the Northeast having administered over $100,000 in grants to deserving H.S. students of Latino decent. In 2007, the Latino College Expo along with the NY Knickerbockers partnered to administer an academic grant to 5 deserving Latino high school seniors.

He came to the Boys’ Club of New York in 2001 as Director of Educational & Career Services for The Boy’s Club of New York responsible for the various programs offered, such as the Independent School Placement program; the Parents Advisory Board, the Independent Boarding School Fair, now in its 13th year to promote boarding school as an option; the ISP Prep program, which targets "boys of promise", in the 7th to 9th grade, providing them with academic, athletic, and cultural enrichment activities in preparation for competitive high school placement, college guidance and family engagement, Future Stars basketball tournament, and work force preparation.

**Honorable Jeffrrion Aubry**
Jeffrrion Aubry was elected to New York State Assembly in 1992 to represent the 35th Assembly District in Queens. He currently serves as Assembly Speaker pro tempore and is a member of the Ways and Means, Rules, Social Services and Governmental Employee committees, as well as the NYS Association of Black and Puerto Rican Legislators, the NYS Assembly and Senate Puerto Rican and Hispanic Task Force and the NYS Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic and Asian Legislative Caucus. Assemblymember Aubry also serves as a chairman of the Board of the national Council of State Governments’ Justice Center.

For 15 years, Assemblymember Aubry was the Chair of the Assembly Committee on Correction. As Chair, Assemblymember Aubry was a champion of progressive criminal justice reform, successfully shepherding through numerous pieces of critical legislation. His impressive record includes significant reforms to New York’s Rockefeller Drug Laws which returned sentencing discretion to judges in many drug cases and opened up alternatives-to-incarceration for people with substance abuse problems, prohibiting incarcerated people with serious mental illness from the devastation of solitary confinement, protecting the parental rights of incarcerated mothers and fathers with children in foster care, and reducing the once-exorbitant cost of collect telephone calls from prison.

**Mr. David C. Banks**
David C. Banks is the President/CEO of The Eagle Academy Foundation. He was the Founding Principal of The Eagle Academy for Young Men, the first school in a network of innovative all boys public school in New York City.

The Eagle Academy for Young Men, the first all-boys public high school in New York City in over thirty years, is a nurturing institution which believes that excellence, both in character and scholarship, opens doors and provides a bridge to equality. The first Eagle Academy for Young Men was established as part of New York City’s twenty-first century high school reform initiative, an accomplishment achieved through a unique partnership with One Hundred Black Men, Inc. After five years of sharing space with the Bronx School for Law, Government & Justice, it has since moved into its own, state of the art facility. Prior to becoming principal of Eagle, David served as the Founding Principal of The Bronx School for Law, Government & Justice.

David is a graduate of Rutgers University in New Brunswick, New Jersey and received his Juris Doctorate from St. John’s University. He earned his Educational Administration and Supervision certification in only one semester by attending three colleges: Brooklyn College, City College and Baruch College. Further, David Banks has also been chosen as one of seven Black Male Achievement Social Innovators nationwide by the Leadership and Sustainability Institute, for demonstrating tangible results in improving the life outcomes of African American men and boys. David Banks has joined the Board of Directors for the International Boys’ Schools Coalition. In May 2014, David Banks was awarded an honorary Doctorate degree from Wheelock College.
Mr. LeRoy Barr
LeRoy Barr is the UFT's assistant secretary and also serves as co-staff director, overseeing the daily operation of the union's extensive field organization and both the pedagogical and non-pedagogical staff at its central office. He received his bachelor's degree in finance from Howard University and his master's in education from Hunter College. As staff director, LeRoy plays a pivotal role in ensuring that the union operates at the highest caliber and that its chapter leaders, Executive Board members and delegates to the conventions of the union's state and national affiliates have the resources and training necessary to advocate successfully for the union's members. LeRoy began his teaching career in 1992 as a 5th-grade teacher and math specialist at PS 154 in Harlem. The school, struggling to meet state standards, was placed on a watch list and selected for inclusion in the Chancellor's District to receive intensive academic intervention and supports. LeRoy was PS 154's chapter leader and in that role worked with school leaders and staff to orchestrate an impressive turnaround. PS 154 was ultimately removed from the watch list and became a national model for successful schools. LeRoy was tapped to become a regional representative for the Chancellor's District, serving as the union's point person for the district citywide. He currently serves on the NYSUT Board of Directors, is a member of Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity, incorporated and has served as the Polemarch (president) of the fraternity's New York Alumni Chapter.

Dr. Luis Barrios
Fr. Luis Barrios, Ph.D., is a professor of Psychology, Criminal Justice, Latin American & Latino Studies and Ethnic Studies at John Jay College of Criminal Justice-City University of New York. He is also a member of Ph.D. faculties in social/personality psychology at the Graduate Center-City University of New York. Dr. Barrios is co-editor of Otras naciones: Jóvenes, Transnacionalismo y Exclusión (2008-FLACSO); co-editor of Gangs and Society: Alternative Perspective (2003-Columbia University); co-author of the Almighty Latin King & Queen Nation: Street Politics and the Transformation of a New York City Gang (2004-Columbia University), and co-author of Banished to the Homeland: Dominican Deportees and Their Stories of Exile (2011-Columbia University Press). Dr. Barrios is also the author of Josconiando: Dimensiones Sociales y políticas de la espiritualidad (2000-Editorial Aguilar), Pitirreaando: De la desesperanza a la esperanza (2004-Editorial Edil) and Coquiando: Meditaciones subversivas para un mundo mejor (2008-Editorial Búho), and other numerous articles. He is a former prisoner of conscience from the School of the American Watch (SOAW) movement. Dr. Barrios is a community activist, a priest activist, and a faculty activist.

Ms. Crystal Barton
Ms. Crystal Barton attended the State University of New York at Buffalo and is a graduate of Niagara University where she received her Bachelor of Arts Degree in English Education, a Master Degree in Educational Administration, a Master Degree in Counseling Education, a Post Master Professional Diploma in Counseling Education and a Certificate of Specialization in Minority Group Studies. She was one of the first African American females to enlist in the ROTC at Niagara University and received an honorable discharge. President Barton's professional education career spans from grades kindergarten through twelve as a Teacher and Counselor and grades four to twelve as an Assistant Principal and Principal. As a Teacher, she taught English and was an Inter-Group Relations Specialist for the Niagara Falls School District, prior to joining the Buffalo Public School District. As a Counselor, she worked in the Career Exploration Program. Currently and for the past twenty eight years, she has been the Principal of McKinley High School. A pioneer in education, she was appointed as Principal in 1987. She is the first female to be appointed as a Principal of a Career and Technical School in Buffalo Public Schools' then one hundred and forty nine year history.

Assemblyman Michael Blake
Michael Blake is the Assemblymember of the 79th District in New York State, representing parts of Concourse Village, Morrisania, Melrose, Belmont, Claremont and East Tremont. Blake is also the Founding Principal of Atlas Strategy Group, which focuses on policy and economic empowerment for communities of color. Michael recently served as the Director of Public Policy & External Affairs for Green For All, a national organization working to build an inclusive green economy strong enough to lift people out of poverty. The Bronx, New York native is a graduate of the Medill School of Journalism at Northwestern University. He is also an Exhorter in the African Methodist Episcopal Church and a Certified Lay Speaker in the United Methodist Church. Assemblymember Blake currently sits on the Banks, Correction, Election Law, Governmental Operations, Housing and Veterans Affairs Committees, as well as being the Chair of the Subcommittee on Mitchell-Lama. He also has the distinction of serving as the 2nd Vice-Chair of the Black, Puerto Rican Hispanic and Asian Legislative Caucus and is an active member of the Puerto Rican Hispanic Taskforce. Michael Blake holds membership at numerous distinguished organizations including; Board membership of IOTE, advisory board membership of SIEX (State Innovation Exchange), My Brother's Keeper Alliance, Universal Hip Hop Museum as well as being a member of the American State Legislators for Gun Violence Prevention.
Mr. Héctor Calderón
For over 20 years, Héctor Calderón has been a respected leader at El Puente, a community-based, holistic learning and development organization in Brooklyn. He is a co-founder and former principal of El Puente Academy for Peace and Justice, the human rights public high school in the nation established in 1993. Thanks in large part to Mr. Calderón’s vision, the Academy has received national recognition as a community-school model, dedicated to nurturing leadership for peace and justice through culturally responsive practices. His leadership as a Principal has made the Academy one of the highest achieving schools in the City of New York.

He served as the Director of Organizational Learning for the Expanded Success Initiative, which uses creative approaches to close the achievement gap for Black and Latino young men. He is the recipient of EL DIARIO’s “EL” award, which recognizes the 25 most influential Latinos in the tristate area. He has supported over 100 new Principals through the New School Intensive at the Leadership Academy.

Dr. Suzanne C. Carothers
Dr. Suzanne C. Carothers is a Professor of Education at New York University’s Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development in Department of Teaching and Learning. There she has served as Director of the Undergraduate Childhood/Childhood Special Education Program and Director of Undergraduate Studies. Prior to assuming her position at NYU, she was a Professor of Elementary Education at The City College of The City University of New York in Department of Education.

Formerly, as the Adult Literacy Program Director in the Office of the Mayor of the City of New York during the Ed Koch Administration, Dr. Carothers coordinated the New York City Adult Literacy Initiative. At that time, it was a ground breaking effort which was in the forefront of the movement to provide literacy instruction and services for adults with limited reading, writing, and English speaking skills. Having been an early childhood teacher, Dr. Carothers was the teacher of three-year-olds at the Bank Street School for Children. A graduate of Bennett College in Greensboro, North Carolina, she received her Master's Degree from Bank Street College of Education, and her Ph.D. from New York University. In 2001, Bank Street College of Education awarded her an honorary doctorate for outstanding achievement as a teacher, leader in education, and mentor.

Mr. Kevin S. Casey
Kevin Casey currently serves as executive director of the School Administrators Association of New York State (SAANYS), a position he has held since September 2006.

As executive director, Casey leads the largest association for school administrators in New York. He oversees all association services and operations, which include legal and labor relations services, professional development opportunities, communications and legislative and regulatory advocacy. He also acts as the primary liaison between SAANYS and other educational advocacy groups at both the state and national levels.

SAANYS represents approximately 7,000 school administrators, including principals, assistant principals, supervisors, and coordinators, among other titles. As a professional association, SAANYS provides direction, service, and support to its membership in its efforts to improve the quality of education and leadership in New York State schools. SAANYS is affiliated with the New York State Educational Conference Board, the National Association of Elementary School Principals (NAESP) and the National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP).

Casey is a graduate of the State University of New York at Brockport and the George Mason University School of Law in Virginia.

Dr. Kriner Cash
Dr. Kriner Cash is superintendent of the Buffalo Public Schools. A lifelong change agent and advocate for high quality education for all children, Dr. Cash comes to Buffalo with 20 years of executive leadership experience in education, and successful outcomes for children as past superintendent in Memphis, Tennessee; and Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts.

Dr. Cash points to the importance of releasing the potential in each child, and encourages administrators to set their own high goals and standards for student achievement, to be caring, and to use data as a powerful tool in intervention and guidance.
Mr. Michael Casserly
Michael Casserly has served as Executive Director of the Council of the Great City Schools since January 1992. Casserly also served as the organization's Director of Legislation and Research for 15 years before assuming his current position. As head of the urban school group, Casserly unified big city schools nationwide around a vision of reform and improvement; launched an aggressive research program on trends in urban education; convened the first Education Summit of Big City Mayors and Superintendents; led the nation's largest urban school districts to volunteer for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP); led the first national study of common practices among the nation's fastest improving urban school districts, and launched national task forces on achievement gaps, leadership and governance, finance, professional development, and bilingual education. He is currently spearheading efforts to boost academic performance in the nation's big city schools; strengthening management and operations; challenging inequitable state financing systems; and improving the public’s image of urban education. He is a U.S. Army veteran, and holds a Ph.D. from the University of Maryland and B.A. from Villanova University.

Dr. Sharon L. Contreras
An advocate for providing high quality education to all children, Sharon Contreras began her career as a high school English teacher in Rockford, Illinois, then went on to serve as a principal, area superintendent, assistant superintendent, and chief academic officer.

After holding senior leadership positions in large, urban school districts in Georgia and Rhode Island, Sharon became superintendent of the Syracuse City School District (SCSD) on July 1, 2011. Not only is she the first female superintendent of the SCSD, but she is also the first woman of color in the history of New York State to serve as superintendent of one of the Big 5 districts.

Sharon has degrees from the SUNY Binghamton and the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Sharon serves on numerous Boards and Councils, and is a well-regarded and sought after speaker at the state and national level and has won several awards for her civic and professional leadership.

Assemblymember Marcos A. Crespo
Marcos was elected to the New York State Assembly at the age of 28 and his hard work and outcome proven approach has allowed him to quickly move into a leadership positions. In April of 2013 he was appointed to the Chairmanship of the Assembly Task Force on New Americans. In March of 2015, he was appointed by Assembly Speaker Carl E. Heastie to the Chairmanship of the Assembly Puerto Rican/Hispanic Task Force and the Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment. In addition to his statewide official responsibilities, he has worked to ensure that the Bronx finally has Metro North train service that will cut commuting time into Manhattan by an hour for working families. He is one of the youngest members of the New York State Legislature and in his relatively short 4.5 years as a State legislator, Marcos has authored major pieces of legislation now law. Most recently, he has authored a new law to incorporate youth financial literacy training in the Summer Jobs program, authorizes insurance companies to offer rate discounts for homeowners who actively make their homes or property more resistant to natural disasters, provide tax incentives to families looking to adopt children in Foster Care, and to combat rising rates of obesity and asthma a new law he authored will require the NYS Department of Health to focus on these two chronic diseases via all its public health programs. He is a prolific bill draftee with dozens of bills passing one or both houses of the legislature during his tenure. Marcos is a graduate of John Jay College of Criminal Justice, is married and has two young daughters.

Dr. Rudy Crew
Rudy Crew is President of Medgar Evers College in Brooklyn, NY. A lifelong educator and author, Dr. Crew's career has spanned from the classroom to the chancellorship of the nation's largest school district, New York City Public Schools. In 2012, Dr. Crew was selected to serve as Oregon's first Chief Education Officer. Dr. Crew is a renowned leader and reformer who has made it a mission to improve student achievement, especially for poor and minority students. The Chancellor's District, The Parent Academy and the School Improvement Zone are among Dr. Crew's innovations that are considered national models for reform. These successful strategies have engaged parents, business and political leaders, and the community, ensuring students achieve higher levels of success and are prepared for the global challenges ahead. Dr. Crew has served on numerous boards, including the Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Communities in Schools, Al Shanker Institute, and the Public Education Network. He is the recipient of many awards, including the NAACP Educational Leadership Award, the Arthur Ashe Leadership Award, and the AASA National Superintendent of the Year. Dr. Crew's acclaimed book, Only Connect: The Way to Save Our Schools, continues to guide a vital public discussion.
Rev. Shawn Dove

Shawn Dove serves as the CEO of the Campaign for Black Male Achievement (CBMA), a national membership organization dedicated to ensuring the growth, sustainability and impact of leaders and organizations focused on improving the life outcomes of America’s Black men and boys. Dove launched CBMA at the Open Society Foundations in 2008 as the nation’s largest philanthropic initiative on this issue and has propelled CBMA into an independent entity, growing its membership to more than 5,000 leaders representing more than 2,000 organizations nationwide. Over his career, Dove has demonstrated catalytic leadership in helping to establish and develop the field of Black Male Achievement. He served as a lead organizer of the Executives’ Alliance to Expand Opportunities for Boys & Young Men of Color; helped broker a partnership between Open Society Foundations, Bloomberg Philanthropies and the City of New York to launch the Young Men’s Initiative; and helped seed the launch of the White House’s My Brother’s Keeper Initiative. Under Dove’s direction, CBMA’s efforts led to the creation of the nation’s first-ever Black Male Achievement fellowship for social entrepreneurs, and played a key role in the formation of Cities United, a national strategy that facilitates partnerships between mayors, municipal leaders and community-based organizations to eliminate violent deaths of Black men and boys in over 50 cities. Prior to heading up the Campaign for Black Male Achievement, Dove held over 20 years of leadership experience as a youth development professional, community-builder and advocate for children and families. A graduate of Wesleyan University and Columbia University Institute for Non-Profit Management, he was a recipient of the Charles H. Revson Fellowship at Columbia University and awarded a 2014 Prime Movers Fellowship for social movement leaders.

Chancellor Carmen Fariña

Carmen Fariña is Chancellor of the New York City Department of Education, the largest school district in the United States, serving 1.1 million students in over 1,800 schools. During her five-decade career, she has distinguished herself as an innovative teacher, principal, district superintendent, and deputy chancellor. As Chancellor—a role she assumed in January 2014—her priorities include meeting the needs of the whole child; engaging parents and families; ensuring collaboration, trust, and accountability within the system; and supporting rigorous Common-Core based standards to raise student achievement. During her first full year as Chancellor, Fariña oversaw the historic expansion of pre-kindergarten to more than 53,000 four-year-olds; expanded the Community School model to provide more wrap-around services to students and families; created a stand-alone, cabinet-level department to support English Language Learners; and increased funding for arts education and after-school programs for middle school students. She also developed new leadership models, transforming the role of superintendents to better support principals; launched the Framework for Great Schools, a holistic research-based approach to school improvement; and created Learning Partners and Showcase Schools, demonstration sites of excellence that allow schools and educators to work collaboratively to strengthen their practices. Fariña holds a Bachelor of Science from New York University and master’s degrees from Brooklyn College (Bilingual Education), Fordham University (Gifted/Arts Education), and Pace University (Administration and Supervision). She is co-author of A School Leader’s Guide to Excellence: Collaborating Our Way to Better Schools (Heinemann, 2008). Her honors include the Sloan Public Service Award (1989), presented annually to exemplary civil servants. In 2015, Crain’s New York Business selected Fariña as one of the 50 most powerful women in New York City and People en Español named her one of its 25 most powerful women. Also in 2015, Manhattanville College awarded her an honorary Doctor of Humane Letters degree.

Dr. Edward Fergus

Dr. Edward Fergus is Assistant Professor of Educational Leadership and Policy at Steinhardt School of Culture, Education and Human Development at New York University. Dr. Fergus current work is on the educational outcomes of boys of color, disproportionality in special education and suspensions, and school climate conditions for low-income and marginalized populations. Most recently served as Deputy Director of the Metropolitan Center for Urban Education (2004-2013) and during this time he directed the state contract with the New York State Department of Education on disproportionality in special education and suspension, and conducted numerous research studies on educational equity pertinent to boys of color, school practices, and evaluations of school programs. Fergus was also appointed in 2011 to the Yonkers Public Schools Board of Education (2011-2013), and currently serves on the Governor’s New York State Juvenile Justice Advisory Group (2010 – present), member of the PASE board (2013-present), and is an expert consultant for the U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division on Educational Opportunities (2014-present). He has published numerous articles on disproportionality in special education, race/ethnicity in schools, and is the author of Skin Color and Identity Formation: Perceptions of Opportunity and Academic Orientation among Mexican and Puerto Rican Youth. Dr. Fergus received a bachelor’s degree in Political Science and Education from Beloit College and a Doctorate in Educational Policy and Social Foundations from the University of Michigan.
Dr. Ronald Ferguson
Ronald Ferguson is an MIT-trained economist who has taught at Harvard University since 1985. His teaching and publications cover a variety of issues in education and economic development. In addition to teaching and writing, Dr. Ferguson consults actively with school departments and agencies at all levels of government on efforts to raise achievement levels and close achievement gaps. He is the creator of the Tripod Project for School Improvement, including the widely used Tripod Student Survey Assessments, the faculty co-chair and director of the Achievement Gap Initiative at Harvard University. He was also recently a faculty co-chair of the Pathways to Prosperity Initiative on adolescent-to-adult transitions at Harvard’s Graduate School of Education. After 31 years as full-time faculty, he has recently moved into an adjunct position.

Most of his research since the mid-1990s has focused on racial achievement gaps, appearing in publications of the National Research Council, the Brookings Institution, and the US Department of Education, in addition to various books and journals. His most recent book is Toward Excellence with Equity: An emerging vision for closing the achievement gap, published by Harvard Education Press. Dr. Ferguson earned an undergraduate degree from Cornell University and Ph.D. from MIT, both in economics.

Ms. Catalina R. Fortino
Catalina R. Fortino is a nationally recognized expert in professional development, curriculum, assessments and program development in the teaching profession and has distinguished herself among the educators who specialize in school reform for high-needs schools.

A NYSUT vice president since April 2014, Fortino was the vice president for education and the director of the United Federation of Teachers’ Teacher Center.

Before turning her focus to professional development and the Teacher Center, Fortino was an early childhood teacher, a teacher of bilingual early childhood special education and a bilingual educational evaluator.

She has been the chair of the NYSUT Bilingual Committee of Practitioners, the co-chair of the New York State Professional Standards and Practices Board for Teaching, a member of the New York State Committee of Title I Practitioners and a member of the American Federation of Teachers English Language Learners Taskforce.

Fortino has a bachelor of science degree in early childhood education and a master’s degree in special education and bilingual education Queens College. She also studied curriculum development at Teachers College.

Mr. Kesi Foster
Kesi Foster coordinates the work of the Urban Youth Collaborative. For the past three years, he has worked with parents and youth in communities of color, building campaigns to fight for educational justice in our public school systems. He has provided research, facilitation, outreach and organizing assistance for the New York City A+ Coalition and the P.S. 2013 campaign. Both campaigns were designed to challenge education policies that were negatively impacting students and families in New York City, and to shape New York City’s 2013 Mayoral election around an education vision shared by the community.

As Coordinator for the Annenberg Institute for School Reform’s Community Organizing and Engagement department, he supported the work of community organizations across the country working on equitable solutions for improving schools, such as Sustainable Community Schools and Ending the School-to-Prison pipeline.

Previously, he has held positions as the Project Coordinator for the Right to Vote Campaign housed at New York University’s Brennan Center for Justice, and in workforce development, facilitating skill building workshops for formerly incarcerated individuals and residents of New York City’s Public Housing.

Urban Youth Collaborative Founded in 2004, the Urban Youth Collaborative (UYC) is a coalition of base-building youth organizing groups who work in some of NYC’s most marginalized neighborhoods and who come together to carry out strategic citywide campaigns for education reform. We fight for reforms that put youth first and are based in racial justice and equity in the public education system.
Mr. W. Cyrus Garrett
W. Cyrus Garrett currently serves as the Director of New York City's Young Men's Initiative in the Office of the Deputy Mayor for Strategic Policy. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Garrett served as a political analyst for Everytown for Gun Safety, which is funded by former Mayor Michael Bloomberg. He arrived at Everytown for Gun Safety after he served as the Deputy Director of Cabinet Planning for President Obama’s 2013 Inauguration. Mr. Garrett earned that honor after serving as a Deputy Field Director in Ohio for President Obama’s 2012 re-election campaign. Prior to the 2012 Presidential Election, Mr. Garrett served as a speechwriter to the Assistant Secretary of the Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE) for the U.S. Department of Education. From 2009-2011, Mr. Garrett served as the special advisor to Director, Grayling Williams at the Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Counternarcotics Enforcement (CNE), and was the lead staffer on Western Hemisphere international affairs, congressional relations and conducting assessments on the flow of bulk cash and weapons from the U.S. into Mexico, Central and South America.

Prior to his appointment at Homeland Security, Mr. Garrett helped implement the inaugural White House Internship program during the spring of 2009. Mr. Garrett holds the distinctions as being one of the first 100 staffers to serve on President Barack Obama’s 2008 general campaign as a regional political director state of Indiana. Prior to joining the campaign, Cyrus Garrett worked as a gang and drug counselor at the Eldora State Training School for Boys in Iowa.

Mr. Garrett attended both the University of Illinois and University of Northern Iowa (UNI) and received his Bachelor’s degree in criminology from UNI. He is a native of Chicago, where he grew up with two older sisters.

Dr. Dorita P. Gibson
Dr. Dorita P. Gibson is the Senior Deputy Chancellor and Chancellor Farina’s second in command at the New York City Department of Education (DOE). With more than 30 years’ experience in the public school system, Dr. Gibson has served as a teacher, assistant principal, principal, regional and supervising superintendent, and deputy chancellor. As Senior Deputy Chancellor for the largest school system in the country, Dr. Gibson oversees all aspects of the DOE’s cluster and network system for schools, superintendents, support for struggling schools, District 79 programs, and school communications. Prior to this role, Dr. Gibson served as Deputy Chancellor for Equity and Access where she worked to eliminate racial, ethnic and socioeconomic disparities, and continued the DOE’s efforts to provide every child from all neighborhoods and communities across New York City with equal opportunity and access to high-quality programs.

Dr. Gibson holds a doctoral degree from New York University (NYU), and currently is an adjunct professor at the NYU Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development. Dr. Gibson has a Master’s Degree in Special Education from NYU and a Bachelor’s Degree in Psychology from Albertus Magnus College, and received a license in Montessori Education from DePaul University. As a Dansforth Scholar, she received a professional diploma in Administration and Supervision from The City College of New York.

Dr. Gibson and her husband are proud parents of daughters Caitlin and Kelsey.

Honorable Deborah Glick
Deborah Glick has served as the Assemblymember representing the Village-East and West, SoHo, Tribeca and north Battery Park City for 25 years. Deborah is serving her 12th term in the Assembly. Deborah has always been a strong proponent of the arts and has consistently advocated for increases in funding statewide because of her conviction that the arts play a crucial role in the economic and cultural life of New York City and New York State. Currently, she is the sponsor of several measures to protect the rights of tenants, as well as legislation to provide a tax credit to renters.

In February 2007, Deborah was appointed Chair of the Assembly’s Higher Education Committee, which oversees all private and public higher education institutions, financial assistance for students, and professional licensing. Deborah also serves on the Ways and Means, Rules, Governmental Operations and Environmental Conservation Committees. Deborah passed a crucial truth in student lending reform. Additionally, in 2013, Deborah was also named Chair of the Assembly’s Intern Program. Deborah has also been active in protecting animals. Deborah brought the Humane Society and NRA together to ban internet hunting. Finally, in 2014, Deborah passed a ban on the sale, possession, breeding or transportation of Eurasian boars. Many of these animals, popular in canned hunts, have escaped and propagate in the wild causing extensive damage to agricultural crops, and endanger the quality of our water supply. Deborah attended New York City public schools from K-12, and holds an MBA from Fordham University.
Ms. Cheryl Hamilton
Cheryl Hamilton is Assistant Provost and Director of the Educational Opportunity Program/Advancement on Individual Merit at Stony Brook University. The State University of New York's Educational Opportunity Program provides access, academic support and financial aid to students who show promise for succeeding in college but who may not have otherwise been offered admission. Available primarily to full-time, matriculated students, the program supports students throughout their college careers within the University.
Cheryl is also the founding co-director of the University’s Children’s Defense Fund Freedom Schools Program. Cheryl serves as Affirmative Action Chairperson for the Stony Brook University Chapter of United University Professions, and is the Co-Chair of the statewide UUP Affirmative Action Committee. As president of the Council of EOP Directors in SUNY, she is also on the Board of Directors of the Tri-State Consortium of Opportunity Programs in Higher Education. Cheryl actively serves on several campus-wide committees, and is on the Board of Directors of Herstory Writers Workshop.

Dr. Ramona Hernández
A native of the Dominican Republic, Dr. Ramona Hernández attended Lehman College until 1979, receiving a B.A. with honors in Latin American History and a minor in Puerto Rican Studies. She then pursued graduate work at New York University, earning an M.A. in 1982 in the Department of Latin American and Caribbean Studies, an M. Phil in 1995, and a Ph.D. in 1997, both in the Department of Sociology at the Graduate School of The City University of New York. Currently, Dr. Hernández is at The City College of New York where she holds the positions of Director of the CUNY Dominican Studies Institute, Professor of Sociology at the City College of New York and Doctoral Faculty at the Graduate Center of CUNY. Her research interests include the mobility of workers from Latin America and the Caribbean, the socioeconomic conditions of Dominicans in the diaspora, particularly in the United States, and the restructuring of the world economy and its effects on working-class people.
Dr. Hernández is a member of the Editorial Board of the Latino Studies Journal and Camino Real: Estudios de las Hispandades Norteamericanas. Among her forthcoming publications are Classic Knowledge in Dominican Studies, Book Series Editor (Routledge); “Manuela Aybar o Rodríguez, ‘La Deana,’” in Dictionary of Caribbean and Afro-Latin American Biography, edited by Henry Louis Gates Jr., Franklin W. Knight, and Steven J. Niven (Oxford University Press); and “Perspectives on Dominicans in New York City,” in Latinas/as in New York: Communities in Transition, 2nd Edition, edited by Angelo Falcón, Gabriel Haslip-Viera & Sherrie L. Baver (University of Notre Dame; with Silvio Torres Saillant).
At the moment, Dr. Hernández is writing a book about Dominican immigrants who came through the famous port of Ellis Island between 1892 and 1924; and editing the volume Narratives of Dominican Entrepreneurs in the U.S., with María Elizábeth Rodríguez and foreword by Alejandra Castillo.

Dr. Gerry House
Dr. Gerry House is president of the Institute for Student Achievement (ISA), a division of ETS, whose mission is to partner with schools and districts to transform public high schools so that students who are traditionally underserved and underperforming graduate prepared for success in college. Independent studies validate the positive effects of ISA’s model, including high college enrollment and persistence rates. In addition, the evaluation studies show that African American males in ISA schools outperform matched comparison students on key high school outcome measures, including attendance and graduation.
Prior to joining ISA, Dr. House was a teacher, counselor, principal and assistant superintendent and spent 15 years as school superintendent in Chapel Hill, North Carolina and Memphis, Tennessee. In both districts, Dr. House’s leadership resulted in greater equity and excellence for all students.
Dr. House is on the boards of the Alliance for Excellent Education, the Woodrow Wilson Fellowship Foundation and Adelphi University. She was recognized as the National Superintendent of the Year by the American Association of School Administrators (AASA), was a recipient of the Harold J. McGraw, Jr. Prize in Education and was presented with the first Alumni Leadership Award by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Education. In 2015 Dr. House was recognized by Diverse: Issues in Higher Education as one of 25 Outstanding Women in Higher Education.
Dr. House holds an Ed.D. in Education Administration from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, an M.A. in Counseling from Southern Illinois University, and a B.A. in English Education from North Carolina A.&T. State University.

Mr. Roderick Jenkins
Roderick Jenkins is a senior program officer with The New York Community Trust, managing over $3 million in youth development and workforce development grant making annually in New York City. Before joining The Trust, Jenkins was a social worker with the Harlem Children’s Zone’s Community Pride Project. Roderick holds an M.S.W. from the Silberman School of Social Work and a B.A from the Friends World program at Long Island University Brooklyn.
Honorable Judith S. Kaye
Judith S. Kaye joined Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP as Of Counsel in 2009, and focuses on litigation, arbitration and her "passion project": keeping kids in school and out of court. Before joining the firm, she served as Chief Judge of the State of New York and Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for 15 years, until her retirement in 2008. She was appointed to the Court in 1983 by Governor Mario Cuomo, becoming the first woman ever to serve on New York's highest court. Her judicial service thus spanned more than 25 years – from September 17, 1983 to December 31, 2008. Before her appointment to the bench, she practiced law at Sullivan & Cromwell, IBM and Olwin, Connelly, Chase, O'Donnell & Weyer, where she became the firm's first female partner. She is a graduate of Barnard College (class of 1958) and New York University School of Law (cum laude, class of 1962). She has received numerous awards recognizing her judicial and scholarly accomplishments. She was also selected for inclusion in Chambers USA: America's Leading Lawyers for Business 2015.

Dr. David E. Kirkland
David E. Kirkland, J.D., Ph.D. is an associate professor of English and Urban Education in the Department of Teaching and Learning at New York University’s (NYU) Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development. He also serves as Executive Director of The Metropolitan Center for Research on Equity and The Transformation of Schools. His transdisciplinary scholarship explores intersections among race, gender, and education. In so doing, he analyzes culture, language, and texts, and uses critical literary, ethnographic, and sociolinguistic research methods to answer complex questions at the center of equity in education. Dr. Kirkland taught middle and high school for several years in Michigan. He’s also organized youth empowerment and youth mentoring programs for over a decade. Dr. Kirkland has received many awards for his groundbreaking work in urban education, including the 2008 American Educational Research Association (AERA) Division G Outstanding Dissertation Award. He was a 2009-10 Ford Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow, a 2011-12 NAEd/Spencer Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow, and is a former fellow of the National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) Research Foundation’s “Cultivating New Voices among Scholars of Color” program. Dr. Kirkland has published widely. His most recent publications include: Black Skin, White Masks: Normalizing Whiteness and the Trouble with the Achievement Gap, English(es) in Urban Contexts: Politics, Pluralism, and Possibilities, and We Real Cool: Examining Black Males and Literacy. A Search Past Silence: The Literacy of Black Males, the fifth book that Dr. Kirkland has authored, co-authored, edited, or co-edited, is a TC Press bestseller and winner of the 2014 AESA Critics Choice Award and the 2014 NCTE David H. Russell Award for Distinguished Research in the Teaching of English. He is also co-editor of the newly released Students Right to Their Own Language, a critical sourcebook published by Bedford/St. Martins Press.

Mr. Timothy Kremer
Tim Kremer has been the executive director of the New York State School Boards Association (NYSSBA) since 1998. Before joining the NYSSBA, Mr. Kremer was employed by the Ohio School Boards Association for nearly 20 years. Mr. Kremer leads the NYSSBA staff in fulfilling its mission of providing advocacy, information, leadership development programs and customized consulting services for the state’s nearly 700 school boards. He is a frequent spokesman for NYSSBA in addressing the membership, media, legislative bodies and other related organizations. Mr. Kremer's areas of expertise include association leadership, legislative advocacy, school board governance, executive recruitment and development, organizational change and employee relations. Mr. Kremer has co-authored three handbooks: one on the school board presidency, a second on the school board/superintendent relationship and a third regarding school district management team operations. Mr. Kremer has a master's degree in public administration from Ohio State University, where he specialized in human resource administration and labor relations. He also earned a bachelor's degree from Kent State University, majoring in political science.

Mr. Khary Lazarre-White
Khary is a social entrepreneur, educator, non-profit executive, writer and attorney. In 1995 he co-founded The Brotherhood/Sister Sol, a nationally renowned, comprehensive youth development and educational organization that provides rites of passage programming, after school care, counseling, summer camps, job training, college preparation and scholarship, employment opportunities, community organizing training, legal representation, and month long international study programs to Africa and Latin America. Khary has extensive experience as a public speaker across the country, writes regular opinion pieces for The Huffington Post, and essays for publications that have included NYU Press, Nation Books, and MSNBC.com. He has appeared as a regular guest contributor on MSNBC, on CNN, and widely on other media sites as well. Khary has been recognized with an array of awards including from Oprah Winfrey, Ford Foundation, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Black Girls Rock, Andrew Goodman Foundation, Union Square Awards and Brown University and the Robert Crawford Achievement Prize. Khary received his Bachelors in Arts, with honors, from Brown University, and his Juris Doctorate from the Yale Law School where his focus was international human rights law and constitutional law.
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Dr. Andrew Livanis
Andrew Livanis is a NYS certified school psychologist, a NYS licensed psychologist, a Board Certified Behavior Analyst, and NYS licensed Behavior Analyst. Dr. Livanis has worked in a variety of settings and has founded large scale behavioral programs in public and private schools to include children with autism spectrum disorder as well as emotional and behavioral issues. He has worked with other schools to: implement curricula to improve the language functioning of children with autism; implement school-wide positive behavioral supports for all children; and engage in program review and analysis. Dr. Livanis is the Co-chair of the Department of Counseling and School Psychology at LIU in Downtown Brooklyn, and serves as the founder and director of the Applied Behavior Analysis program. Dr. Livanis has spent a great deal of time developing the combined Behavior Analysis in School Psychology program at LIU-Brooklyn (BASP), which focuses on preparing school psychologists who are behavior analysts. Dr. Livanis is the founder and chief psychologist of the Astoria Behavioral Clinic (www.astoriabehavior.com) which provides direct psychological services for children with developmental and emotional disabilities, parent and school consultation, as well as supervision of BCBA candidates.

Mr. Ernest Logan
Ernest Logan worked for nearly 25 years in the NYC public schools. He began teaching English at PS 224, D-19, Brooklyn soon after graduating from SUNY Cortland and within five years he was a curriculum writer for the Office of Curriculum and Development. In 1983, he became the Assistant Principal at JHS 263, D-23, Brooklyn, and in 1991, he was appointed as Principal of I.S. 55, D-23. In 1997 he took a leave of absence to join CSA’s staff as a Field Service representative. Rising through the ranks, he was elected President in November 2006 by acclamation and again in November 2009 and 2012. He began his third term as CSA’s President on Feb. 1, 2013.

In addition to his responsibilities at the union, Mr. Logan is a board member for New Visions for Public Schools, the Research Alliance for New York City Schools, the NYC Independent Budget Office and the Council for Unity.

Mr. Logan is also the Executive Vice President of CSA’s national union, The American Federation of School Administrators (AFSA) AFL-CIO and, as a member of the General Executive Board, Chairs its Legislative Committee.

Ernest and his wife, Beatrice, retired high school guidance counselor, have established the Ernest A. Logan Scholarship at SUNY Cortland, which provides tuition assistance for NYC public school students.

Ernest is a Deacon at the Mount Calvary Baptist Church in Harlem, where he also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

Dr. Barbara Martin
Barbara Martin is the Director of the COPE Program at Bronx Community College. College Opportunity to Prepare for Employment Program (COPE) is a collaboration between The City University of New York (CUNY) and The City of New York Human Resources Administration (HRA) that provides supportive services to CUNY students receiving public assistance.

Ms. Martin previously worked in the Department of Social Work at Harlem Hospital Center as well as in the Departments of Pediatrics, Obstetrics, Gynecology and Adolescent Family Planning. She received her Master’s in Social Work from Atlanta University and completed post graduate work at the Columbia University School of Social Work. Ms Martin has received numerous awards and recognitions for her work from a variety of organizations including the Coalition of 100 Black Women, the Jack and Jill Foundation, and the Bronx Community College Black Male Initiative project.

Dr. Félix V. Matos Rodríguez
Félix V. Matos Rodríguez, the tenth president of Queens College of the City University of New York, has a career spanning academia and the public sector.

A cum laude graduate in Latin American Studies from Yale University, Matos Rodríguez received his PhD in history from Columbia University. He taught at Yale, Northeastern University, Boston College, the Universidad Interamericana–Recinto Metro, City College, and Hunter College, where he also directed the Center for Puerto Rican Studies, one of the largest and most important Latino research centers in the United States.

Subsequently, Matos Rodríguez was appointed senior social welfare and health advisor to the governor of Puerto Rico. From 2006 to 2008, Matos Rodríguez served as the Commonwealth’s cabinet secretary of the Department of Family Services. Matos Rodríguez returned to higher education in 2009 as president of Eugenio Maria de Hostos Community College/CUNY. Matos Rodríguez has an extensive publication record in the fields of Women’s, Puerto Rican, Caribbean, and Latino Studies and Migration.

A member of the Council on Foreign Relations, Matos Rodríguez is also an Aspen Institute Ascend Fellow. He also serves on the boards of the Hispanic Association of Colleges and Universities (HACU), and Phipps Houses.
Dr. Aletha Maybank
Aletha Maybank, MD, MPH is highly proficient physician with demonstrated excellence in public health, health communications/media, preventive medicine, and leadership. Currently, Dr. Maybank is an Associate Commissioner at the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and serves as the Founding Director of the Center for Health Equity. The newly created Center for Health Equity aligns efforts in advancing health equity across the City and ensures the deployment of resources to reduce health and mental hygiene disparities across all neighborhoods in NYC. The Center focuses on these key areas: leveraging community assets to better integrate primary care and public health to serve the health needs of communities; building inter-agency collaboration to implement multi-sectorial approach to addressing the root causes of health disparities; and increasing organizational capacity that strengthens the agency's lens in addressing health equity.

Previously she led the Brooklyn District Public Health Office as Assistant Commissioner in the NYC Health Department. Prior to her tenure at the NYC Health Department, Dr. Maybank successfully launched the Office of Minority Health as its Founding Director in the Suffolk County Department of Health Services in Long Island NY.

Dr. Maybank is an Assistant Professor in the Masters in Public Health Program at Long Island University Brooklyn Campus teaching on topics related to health inequities, health communications, public health leadership and management, and community organizing in health.

Dr. Carlos N. Medina
Carlos N. Medina is the Chief Diversity Officer and Senior Associate Vice Chancellor for Diversity, Equity and inclusion at SUNY. He is one of only a handful to hold the position within higher education systems nationally. Since August 2011, Medina has led SUNY's efforts in promoting and advancing the University's diversity goals and ensuring that they are properly captured within all university policies and procedures. He provides leadership and strategic direction to SUNY campuses in connection with the recruitment and retention of faculty, staff, and administrators who come from groups within our society that are underrepresented in higher education and in SUNY.

He currently serves as co-chair of the SUNY-wide Diversity Task Force leading the charge of identifying new ways in which the System's diversity can be increased to better reflect and be aligned with that of New York State. He is also a member of the Chancellor's cabinet assisting with strategic planning leading to implementation of SUNY-wide policies and initiatives.

Honorable Velmanette Montgomery
Velmanette Montgomery is recognized for her effective leadership and steadfast commitment to her constituents of north and central Brooklyn as well as to New Yorkers statewide.

In her role as the Ranking Democrat on the Senate Committee on Children and Families, Senator Montgomery is committed to helping young people achieve positive outcomes through reform of the State's juvenile justice, foster care and adoptive care systems.

Senator Montgomery continues to be one of New York's leading proponents of school-based health care as a model system for delivering comprehensive primary and mental health services to children of all ages, in the school setting where youth spend most of their day. The Senator's Teen Health Agenda includes legislation that requires, among other things, the teaching of age appropriate, medically accurate sexuality education in kindergarten through 12th grade. Senator Montgomery is the co-sponsor of the law that allows for the certification of nurse practitioners, and she spearheaded the campaign to stem the spread of AIDS among intravenous drug users through legalized needle exchange programs. As a respected advocate for criminal justice reform and a member of the Senate Committee on Crime Victims, Crime and Correction, Senator Montgomery sponsored a law that prevents New Yorkers from being arbitrarily denied a license to barber or practice cosmetology just because they spent time in prison. She also authored a law that prohibits the shackling of a pregnant woman in prison while being transported to the hospital to deliver her baby.

Other recent Montgomery laws include a measure that prevent the NYS Office of Children and Families from posting the home address or personal information of day care providers on the Internet; a proposal that provided over $400 million in federal funding for the repair of NYCHA buildings, and a law that prohibits employers from discriminating in the granting of funeral or bereavement leave to its employees who are in a committed same-sex relationship.
Honorable Walter T. Mosley

Walter T. Mosley was elected in November 2012 to represent Brooklyn's 57th district in the New York State Assembly. As former Second Vice Chair of the Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic, and Asian Legislative Caucus and a member of the committees on Housing, Codes, Corrections, Banks, and Education, Assemblyman Mosley is dedicated to helping struggling working families and giving his community a powerful voice in Albany. Assemblyman Mosley was recently appointed chair of the sub-committee on Regulated Mortgage Lenders. Assemblyman Mosley is also a proud member of the New York State Caucus of Environmental Legislators, State Legislators Against Illegal Guns (SLAIG), the American State Legislators For Gun Violence Prevention (ASLGVP), and the bi-partisan coalition, Leaders Eradicating All Poverty (LEAP).

In addition to serving as a member of the Assembly, Mosley is also the District Leader and New York State Committeeman for the 57th District. Prior to being elected, Assemblyman Mosley served as the Special Assistant & External Relations Specialist to the NYS Senate Minority Leader, where he facilitated internal and external governmental affairs matters on behalf of the Senate Minority Conference.

Assemblyman Mosley received his bachelor's degree in Criminology, with a minor in African Studies and American History, from The Pennsylvania State University at University Park, graduating with honors for his exceptional academic performance. In 1998, then received his law degree from the historic Howard University in Washington D.C. Currently, Assemblyman Mosley serves as an adjunct professor at Berkeley College, teaching courses in criminal justice, government, and media relations.

Dr. Khalil Gibran Muhammad

Khalil Gibran Muhammad is a Visiting Professor at the CUNY Graduate Center and the Director of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture, a research division of the New York Public Library and one of the world’s leading research facilities dedicated to the history of the African diaspora. Khalil holds a doctorate in US history from Rutgers University (2004) and is a former associate professor of History at Indiana University. He is the author of The Condemnation of Blackness: Race, Crime, and the Making of Modern Urban America (Harvard), which won the 2011 John Hope Franklin Best Book award in American Studies. He is a contributing author of a 2014 National Research Council study, The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring Causes and Consequences (National Academies Press). His research focuses on racial criminalization in modern U.S. History.

Khalil’s scholarship has been featured in a number of national print and broadcast media outlets, including the New York Times, New Yorker, Washington Post, NPR and MSNBC. Muhammad is a former associate editor of The Journal of American History and prior Andrew W. Mellon fellow at the Vera Institute of Justice.

He is the recipient of numerous awards and honors for his commitment to public engagement, including Crain Business Magazine’s 40 under 40 (2011), Ebony Power 100 (2013) and The Root 100 of Black Influencers (2012 and 2013). He also holds two honorary doctorates from The New School (2013) and Bloomfield College (2014). He serves on the board of The Barnes Foundation, and the editorial boards of Transition magazine and the North Star Series of John Hopkins Press.

Mr. Jai Nanda

Jai Nanda is the Founder and Executive Director of the Urban Dove and Urban Dove Team Charter School. Urban Dove, founded in 1998, is an award winning non-profit organization that provides positive, educational programs to New York City's at-risk youth. Urban Dove serves hundreds of children each year, using a unique combination of peer mentoring, recreation and education.

Jai founded Urban Dove TEAM Charter School in 2012. Located in Bedford-Stuyvesant, Urban Dove TEAM is an incredibly unique and innovative alternative high school for Overage/Under-credited students who are at high-risk of dropping out. UD Team is the only school of its kind – serving exclusively OA/UC students under the age of 16. UD Team uses a unique Sports-based Youth Development framework to re-engage students and put them back on track to graduation and post-secondary education. Jai was born and raised in New York City. After completing a public school education, he graduated from the University of Michigan. Before founding Urban Dove, Jai worked as a teacher in the New York City school system both at the high school level and at the City University of New York.
Mr. Michael T. Nettles
Michael T. Nettles is Senior Vice President and the Edmund W. Gordon Chair of ETS’s Policy Evaluation & Research Center (PERC), and heads up the Early Childhood Research Center. Nettles has a national reputation as a policy researcher on educational assessment, student performance and achievement, educational equity, and higher education finance policy. His publications reflect his broad interest in public policy, student and faculty access, opportunity, achievement and assessment at both the K–12 and postsecondary levels.

His current professional activities include serving as a member of the National Research Council Board on Testing and Assessment (BOTA). Nettles is a member of the Bank Street College of Education Board of Trustees. He also serves on the Board of the National Science Foundation-sponsored Center on Research on Teaching and Learning (CRTL); the Joint Advisory Board for Education Research Centers in the state of Texas; the Board of the Center for Enrollment Research, Policy, and Practice (CERP) at the University of Southern California; the National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment (NCIEA), Inc.; the Harvard University Medical School’s Office of Diversity and Community Partnership Research Council; and the Advisory Board of the Community Links Foundation. Nettles also served for a decade on the National Assessment Governing Board, which oversees and develops policies for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP).

Nettles earned a B.A. in political science from the University of Tennessee. He received a master’s degree in political science and higher education, and a Ph.D. in education from Iowa State University.

Honorable Catherine Nolan
Catherine Nolan represents the 37th Assembly District in Queens County, which includes the historic New York City neighborhoods of Sunnyside, Ridgewood, Long Island City, Queensbridge, Ravenswood, Astoria, Woodside, Maspeth, Dutch Kills and Blissville. She was first elected to the Assembly in 1984.

A resident of the district for most of her life, she is a graduate of St. Aloysius R.C. School and Grover Cleveland High School. Ms. Nolan graduated from New York University cum laude with a B.A. degree in Political Science. Speaker Sheldon Silver appointed Ms. Nolan to Chair the Assembly’s Committee on Education in 2006. Prior to Chairing the Education Committee, Ms. Nolan Chaired the Assembly’s Committee on Banks from 2003.

Ms. Nolan also Chaired the Committee on Labor. In addition, she serves on the Ways and Means Committee, the Veterans’ Affairs Committee and the Corporations, Authorities and Commissions Committee. She also serves on the Assembly Majority Steering Committee, and the Rules Committee. Prior to that, she chaired the NYS Assembly Commission on State-Federal Relations, where she focused on fighting for more federal aid for public transportation. Assemblywoman Nolan is also a proud past Chair of the Legislative Women’s Caucus. Assemblywoman Nolan is active in many civic associations in her district where she has been the recipient of numerous awards.

Mr. Gregory Owens
Gregory Owens is a licensed master social, and has worked for the NY State Division for Youth/Office for Children and Family Services for 28 years. He is currently the Director of Strategic Partnerships and Collaborations in the Division of Child Welfare and Community Services.

Mr. Owens worked as a treating clinician for the National Football League, is a trainer and consultant in leadership development, mentoring, and effective approaches for working with young Black males. He has consulted on racial disproportionality in the juvenile justice systems in Ohio, Michigan and Hawaii.

He has participated as a member of the Open Society Foundation Campaign for Black Male Achievement, the national advisory board on Improving Outcomes for African American Males in the Child Welfare System: Identifying Effective Programs and Services, and the Alliance Network of Social Service Administrators Committed to Racial Equity, and is an advisory board member of the Child Welfare Adoption Institute (CWAII): Developing and Supporting Emerging Leaders of Color.

Mr. Owens received his BA in Sociology from Rider University and a master’s degree in Social Work from the University of Pennsylvania. He is married, has a daughter in college, and is a Deacon at the Macedonia Baptist Church in Colonie, NY.
### Dr. Roberto Padilla

Roberto Padilla is the Superintendent of the Newburgh Enlarged City School District in Newburgh, New York. From humble beginnings as a child, Padilla learned early on in his life the true meaning of resiliency and grit. He is a life-long educator having been a teacher, assistant principal, principal, coach, and leadership consultant. Even today, he considers himself a teacher who just happens to be a superintendent. Padilla was a teacher and principal in New York City. He is widely recognized for his leadership in turning around failing schools and supporting school district leaders both nationally and internationally on change leadership. He considers himself to be an equity warrior whose purpose is to give all children a fighting chance at having a productive life. He is committed to placing effective teachers and school leaders in every school.

Dr. Padilla was appointed to Harvard University’s Principal Center's advisory board where he also has served as a group leader and moderator. He received his doctorate from Fordham University. Padilla is a graduate of the Association of Latino Administrators and Superintendents Academy and the AASA Superintendent National Certification program. He has served on many non-profit Boards and leadership panels throughout his career.

### Honorable Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes

Assembly Member Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes, has faithfully served New York State’s 141st Assembly district since 2003. An advocate with clear and principled service, she has always put policy before politics. In February 2015, she was appointed as Chair of the Assembly’s Committee on Governmental Operations. From 2013 to 2015, she was elected as Chair of the New York State Association of Black & Puerto Rican Legislators, with the responsibility of organizing the annual conference weekend to raise college scholarship funds for students of color.

As a graduate of Buffalo Public Schools including Buffalo State College, she earned a Master’s Degree in Student Personnel Administration and a Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education. Assemblymember Peoples-Stokes attributes her achievements and abilities to her strong faith and family. She is a member of both True Bethel Baptist Church and St. Lukes AME Zion Church and currently resides in Buffalo, New York with her husband.

### Dr. Robert Reidy, Jr.

Dr. Robert Reidy, Jr., is the Executive Director of the New York State Council of School Superintendents, a statewide professional association for chief school officers. THE COUNCIL develops excellence in educational leadership, advocates for high quality services to children and promotes the importance of leadership in improving public education. Prior to his work at The Council, Dr. Reidy served as a Chief School Administrator for 32 years in Pennsylvania, New Hampshire and New York State. His service in New York included assignments in the Capital, Southern Tier and Lower Hudson regions. Dr. Reidy received his Ph. D. from the University of Connecticut, his M. A. from Central Michigan University and his Bachelor’s degree from Worcester State College. He is a former adjunct professor at the College of Saint Rose and Fordham University. He is also a former Charles Stewart Mott Foundation fellow. He and his wife, Michelle, have two children – Christopher and Danielle. Chris and his wife, Molly, have a four year old daughter.

### Dr. Luis O. Reyes

Luis O. Reyes, Ph.D., was appointed as a Research Associate at the Center for Puerto Rican Studies, Hunter College, CUNY, in 2010. He serves as Centro’s Director of Education. Dr. Reyes has served as Assistant Professor in various education departments, including Lehman, Hunter, Brooklyn and Baruch Colleges, CUNY, and at Long Island University, Brooklyn Campus. Dr. Reyes received his Ph.D. in Social Sciences in Education from Stanford University in California. Dr. Reyes was Aspira of New York’s Director of Research and Advocacy in the 1980s. He served as a Member of the New York City Board of Education in the 1990s. He coordinated the Coalition for Educational Excellence for English Language Learners (CEEELL) between 2002 and 2009, and is a founding member of the Latino Coalition for Early Care and Education (LCECE).

### Dr. Frank D. Sanchez

In January 2011, Dr. Frank D. Sanchez was appointed as the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs at the City University of New York (CUNY). Today, CUNY is the largest urban, public university in America serving over 500,000 students across 24 colleges. For over 20 years Dr. Frank D. Sanchez has worked to advance campus student services, programs and policies aimed at increasing student success and degree completion.

Dr. Sanchez has presented at numerous national conferences and consulted on an assortment of content areas including student recruitment, retention, policy, evidence-based practice and diversity with a devoted emphasis on serving low income and first-generation students.

Dr. Sanchez holds a Bachelors of Arts degree in Psychology with minors in Communication and Chicano Studies from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, a Master of Science degree in Student Affairs and Higher Education from Colorado State University and a Doctor of Philosophy in Higher Education Administration with a minor in Learning, Cognition and Instruction from Indiana University-Bloomington.
Mr. Jabali Sawicki
Jabali Sawicki is an Instructional Designer at Zearn, a non-profit digital learning organization. Prior to joining Zearn, Jabali served as the founding principal and Head of School of Excellence Boys Charter School, of Bedford Stuyvesant located in Brooklyn, NY. As a member of Uncommon Schools, Excellence prepares its young boys to enter, succeed in, and graduate from outstanding college preparatory high schools and colleges. Prior to founding Excellence, Mr. Sawicki taught Science in Boston, MA at Roxbury Preparatory Charter School, one of the state’s most successful urban charter schools. Jabali serves on the National Board of Summer Search (a leadership development program focused on low-income youth) and the Oprah Winfrey Leadership Academy in South Africa.
Jabali is the founder and author of *Black Man Teach*, a blog celebrating the work of Black male teachers. Jabali is a graduate of Oberlin College where he received a dual degree in Biology and Philosophy. He received his Master’s Degree in Educational Administration from Teachers College, Columbia University.

Dr. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz
Dr. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz is an Associate Professor of English Education at Teachers College, Columbia University, Yolanda earned her Master’s degree from Teachers College, Columbia University, and her Ph.D. from New York University. Concerned with Equity Pedagogy, her work specifically examines the racial literacy knowledge of teachers, the development of culturally responsive pedagogy, and the literate identities of Black and Latino male secondary students. Dr. Sealey-Ruiz is co-founder of UMOJA Readers and Writers at a New York City high school, where she facilitates a critical thinking and writing course for adolescent males of color. She provides professional development on culturally responsive education for teachers and administrators around the country. Prior to joining the faculty at Teachers College, Dr. Sealey-Ruiz was a Research Associate with New York University’s Metropolitan Center for Urban Education, and she worked in Corporate America for 13 years. She is founder of Racial Literacy Roundtables Series at Teachers College, an informal discussion group where graduate students and national scholars engage the Teachers College, Columbia University community on issues of race and diversity in education.

Ms. Iesha Sekou
Iesha Sekou, a South Bronx native, is the founder and CEO of Street Corner Resources Inc., a not for profit 501(c)(3), founded in 2007. Its mission is to bring education, employment, training and other resources to the community.

"We must give young people an outlet to redirect their energy," is what you can hear her say on any given day. In conjunction with Harlem Renaissance High School, the Street Corner Resources I AM Peace studio was erected. It has become a safe-haven where young people go to discuss everyday issues that constantly plague inner-city youth. The studio also serves as a place for youth to safely gather and create positive-driven music through self-expression.
Iesha works tirelessly to help today’s youth believe in their own greatness, despite the negative images they are bombarded with on a daily basis. As founder of Street Corner Resources, Inc., Iesha encourages the use of innovative methods to challenge youth to improve their lives. "Rekindling the inner spirit to succeed" and "To be or not to be," are some of Street Corner Resources’ flagship workshops.

Iesha is documented in Columbia University’s Activist Women Voices, highlighting her work with young women and her efforts to assist them in avoiding teen pregnancy while acquiring a better self-image. She is also the host of Street Corner Resources LIVE, a community affairs radio show which airs every Monday from 8-9 a.m. on WHCR 90.3 FM.

Mr. Diallo Shabazz
Diallo Shabazz is a global education advisor and sustainability expert who has worked with government agencies and NGOs in North America, Asia, and Africa. He is currently Executive Director of One Hundred Black Men, an organization in New York committed to transforming economic and social policy, and launching independent programs and organizations that serve low-income communities. He previously served as Senior Director of Sustainability Education at the NYC Department of Education to support the nation’s largest school system on structuring public/private partnerships to improve career and technical education. From 2011 - 2013, Diallo was appointed as a North American Civil Society Representative for the United Nations Environment Programme, which he represented at ministerial-level meetings on the Post-2015 Agenda and sustainable development goals. Diallo formerly worked for the environmental organization Solar One on green workforce development, and also previously worked for the NAACP where he specialized in youth development and civil rights. An avid speaker and moderator, Diallo has hosted numerous forums and appeared on various media outlets including UN Web TV, New York Public Radio, and ABC's 20/20.
Dr. Deborah Shanley
For the last 20 years, Deb Shanley served as a Dean at both Brooklyn College (School of Education) and Medgar Evers College (School of Liberal Arts and Education). This year she returned to teaching in the Secondary Education Dept. at BC after spending a year with the Council of the Great City Schools (CGCS) to strengthen the relationships between K-12 membership districts and higher education. Deb is most proud of her team work with the Brooklyn College Academy's Early College and BC's Urban Community Teachers Project that has documented success with 100% graduation rates, 100% job placements in schools, the Peace Corps or related educational settings and more young men completing Masters degrees with four moving on to PhD programs. She served on the National Parks 21st Commission and continues to volunteer chairing committees including a National Park System Learning Summit in spring 2016 in DC. In her spare time, she serves on three Boards (CGSC, the National Network for Educational Renewal and the Middle College National Consortium) and is the Chairwoman of the Achievement First Brooklyn schools. She is currently co-editing a book on best practices of school-university partnerships within the CGCS and was invited to join the new Regulatory Task Force on Academic Policy in the NYC DOE.

Dr. Warren Simmons
Warren Simmons directs the work of the Annenberg Institute for School Reform (AISR) at Brown University and team-teaches a course in Urban Systems and Structure in Brown University's Urban Education Policy Master's Program. AISR’s mission is to improve outcomes and practices in urban schools, especially those attended by traditionally underserved students. Before joining AISR in 1998, he was founding director of the Philadelphia Education Fund, a reform support organization that helped the School District of Philadelphia to fund, develop, and implement new academic standards, content-based professional development, standards-based curriculum resources, and comprehensive school reform.

Dr. Simmons received a B.A. in psychology from Macalester College and a Ph.D. in psychology from Cornell University. He is a recent recipient of the Distinguished Citizens Award from the National Governors Association and has served on the advisory groups and boards of several prominent national organizations. He currently co-chairs the Aspen Urban Superintendents Network and he was recently appointed by the National Research Council (NRC) to serve on the NRC's Committee on Strengthening Science Education Through a Teacher Learning Continuum. Dr. Simmons was also recently elected a trustee at Roger Williams University and a member of the board of directors of the Nellie Mae Education Fund.

Mr. Glenn Eric Singleton
Glenn hails from Baltimore, Maryland and earned his bachelor's degree from the University of Pennsylvania and his master's degree from the Graduate School of Education at Stanford University. In 1992, he founded Pacific Educational Group, Inc. (PEG). Singleton and his PEG associates deliver comprehensive training and coaching for leaders throughout the U.S., Canada, Australia and New Zealand. His team guides leaders in all sectors to heighten their awareness of race and implement effective strategies for eliminating racial disparities. He is author of Courageous Conversations About Race, which earned “Book of the Year” recognition from both the National Staff Development Council and ForeWord Magazine in 2006. His third and most recent book, Courageous Conversations About Race, 2nd Edition, was published in 2015. Singleton served as adjunct professor of Educational Leadership at San José State University from 2004-2012. In 2009, Singleton was elected to serve as a commissioner on the California State Board of Education African American Commission. He is founder of Foundation for a College Education of East Palo Alto, California, where he currently serves on the board of advisors. In 2014, Singleton was inducted into the Phi Beta Sigma Fraternity, Incorporated. Singleton resides in San Francisco, California.

Ms. Michèle Stephenson
Award-winning filmmaker, artist and author, Michèle Stephenson, pulls from her Caribbean roots and international experience as a human rights attorney to tackle documentaries on communities of color related to race, equity and inclusion. Her work has appeared on a variety of broadcast and web platforms, including PBS, Showtime and MTV. Her most recent film, American Promise, was nominated for three Emmys including Best Documentary and Best News Coverage of a Contemporary Issue; the film also won honors at the Sundance and New York Film Festivals, the African American Film Critic’s Association and was awarded the PUMA BritDoc Impact Award. Stephenson's recent book, Promises Kept, written along with co-authors Joe Brewster and Hilary Beard, won an NAACP Image Award for Outstanding Literary Work.
### Ms. Vanessa Threatte

Vanessa Threatte has spent more than 10 years working to pursue positive outcomes for the most vulnerable populations in New York State. She currently is the Executive Director of SUNY’s Cradle to Career Alliance, which was launched by Chancellor Nancy Zimpher in February of 2013. SUNY C2C or Alliance serves as a statewide intermediary of the StriveTogether National Network. SUNY C2C helps cradle-to-career partnerships adapt the StriveTogether framework and implement the StriveTogether Theory of Action. She is currently working with 8 communities across the State of New York strengthening collective impact in education partnerships.

Vanessa brings to this position her leadership and education experience helping to found a charter middle school for girls and her work as a classroom teacher, administrator, and principal, where she worked to ensure the quality of educational experiences for high-risk, high-need urban populations. Additionally, Vanessa brings her understanding of social, emotional, and mental health needs from her clinical training as an art therapist and work with geriatric and special needs adults. Vanessa earned a bachelor's degree from Dartmouth College, a master's degree in Art Therapy from the School of Art Institute of Chicago, and dual master's degrees in Literacy and Special Education from SUNY Albany.

### Dr. Ivory A. Toldson

Dr. Ivory A. Toldson was appointed by President Barack Obama to devise national strategies to sustain and expand federal support to HBCUs, as the acting executive director of the White House Initiative on Historically Black Colleges and Universities. He is currently on leave from his position as full professor at Howard University. He has also served as senior research analyst for the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation, and editor-in-chief of The Journal of Negro Education. Dr. Toldson conceptualized the White House Initiative on HBCUs All-Stars program, which identifies and engages the top HBCU scholars. Dr. Toldson has more than 65 publications, including 4 books, and more than 150 research presentations in 36 US states, Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, Scotland, South Africa, Paris, and Barcelona. He has been featured on MSNBC, C-SPAN2 Books, NPR News, POTUS on XM Satellite Radio, and numerous local radio stations. Dubbed a leader "who could conceivably navigate the path to the White House" by the Washington Post, one of "30 leaders in the fight for Black men," by Newsweek Magazine, and the "Problem Solver" by Diverse: Issues In Higher Education, Dr. Toldson, according to U.S. Secretary Arne Duncan, is "a prolific young scholar and myth buster."

After completing coursework for a Ph.D. in Counseling Psychology at Temple University, Dr. Toldson became a correctional and forensic psychology resident at the United States Penitentiary. There, he completed his dissertation on Black Men in the Criminal Justice System. He has received formal training in applied statistics from the University of Michigan, and held visiting research and teaching appointments at Emory, Drexel, and Morehouse School of Medicine.

### Dr. Bolgen Vargas

Dr. Bolgen Vargas is Superintendent of the Rochester City School District and leads the district’s commitment to the goals of My Brother’s Keeper. He became Superintendent in July 2012 after 13 months as Interim Superintendent. Dr. Vargas served previously as a Commissioner on the Rochester Board of Education for eight years, including four as president.

Born in the Dominican Republic, Dr. Vargas immigrated to the United States as a high school student who spoke only Spanish. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in International studies and a master’s degree in school counseling, both from the State University of New York at Brockport. He received his doctorate in Education Leadership and Organization from the University of Pennsylvania. For his doctoral research, Dr. Vargas analyzed the success and failure rates of students facing multiple risk factors in Rochester’s high school graduating class of 2009. He earned the honor of distinction for his dissertation, titled “Educational Success in the Face of Adversity as Measured by High School Graduation.”

### Dr. Ron Walker

Ron Walker has over 45 years of experience serving as a teacher, principal, staff developer, and consultant in various educational communities. Currently, Ron serves as the Executive Director and is a founding member of the Coalition of Schools Educating Boys of Color (COSEBOC). The mission of COSEBOC, founded in 2007, is to connect, inspire, support and strengthen school leaders dedicated to the social, emotional and academic development of boys and young men of color.

Ron has also grown the visibility of COSEBOC and has made many presentations on the national and state level. He has presented to the U.S. Department of Education, College Board, California Association of African American Administrators and Superintendents, The American Public Health Association, The Council of Urban Boards of Education as well being interviewed by Soledad O'Brien on symposium on Educating Black Males. He was also invited to attend President Obama's My Brother's Keeper Forum held at the White House. Ron has authored two publications on leadership and is featured in numerous education articles.

Ron attributes any success that he has gained to his unrelenting belief in God, the lessons taught by his parents Solomon and Delores Walker and the faith that his wife Toni, children and grandchildren placed in him.
Mr. Paul Washington
Paul Washington is a longtime community activist who has participated in the movement for Social Justice for over 25 years. Former Chief of Staff to Council member Charles Barron Mr. Washington is a grass roots organizer engaging in Electoral Politics and Union organizing. He has written a number of articles on Black Political Empowerment, Police Mis-Conduct, Economic Justice issues, Black Male Development and Education. He is presently Coordinator of Outreach for the Male Development and Empowerment Center at Medgar Evers College and Vice-Chair for Cross Campus Chapter of HEOs (Higher Education Officers) for the Professional Staff Congress, the faculty and staff of the City University of New York. Mr. Washington has been an educational Counselor for Black Veterans for Social Justice which provided services for Homeless Men in Central Brooklyn. Mr. Washington has stated that working at “Pamoja House was one of the most important and valued positions he has held, for it placed me in the front line on fighting and educating people about the crisis of Black Males in America.” Mr. Washington has a B.A. in Social Science from the College of New Rochelle and a M.A. in Political Science and Public Administration from Brooklyn College. He is presently writing a biography on “Morris U. Schappes,” a scholar, activist, writer and Union organizer who taught at City College during the 1930s, who was wrongly convicted and sentenced to prison for his political affiliations and Union organizing.

Mr. Jermaine Wright
Jermaine Wright is the University Director of The City University of New York Black Male Initiative (CUNY BMI). A graduate of Binghamton University with a B.A. in Political Science and Sociology, Wright later earned a Master’s in Public Administration (MPA) degree with a concentration in Management and Operations from the John Jay College of Criminal Justice/CUNY. Prior to assuming the role of University Director, Wright served as the first CUNY BMI University Associate Director for six years. As the Associate Director, he developed and implemented structured mentorship programs for nearly 3,000 students CUNY wide within the BMI projects and managed/provided technical assistance to approximately 100 administrators/faculty members throughout CUNY system running BMI projects. Before CUNY, Wright worked at the National Urban League as a Program Manager for the Urban Youth Empowerment Program (UYEP), a comprehensive workforce development model for disconnected and adjudicated youth between the ages of 18-24. As a Program Manager, Wright managed several National Urban League affiliates across the nation that were operating UYEP. Additionally, he revised program policies/procedures to better serve participants' needs. Wright's other work experience includes monitoring the employment status of clients transitioning from public assistance to the workforce as a Site Supervisor at Harlem Congregations for Community Improvement, and developing workshops/special events for low-wage workers as an AmeriCorps member. Wright is also a doctoral student at Rutgers University-Newark in the School of Public Affairs and Administration (SPAA). His research interest includes the use performance information at educational institutions. In his spare time, Wright serves as a mentor to young people through Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity Inc., an international service organization predicated on achievement and the uplifting of under-served low-income communities.

Rev. Dr. Alfonso Wyatt
Rev. Dr. Alfonso Wyatt is on the staff of The Greater Allen Cathedral A.M.E. Cathedral of New York. He provides vital leadership to youth, young adults and professionals in both the sacred and secular communities. He retired as vice president of The Fund for the City of New York after serving over two decades. Dr. Wyatt is founder of Strategic Destiny: Designing Futures Through Faith and Facts. Strategic Destiny collaborates with practitioners motivated by faith and secular practitioners motivated by evidence-based learning. He is an advisor and consultant to government, colleges, civic groups, cbo’s, public and charter schools, foundations, and the broader faith community. Dr. Wyatt, is a sought after speaker in his role as youth development practitioner, mentor, role model and public theologian. He attended Howard University, Columbia Teachers College, The Ackerman Institute for Family Therapy, Columbia Institute for Nonprofit Management and NY Theological Seminary. Rev. Dr. Wyatt is a founding Board member of The Harlem Children's Zone Promise Academy.

Dr. Michael Yazarlo
Michael Yazarlo, Ed.D. is Superintendent of the Yonkers Public Schools, the fourth largest district in New York State. Dr. Yazarlo was born in Yonkers and was a young student in the Yonkers Public Schools, where he also served as a teacher and administrator. He is the former Superintendent of Tuckahoe Union Free School District, where he served for 13 years, and an Associate Professor of Graduate Education at The College of Saint Rose and State University of New York at Stony Brook. Dr. Yazarlo began his career as a District science teacher, advancing to the position of assistant principal and later principal of PEARLS Hawthorne School and Roosevelt High School, where he led for seven years. A respected and beloved educator, Dr. Yazarlo was named Yonkers Public Schools Administrator of the Year in 1991. Dr. Yazarlo received a Bachelor of Science from New York Institute of Technology and both a Master of Science and Doctor of Education from Fordham University.
Ms. Irma Zardoya

Irma Zardoya is the President & CEO of the NYC Leadership Academy, a national organization focused on the development of quality school leadership programs. These efforts include: strategic consulting in the development of leadership programs, the development of aspiring principal preparation pipelines, principal mentor development, principal coach development, and principal supervisor training. Prior to the Leadership Academy, Irma had an extensive and successful career as a NYC educator and school leader, having served as teacher, principal, Regional Superintendent (Region One) and District Superintendent (District 10). Under her leadership, Region One was recognized for building an effective leadership continuum from teacher to superintendent, and for the many professional development opportunities and supports it offered teachers and administrators. During her tenure, unsuccessful large middle and high schools were converted to smaller more successful schools. Ms. Zardoya led the citywide implementation of the collaborative inquiry teacher team work in NYC which helped teachers and administrators look at student assessment data and other student work in an effort to improve instruction and increase student learning. Throughout her career, Ms. Zardoya has worked with large populations of ELL students and implemented many effective practices to address their special needs. Most recently, she was appointed to Governor Cuomo’s New NY Education Reform Commission, and is currently a participant in the US Department of Education’s initiative, “Our Students, Our Leaders: Increasing the Diversity of Education Leadership.”
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My Brother’s Keeper Initiative

President Obama signed a Presidential Memorandum in January 2014 establishing the My Brother’s Keeper Task Force, as an interagency effort.

My Brother’s Keepers Goals:

1. Entering school ready to learn;
2. Reading at grade level by third grade;
3. Graduating from high school ready for college and career;
4. Completing postsecondary education or training;
5. Successfully entering the workforce; and
6. Reducing violence and providing a second chance.
Current Department Initiatives that Support the Goals of My Brother’s Keeper

Some Examples include:

- Advocated for the increase in New York State Universal Pre-Kindergarten funding from $385 to $805 million
- Invested substantially in QUALITY Stars NY to improve the quality of early education
- Adopted the Pre-K Foundation for the Common Core Learning Standards
- Established the Bilingual Education for Pre-K Committee (public/private partnership)
- Amended regulations to require research-based interventions and to prohibit suspensions at the Pre-k level
- Supported the Development, Relief, and Education for Alien Minors (DREAM) Act for young immigrant New Yorkers to succeed in college and career
- Developed the Blueprint for English Language Learner’s Success
- Approved the Multiple Pathways to a HS Diploma
- Implemented the P-TECH Program, Early College HS and Smart Scholars
- Required passing the Educating All Students Certification Exam
- Proposed Career and Technical Education expansion through Special Services Aid
- Managed the Science and Technology Entry Program and Liberty Partnerships Program
- Funded the NYU Technical Assistance Center on Disproportionality
- Provided access to pertinent information for parents and school personnel through the Engage NY Portal
- Requested the expansion of the Higher Education Opportunity Program and Collegiate Science and Technology Entry Program (CSTEP)
- Re-established the Safe Schools Task Force to promote positive school climates and safe schools
Board of Regents Establishes Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color

May 2015:

• Regent Lester W. Young, Jr. presented President Obama’s *My Brother’s Keeper* to the Board

• The Board recognized the importance of establishing a Regents Workgroup aligned with the goals of *My Brother’s Keeper* in New York State

Goals of the Workgroup:

• To examine the educational challenges and opportunities boys and young men of color face; and

• To recommend strategies that address these challenges and expand opportunities to increase their success.
## Timeline of Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 19, 2015</td>
<td>Board of Regents establishes a Workgroup to Improve Outcomes of Boys and Young Men of Color aligned with My Brother’s Keeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 20, 2015</td>
<td>Board of Regents identified six priorities of the Workgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2015</td>
<td>Blue Ribbon Committee is established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 29, 2015</td>
<td>First convening of the Blue Ribbon Committee in New York City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 9, 2015</td>
<td>Second convening of the Blue Ribbon Committee in Rochester, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2015</td>
<td>The Blue Ribbon Committee presents its recommendations to the Board of Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lived Experiences of Males of Color

“The older generation...you guys had the village, you guys had the whole community that raised you....those things are among the loved things that we as teens now need because we don’t have anybody.”

*Calvin Brown, Brooklyn, NY*

“My three friends were gunned down at the boys and girls club. There were different organizations that came out. But they were only there when the cameras were there. Nobody was really there for us after the cameras left.”

*Freemontá Strong, Rochester, NY*

- Click here for video presentation [https://vimeo.com/148134983](https://vimeo.com/148134983)
Workgroup’s Recommendations Themes:

1. Recruiting, developing, preparing, and retaining professional staff;
2. Aligning boys and young men of color outcomes with stakeholder relationships;
3. Focusing multiple institutions/agencies on the developmental & holistic approach to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color;
4. Providing greater clarity on the roadmap leading to college and career success; and
5. Building equitable systems.

Context for Recommendations / Report Theory of Action:

- Collective Achievement
- Valuing and Countering the Narrative
- Targeting
Recruitment, development, preparation, and retention of professional staff

• Challenge school districts to support teachers, administrators, and pupil personnel services staff in ongoing professional development; and encourage college and universities offering teacher preparation programs to incorporate training that supports the expansion of knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary to provide competent educational approaches and practices to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color.

• Develop and implement a plan that would accelerate the rate of individuals of color, including a targeted emphasis on men of color, entering the teaching profession in New York State school systems, including creating pathways for school personnel to become teachers. The teaching force in the State should be as diverse as the student population being served by our schools.

Dr. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz, Associate Professor of English Education at Teachers College, Columbia University
Boys and young men of color outcomes and stakeholder relationships

- Create a Pre-K—12 Statewide Office of Family and Community Engagement within the Department to create a statewide policy with the best practices and guidance for school districts related to providing families, community-based organizations, and local associations with necessary information about the Pre-K—12 educational process.

  This new Office would:

  - encourage their participation in improving outcomes for all students, with an emphasis on improving outcomes for boys and young men of color;
  - support the development of training programs for parents, students, and personnel on how to engage, interact, and sustain relationships; and
  - advocate services to educate parents and communities on how to navigate the educational system and query how they can support their child.

**Mr. David Banks**, President/CEO of The Eagle Academy Foundation
Focus multiple institutions/agencies around a developmental/holistic approach to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color

- Convene a statewide council to review and analyze New York State data and identify critical data elements the Board of Regents need to collect to assess and address issues related to the impact of racial disparities in service delivery. The council would present a written report on findings and recommended actions to the Board of Regents.
- Create a New York State Interagency Joint Council to provide coordination between State Departments of Health, Education, and the Office of Mental Health to develop and monitor current and future policy, plans, and partnerships among schools, community-based organizations, and businesses to address important health and educational outcomes of students across the continuum of Pre-K—16. Particular focus should be on schools and districts with the greatest inequities and highest population of boys and young men of color. A singular person would lead the Joint Council, and be directly responsible to each agency head to ensure the goals of the Joint Council are met.

Mr. Diallo Shabazz, Executive Director of One Hundred Black Men
Providing greater clarity on the roadmap leading to college and career success

- Encourage all school districts to offer boys and young men of color high-quality coursework such as Advanced Placement courses; Honors Programs; Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) programs; Arts and Fine Arts Programs, among others. Research has shown that higher expectations result in higher performance; simply, students with high expectations perform at a higher level than those with low expectations.

- Expand Career and Technical Education (CTE) funding for expanding participation rates of boys and young men of color in these types of programs. High quality CTE programs provide opportunities for students to demonstrate and reinforce both academic and technical skills as well as experiences in work-based learning where on the job mentoring can play a critical role in developing life-long, transferable employability skills for a constantly changing global economy. More examples of NYS P-TECH model need to be implemented in our large cities to provide targeted populations with the opportunities to experience academic and career-focused success at an early grade level. Outreach in middle schools for such programs helps students and families make decisions on education, and careers that can transform lives. They provide work-based learning opportunities that enable students to connect what they are learning to real-life career scenarios and choices. Redefining college readiness to include these components will also help out students to successful rewarding employment and success in life.

Ms. Irma Zardoya, President & CEO of the NYC Leadership Academy
Building equitable systems

• Expand the definition of college and career readiness in order to establish a clear/discernable path to college & career success, which addresses milestones for kindergarten readiness, early grade reading, middle grade math, high school graduation, post-secondary enrollment, and post-secondary degree completion.

• Serve as a resource to school districts that accept the My Brother’s Keeper Challenge and implement a coherent cradle-to-college and career strategy aimed at improving life outcomes for boys and young men of color. Support their local planning process, assist them in developing successful strategies for action, and track their progress.

• Invest in the expansion and development of exemplary school models and practices that demonstrate cultural and linguistic responsiveness to the needs of boys and young men of color, e.g., schools that create a 9-16 continuum for the eventual placement of college graduates into teaching and other educational professions. Direct a majority of the requested funds to the big four city school districts outside of New York City with a portion available through an RFP process to other districts.

*Dr. Gerry House, President of the Institute for Student Achievement*
# Increased Proposed Funding Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Funding Request (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Family and Community Engagement</td>
<td>$12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs</td>
<td>$ 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion and development of exemplary school models and practices</td>
<td>$ 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand the Teacher Opportunity Corps</td>
<td>$ 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive for school districts to accept the My Brother’s Keeper Challenge</td>
<td>$ 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting school professional development programs</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Council to analyze and review data to address issues related to racial disparities</td>
<td>$ .1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funding Requested</strong></td>
<td><strong>$50.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next steps

If the Board of Regents approves these recommendations, the Department will:

• Advocate for the inclusion of these recommendations during the upcoming State Budget process and Legislative Session;

• Advance the implementation of the Education policy recommendations, including conducting an assessment of tasks to be completed, establishing timelines, and identifying and securing any additional needed resources; and

• Continue to gather information from other areas across the State related to improving outcomes for boys and young men of color.
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7:30 am - 8:30 am (1 hour)
Registration & Facilitator Sign-in
Breakfast & Networking
(Shults Building, Forum)

8:30 am – 9:15 am (45 min)
Welcome & Introduction (Shults Building, Forum)
Lovely Warren, Mayor of the City of Rochester
Daan Braverman, Nazareth College President
Merryl H. Tisch, Chancellor of the New York State Board of Regents
MaryEllen Elia, New York State Commissioner of Education

Introduction of Regents
Introduced By: Regent Lester W. Young, Jr., Chair
Regent Betty A. Rosa, 12th Judicial District
Regent James E. Cottrell, Member at Large
Regent T. Andrew Brown, 7th Judicial District
Regent Josephine Victoria Finn, 3rd Judicial District
Regent Beverly L. Ouderkirk, 4th Judicial District
Regent Catherine Collins, 8th Judicial District
Regent Judith Johnson, 9th Judicial District
Regent Wade Norwood, Member at Large

9:15 am – 9:30 am (15 min)
Review of September 29th and Overview of the Day

9:30 am – 10:30 am (1 hr)
Panel: Lived Experiences of Young Men of Color
Facilitator:
Diallo Shabazz, Executive Director, One Hundred Black Men
Panelists:
Savion Rambert, Leadership Academy for Young Men, 12th grade
Jesús Del Vallin, Monroe High School, 12th grade
Freemontá Strong, School Without Walls, 11th grade
Zeke Gross, Rochester Educational Opportunity Center, Graduate
10:30 am – 10:45 am  Break  
(15 min)

10:45 am – 12:15 pm  Morning Breakout Discussions: 
(1.5 hr)

Breakout 1- Ensuring equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K through Grade 12 and Postsecondary Education (Shults Building, Forum Annex)

Facilitator:  
Michael Casserly, Director, Council of the Great City Schools  
SED Staff: Stan Hansen & note taker Carol Coroody

Breakout 2- Establishing prevention, early warning, and intervention services (Shults Building, 1924 Room)

Facilitator:  
Jermaine Wright, Director, CUNY Black Male Initiative  
SED Staff: Maxine Meadows Shuford & note taker Joseph Tevington

Breakout 3- Executing differentiated approaches based on need and culture that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate (Shults Building, Reading Lounge)

Facilitator:  
Irma Zardoya, President and CEO, NYC Leadership Academy  
SED Staff: Carlos Garcia & note taker Elizabeth Skender

Breakout 4- Responding to structural and institutional racism (Shults Building, International Room)

Facilitator:  
Glenn Singleton, President & Founder, Pacific Educational Group  
SED Staff: Debora Brown-Johnson & note taker Jessica Galimore
Breakout 5 - Providing access to comprehensive and coordinated support services (Medaille Building, Formal Dining)

Facilitator:  
Diallo Shabazz, Executive Director, One Hundred Black Men  
SED Staff: Renee Rider & note taker Doris Waiters

Breakout 6- Engaging families and communities  
(Medaille Building, Formal Lounge)

Facilitator:  
David Banks, President & CEO, The Eagle Academy Foundation  
SED Staff: Sharon Holder & note taker Jennifer Geiger

12:15 pm – 1:15 pm  
(1 hr) Lunch Connections  
(Shults Building, Forum)

1:15 – 3:00 pm  
(1.75 hr) Afternoon Breakout Sessions (Same as Morning Breakouts)

3:00 pm -3:30 pm  
(30 min) Closing Remarks & Next Steps  
(Shults Building, Forum)
W.E.B. Du Bois, in his trailblazing book *The Souls of Black Folk*, begins the chapter “Of our spiritual strivings” with the challenging question: “How does it feel to be a problem?” This question, originally posed in 1903 continues to have tremendous relevance for boys and young men of color in the 21st century. Just consider the terms frequently used when describing boys and men of color: “missing”, “endangered”, “extinct”, “at-risk”, “threatening”, both “perpetrators and victims of violence”, “drop-out”, “remedial”, “vanishing”, “in crisis”, “problematic”, etc. These troubling views of boys and young men of color form the basis for many education policies, practices, attitudes, and reform strategies rather than serve as indicators of overall system failure. The morning session is intended to set the agenda for the day’s work.

- **Young People’s Panel (Lived Experiences of Young Men of Color)**
  The current scholarly research is replete with the views and recommendations of scholars, practitioners, policymakers, elected officials, advocates on how to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color. However, noticeably missing have been the voices of boys and young men of color. The Young People’s Panel is intended to provide the views, opinions, recommendations, thoughts, and perspectives on how schools can improve. Panelists will provide their perspectives from their backgrounds, lived experiences, and individual journeys.
Workgroup Topics

Priority 1

Ensuring equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K through Grade 12 and Postsecondary Education

Goal:

To recommend to the New York State Board of Regents targeted strategies and policies designed to increase access to and success in Postsecondary education and beyond for those students who are traditionally underrepresented because of their gender, race, and ethnicity.

Questions:

1. What needs to happen to ensure equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K through Grade 12 and Postsecondary education?
2. What are the barriers to making it happen?
3. What can NYSED do to promote policies that will eliminate the barriers to ensuring equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K through Grade 12 and Postsecondary Education?

Priority 2

Establishing prevention, early warning, and intervention services

Goal:

To recommend to the New York State Board of Regents targeted strategies and policies designed to ensure that all N.Y.S. educators extend their vision beyond their own school level, grade level, course or community and to understand the impact of current school experiences on the likelihood that all of their students will eventually be successful in Postsecondary education and beyond. Strategies and policies may include:

- Collecting and utilizing data systematically to identify risk behaviors as early as possible;
- Analyzing risk indicator patterns; and
- Preventing academic skill deficits and disengagement from occurring and responding more effectively to these issues when they occur.
Questions:

1. What needs to happen to establish prevention, early warning, and intervention services?
2. What are the barriers to making it happen?
3. What can NYSED do to promote policies that will establish prevention, early warning, and intervention services?

Priority 3

Executing differentiated approaches based on need and culture that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate

Goal:

To recommend to the New York State Board of Regents targeted strategies and policies designed to:

- Provide guidelines for developing culturally responsive teacher/leader preparation pedagogy;
- Promote a willingness to value and celebrate diversity in programming and practice;
- Emphasize a welcoming, caring, and affirming attitude toward all children and encouraging all children to excel;
- Implement teaching methods and curricular materials that are culturally relevant and complementary of children's cultural learning patterns;
- Execute effective literacy instruction that builds upon the literacy, language, and culture that children bring to school;
- Reflect diversity in the selection of multicultural books and other learning materials;
- Utilize children's home cultures and languages as literacy resources; and
- Ensure that for children whose first language is not English, they are supported in use of their home language while learning English.

Questions:

1. What needs to happen to execute differentiated approaches based on need and culture that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate?
2. What are the barriers to making it happen?
3. What can NYSED do to promote policies that will execute differentiated approaches based on need and culture that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate?
Priority 4

Responding to structural and institutional racism

Goal:

To recommend to the New York State Board of Regents targeted **strategies** and **policies** designed to identify specific institutional systemic policies, practices, and structures which place boys and young men of color (and minority racial and ethnic groups) at a disadvantage in relation to an institution’s racial or ethnic majority. For example:

- District and school budgets; teacher/leader quality; preparation for standardized tests;
- Zero-tolerance & other school discipline policies; racial and socio-economic isolation in schools; district & school staff racial homogeneity; culturally responsive instructional materials & pedagogical practices; and the extent to which institutional behaviors & structures being implemented are moving all students closer to educational opportunities or farther away from such opportunities

Questions:

1. What needs to happen to identify specific institutional systemic policies, practices, and structures which place boys and young men of color (and minority racial and ethnic groups) at a disadvantage in relation to an institution’s racial or ethnic majority?
2. What are the barriers to making it happen?
3. What can NYSED do to promote policies that will identify specific institutional systemic policies, practices, and structures which place boys and young men of color (and minority racial and ethnic groups) at a disadvantage in relation to an institution’s racial or ethnic majority?

Priority 5

Providing access to comprehensive and coordinated support services

Goal:

To recommend to the New York State Board of Regents targeted **strategies** and **policies** designed to assist all students in the acquisition of critical skills in the academic, career, and personal/social aspects of a student’s development. Strategies and policies should promote comprehensive approaches that provide opportunities for education, mentoring, conflict
resolution training, and safety; engage youth and their families; and that are community-based and integrated.

**Questions:**

1. What needs to happen to assist all students in the acquisition of critical skills in the academic, career, and personal/social aspects of a student’s development?
2. What are the barriers to making it happen?
3. What can NYSED do to assist all students in the acquisition of critical skills in the academic, career, and personal/social aspects of a student’s development?

**Priority 6**

**Engaging families and communities**

**Goal:**

To recommend to the New York State Board of Regents targeted *strategies* and *policies* that emphasize the important roles that parents, families, and communities play in raising student academic performance and eliminating student outcome disparities.

**Questions:**

1. What needs to happen to emphasize the important roles of parents, families, and communities play in raising student academic performance and eliminating student outcome disparities?
2. What are the barriers to making it happen?
3. What can NYSED do to promote policies that will emphasize the important roles that parents, families, and communities play in raising student academic performance and eliminating student outcome disparities?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Antonio Aponte</td>
<td>Director of Educational Services, Boys Club of New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. David Banks</td>
<td>President, Eagle Academy Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Luis Barrios</td>
<td>Professor, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, CUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Leroy Barr</td>
<td>Assistant Secretary, United Federation of Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Michael Blake</td>
<td>Assemblymember, New York State Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Crystal Barton</td>
<td>Principal, PS 305 McKinley High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Héctor Calderón</td>
<td>Cofounder and Former Principal, El Puente Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Kevin Casey</td>
<td>Executive Director, School Administrators Association of NYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Sharon Contreras</td>
<td>Superintendent, Syracuse City School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Marcos A. Crespo</td>
<td>Assemblymember, New York State Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Shawn Dove</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer, Campaign for Black Male Achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chancellor Carmen Fariña</td>
<td>Chancellor, New York City Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Edward Fergus</td>
<td>Assistant Professor, New York University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ronald Ferguson,</td>
<td>Faculty Co-chair and Director, Achievement Gap Initiative at Harvard University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W Cyrus Garrett</td>
<td>Director, NYC Young Men's Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Catalina Fortino</td>
<td>Vice President, New York State United Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Dorita Gibson</td>
<td>Senior Deputy Chancellor, New York City Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Cheryl Hamilton</td>
<td>Director, Educational Opportunities Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Deborah Glick</td>
<td>Chair, Higher Education Committee, New York State Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ramona Hernandez</td>
<td>Professor, The City College of New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title and Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Gerry House</td>
<td>President, Institute for Student Achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roderick Jenkins</td>
<td>Senior Program Officer, NYC Community Trust Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Judith Kaye</td>
<td>Former Chief Judge of New York, Skadden, Arps. Slate, Meagher and Flom, LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. David Kirkland</td>
<td>Executive Director, Metropolitan Center for Research on Equity, New York University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Timothy Kremer</td>
<td>Executive Director, NYS School Boards Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Khary Lazarre-White</td>
<td>Executive Director and Co-Founder, The Brotherhood/Sister Sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Andrew Livonis</td>
<td>President Elect, NY Association of School Psychologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ernest Logan</td>
<td>President, Council of School Supervisors and Administrators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Barbara Martin</td>
<td>Director, Bronx Community College COPE Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Félix Matos Rodríguez</td>
<td>President, Queens College, CUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Dr. Aletha Maybank</td>
<td>Associate Commissioner, NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Carlos N. Medina</td>
<td>System Administrator, SUNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Velmanette Montgomery</td>
<td>Senator, New York State Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Walter T. Mosely</td>
<td>Assemblymember, New York State Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Khalil Gibran Muhammad</td>
<td>Director, Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Jai Nanda</td>
<td>Founder and Executive Director, Urban Dove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Michael T. Nettles</td>
<td>Senior Vice President, Policy Evaluation and Research Center, Education Testing Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Catherine Nolan</td>
<td>Chair, Education Committee, New York State Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Greg Owens</td>
<td>Director of Special Projects, NYS Office of Children and Family Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Roberto Padilla</td>
<td>Superintendent, Newburgh City School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes</td>
<td>Assemblymember, New York State Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Robert J. Reidy, Jr.</td>
<td>Executive Director, NYS Council of School Superintendents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Luis O. Reyes</td>
<td>Research Associate, Center for Puerto Rican Studies, Hunter College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Frank Sanchez</td>
<td>Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs, City University of New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Jabali Sawiciki</td>
<td>Instructional Designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz</td>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Iesha Sekou</td>
<td>Founder and CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Diallo Shabazz</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Deborah Shanley</td>
<td>Professor of Secondary Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Warren Simmons</td>
<td>Executive Director, Annenberg Institute of School Reform, Brown University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Glenn E. Singleton</td>
<td>President and Founder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Michele Stephenson</td>
<td>Producer/Director of “American Promise”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Vanessa Threette</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ivory Toldson</td>
<td>Deputy Director White House Initiative on Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Bolgen Vargas</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ronald Walker</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Paul Washington</td>
<td>Director of Outreach Male Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Jermaine Wright</td>
<td>University Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Dr. Alfonso Wyatt</td>
<td>Founder Strategic Destiny: Designing Futures Through Faith And Facts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Michael Yazurlo</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Irma Zardoya</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Participant Biographies

#### Mr. Antonio Aponte
Antonio Aponte was born on the Lower East Side of New York City and attended boarding school at Moses Brown Prep in Providence, Rhode Island through the Boys’ Club of New York Scholarship program. Aponte went on to graduate from Syracuse University in 1979 with a dual major in Psychology/Theater.

In 1990, Antonio founded the Latino College Expo Inc. (www.latinocollege-expo.org), whose mission is to elevate the educational aspirations of the Latino community by offering an array of specialized services sensitive to their needs. This organization, now in its 26th year, has become one of the most anticipated educational events in the Northeast having administered over $100,000 in grants to deserving H.S. students of Latino decent. In 2007, the Latino College Expo along with the NY Knickerbockers partnered to administer an academic grant to 5 deserving Latino high school seniors.

He came to the Boys’ Club of New York in 2001 as Director of Educational & Career Services for The Boy’s Club of New York responsible for the various programs offered, such as the Independent School Placement program; the Parents Advisory Board, the Independent Boarding School Fair, now in its 13th year to promote boarding school as an option; the ISP Prep program, which targets "boys of promise", in the 7th to 9th grade, providing them with academic, athletic, and cultural enrichment activities in preparation for competitive high school placement, college guidance and family engagement, Future Stars basketball tournament, and work force preparation.

#### Honorable Jeffrion Aubry
Jeffrion Aubry was elected to New York State Assembly in 1992 to represent the 35th Assembly District in Queens. He currently serves as Assembly Speaker pro tempore and is a member of the Ways and Means, Rules, Social Services and Governmental Employees committees, as well as the NYS Association of Black and Puerto Rican Legislators, the NYS Assembly and Senate Puerto Rican and Hispanic Task Force and the NYS Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic and Asian Legislative Caucus. Assemblymember Aubry also serves as a chairman of the Board of the national Council of State Governments’ Justice Center.

For 15 years, Assemblymember Aubry was the Chair of the Assembly Committee on Correction. As Chair, Assemblymember Aubry was a champion of progressive criminal justice reform, successfully sheparding through numerous pieces of critical legislation. His impressive record includes significant reforms to New York’s Rockefeller Drug Laws which returned sentencing discretion to judges in many drug cases and opened up alternatives-to-incarceration for people with substance abuse problems, prohibiting incarcerated people with serious mental illness from the devastation of solitary confinement, protecting the parental rights of incarcerated mothers and fathers with children in foster care, and reducing the exorbitant cost of collect telephone calls from prison.

#### Mr. David C. Banks
David C. Banks is the President/CEO of The Eagle Academy Foundation. He was the Founding Principal of The Eagle Academy for Young Men, the first school in a network of innovative all-boys public school in New York City.

The Eagle Academy for Young Men, the first all-boys public high school in New York City in over thirty years, is a nurturing institution which believes that excellence, both in character and scholarship, opens doors and provides a bridge to equality. The first Eagle Academy for Young Men was established as part of New York City’s twenty-first century high school reform initiative, an accomplishment achieved through a unique partnership with One Hundred Black Men, Inc. After five years of sharing space with the Bronx School for Law, Government & Justice, it has since moved into its own, state of the art facility. Prior to becoming principal of Eagle, David served as the Founding Principal of The Bronx School for Law, Government & Justice.

David is a graduate of Rutgers University in New Brunswick, New Jersey and received his Juris Doctorate from St. John’s University. He earned his Educational Administration and Supervision certification in only one semester by attending three colleges: Brooklyn College, City College and Baruch College. Further, David Banks has also been chosen as one of seven Black Male Achievement Social Innovators nationwide by the Leadership and Sustainability Institute, for demonstrating tangible results in improving the life outcomes of African American men and boys. David Banks has joined the Board of Directors for the International Boys’ Schools Coalition. In May 2014, David Banks was awarded an honorary Doctorate degree from Wheelock College.

#### Mr. LeRoy Barr
LeRoy Barr is the UFT’s assistant secretary and also serves as co-staff director, overseeing the daily operation of the union’s extensive field organization and both the pedagogical and non-pedagogical staff at its central office. He received his bachelor’s degree in finance from Howard University and his master’s in education from Hunter College.
As staff director, LeRoy plays a pivotal role in ensuring that the union operates at the highest caliber and that its chapter leaders, Executive Board members and delegates to the conventions of the union’s state and national affiliates have the resources and training necessary to advocate successfully for the union’s members.

LeRoy began his teaching career in 1992 as a 5th-grade teacher and math specialist at PS 154 in Harlem. The school, struggling to meet state standards, was placed on a watch list and selected for inclusion in the Chancellor’s District to receive intensive academic intervention and supports. LeRoy was PS 154’s chapter leader and in that role worked with school leaders and staff to orchestrate an impressive turnaround. PS 154 was ultimately removed from the watch list and became a national model for successful schools. LeRoy was tapped to become a regional representative for the Chancellor’s District, serving as the union’s point person for the district citywide.

He currently serves on the NYSUT Board of Directors, is a member of Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity, Incorporated and has served as the Polemarch (president) of the fraternity’s New York Alumni Chapter.

Dr. Luis Barrios
Fr. Luis Barrios, Ph.D., is a professor of Psychology, Criminal Justice, Latin American & Latina/o Studies and Ethnic Studies at John Jay College of Criminal Justice-City University of New York. He is also a member of Ph.D. faculties in social/personality psychology at the Graduate Center-City University of New York. Dr. Barrios is co-editor of Otras naciones: Jóvenes, Transnacionalismo y Exclusión (2008-FLACSO); co-editor of Gangs and Society: Alternative Perspective (2003-Columbia University); co-author of the Almighty Latin King & Queen Nation: Street Politics and the Transformation of a New York City Gang (2004-Columbia University), and co-author of Banished to the Homeland: Dominican Deportees and Their Stories of Exile (2011-Columbia University Press). Dr. Barrios is also the author of Josconiendo: Dimensiones Sociales y políticas de la espiritualidad (2000-Editorial Aguilar), Pitirreando: De la desesperanza a la esperanza (2004-Editorial Edil) and Coquiando: Meditaciones subversivas para un mundo mejor (2008-Editorial Búho), and other numerous articles. He is a former prisoner of conscience from the School of the America Watch (SOAW) movement. Dr. Barrios is a community activist, a priest activist, and a faculty activist.

Ms. Crystal Barton
Ms. Crystal Barton attended the State University of New York at Buffalo and is a graduate of Niagara University where she received her Bachelor of Arts Degree in English Education, a Master Degree in Educational Administration, a Master Degree in Counseling Education, a Post Master Professional Diploma in Counseling Education and a Certificate of Specialization in Minority Group Studies. She was one of the first African American females to enlist in the ROTC at Niagara University and received an honorable discharge.

President Barton’s professional education career spans from grades kindergarten through twelve as a Teacher and Counselor and grades four to twelve as an Assistant Principal and Principal. As a Teacher, she taught English and was an Inter-Group Relations Specialist for the Niagara Falls School District, prior to joining the Buffalo Public School District. As a Counselor, she worked in the Career Exploration Program. Currently and for the past twenty eight years, she has been the Principal of McKinley High School. A pioneer in education, she was appointed as Principal in 1987. She is the first female to be appointed as a Principal of a Career and Technical School in Buffalo Public Schools’ then one hundred and forty nine year history.

Assemblyman Michael Blake
Michael Blake is the Assemblymember of the 79th District in New York State, representing parts of Concourse Village, Morrisania, Melrose, Belmont, Claremont and East Tremont. Blake is also the Founding Principal of Atlas Strategy Group, which focuses on political and economic empowerment for communities of color. Michael recently served as the Director of Public Policy & External Affairs for Green For All, a national organization working to build an inclusive green economy strong enough to lift people out of poverty. The Bronx, New York native is a graduate of the Medill School of Journalism at Northwestern University. He is also an Exhorter in the African Methodist Episcopal Church and a Certified Lay Speaker in the United Methodist Church.

Assemblymember Blake currently sits on the Banks, Correction, Election Law, Governmental Operations, Housing and Veterans Affairs Committees, as well as being the Chair of the Subcommittee on Mitchell-Lama. He also has the distinction of serving as the 2nd Vice-Chair of the Black, Puerto Rican Hispanic and Asian Legislative Caucus and is an active member of the Puerto Rican/Hispanic Taskforce. Michael Blake holds membership at numerous distinguished organizations including; Board membership of iVOTE, advisory board membership of SIX (State Innovation Exchange), My Brother’s Keeper Alliance, Universal Hip Hop Museum as well as being a member of the American State Legislators for Gun Violence Prevention.

Mr. Héctor Calderón
For over 20 years, Héctor Calderón has been a respected leader at El Puente, a community-based, holistic learning and
development organization in Brooklyn. He is a co-founder and former principal of El Puente Academy for Peace and Justice, the human rights public high school in the nation established in 1993. Thanks in large part to Mr. Calderón’s vision, the Academy has received national recognition as a community-school model, dedicated to nurturing leadership for peace and justice through culturally responsive practices. His leadership as a Principal has made the Academy one of the highest achieving schools in the City of New York. He served as the Director of Organizational Learning for the Expanded Success Initiative, which uses creative approaches to close the achievement gap for Black and Latino young men. He is the recipient of EL DIARIO’s “EL” award, which recognizes the 25 most influential Latinos in the tristate area. He has supported over 100 new Principals through the New School Intensive at the Leadership Academy.

Dr. Suzanne C. Carothers
Dr. Suzanne C. Carothers is a Professor of Education at New York University’s Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development in Department of Teaching and Learning. There she has served as Director of the Undergraduate Childhood/Childhood Special Education Program and Director of Undergraduate Studies. Prior to assuming her position at NYU, she was a Professor of Elementary Education at The City College of The City University of New York in Department of Education. Formerly, as the Adult Literacy Program Director in the Office of the Mayor of the City of New York during the Ed Koch Administration, Dr. Carothers coordinated the New York City Adult Literacy Initiative. At that time, it was a ground breaking effort which was in the forefront of the movement to provide literacy instruction and services for adults with limited reading, writing, and English speaking skills. Having been an early childhood teacher, Dr. Carothers was the teacher of three-year-olds at the Bank Street School for Children. A graduate of Bennett College in Greensboro, North Carolina, she received her Master’s Degree from Bank Street College of Education, and her Ph.D. from New York University. In 2001, Bank Street College of Education awarded her an honorary doctorate for outstanding achievement as a teacher, leader in education, and mentor.

Mr. Kevin S. Casey
Kevin Casey currently serves as executive director of the School Administrators Association of New York State (SAANYS), a position he has held since September 2006. As executive director, Casey leads the largest association for school administrators in New York. He oversees all association services and operations, which include legal and labor relations services, professional development opportunities, communications and legislative and regulatory advocacy. He also acts as the primary liaison between SAANYS and other educational advocacy groups at both the state and national levels. SAANYS represents approximately 7,000 school administrators, including principals, assistant principals, supervisors, and coordinators, among other titles. As a professional association, SAANYS provides direction, service, and support to its membership in its efforts to improve the quality of education and leadership in New York State schools. SAANYS is affiliated with the New York State Educational Conference Board, the National Association of Elementary School Principals (NAESP) and the National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP). Casey is a graduate of the State University of New York at Brockport and the George Mason University School of Law in Virginia.

Dr. Kriner Cash
Dr. Kriner Cash is superintendent of the Buffalo Public Schools. A lifelong change agent and advocate for high quality education for all children, Dr. Cash comes to Buffalo with 20 years of executive leadership experience in education, and successful outcomes for children as past superintendent in Memphis, Tennessee; and Martha’s Vineyard, Massachusetts. Dr. Cash points to the importance of releasing the potential in each child, and encourages administrators to set their own high goals and standards for student achievement, to be caring, and to use data as a powerful tool in intervention and guidance.

Mr. Michael Casserly
Michael Casserly has served as Executive Director of the Council of the Great City Schools since January 1992. Casserly also served as the organization’s Director of Legislation and Research for 15 years before assuming his current position. As head of the urban school group, Casserly unified big city schools nationwide around a vision of reform and improvement; launched an aggressive research program on trends in urban education; convened the first Education Summit of Big City Mayors and Superintendents; led the nation’s largest urban school districts to volunteer for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP); led the first national study of common practices among the nation’s fastest improving urban school districts, and launched national task forces on achievement gaps, leadership and governance, finance, professional development, and bilingual education. He is currently spearheading efforts to boost academic performance in the nation’s big city schools; strengthening management and operations; challenging inequitable state financing systems; and improving
### Dr. Sharon L. Conterras

An advocate for providing high quality education to all children, Sharon Conterras began her career as a high school English teacher in Rockford, Illinois, then went on to serve as a principal, area superintendent, assistant superintendent, and chief academic officer. After holding senior leadership positions in large, urban school districts in Georgia and Rhode Island, Sharon became superintendent of the Syracuse City School District (SCSD) on July 1, 2011. Not only is she the first female superintendent of the SCSD, but she is also the first woman of color in the history of New York State to serve as superintendent of one of the Big 5 districts. Sharon has degrees from the SUNY Binghamton and the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Sharon serves on numerous Boards and Councils, and is a well-regarded and sought after speaker at the state and national level and has won several awards for her civic and professional leadership.

### Assemblymember Marcos A. Crespo

Marcos was elected to the New York State Assembly at the age of 28 and his hard work and outcome proven approach has allowed him to quickly move into a leadership positions. In April of 2013 he was appointed to the Chairmanship of the Assembly Task Force on New Americans. In March of 2015, he was appointed by Assembly Speaker Carl E. Heastie to the Chairmanship of the Assembly Puerto Rican/Hispanic Task Force and the Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment. In addition to his statewide official responsibilities, he has worked to ensure that the Bronx finally has Metro North train service that will cut commuting time into Manhattan by an hour for working families. He is one of the youngest members of the New York State Legislature and in his relatively short 4.5 years as a State legislator, Marcos has authored major pieces of legislation now law. Most recently, he has authored a new law to incorporate youth financial literacy training in the Summer Jobs program, authorizes insurance companies to offer rate discounts for homeowners who actively make their homes or property more resistant to natural disasters, provide tax incentives to families looking to adopt children in Foster Care, and to combat rising rates of obesity and asthma a new law he authored will require the NYS Department of Health to focus on these two chronic diseases via all its public health programs. He is a prolific bill drafter with dozens of bills passing one or both houses of the legislature during his tenure. Marcos is a graduate of John Jay College of Criminal Justice, is married and has two young daughters.

### Dr. Rudy Crew

Rudy Crew is President of Medgar Evers College in Brooklyn, NY. A lifelong educator and author, Dr. Crew’s career has spanned from the classroom to the chancellorship of the nation’s largest school district, New York City Public Schools. In 2012, Dr. Crew was selected to serve as Oregon’s first Chief Education Officer. Dr. Crew is a renowned leader and reformer who has made it a mission to improve student achievement, especially for poor and minority students. The Chancellor’s District, The Parent Academy and the School Improvement Zone are among Dr. Crew’s innovations that are considered national models for reform. These successful strategies have engaged parents, business and political leaders, and the community, ensuring students achieve higher levels of success and are prepared for the global challenges ahead. Dr. Crew has served on numerous boards, including the Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts, Communities in Schools, Al Shanker Institute, and the Public Education Network. He is the recipient of many awards, including the NAACP Educational Leadership Award, the Arthur Ashe Leadership Award, and the AASA National Superintendent of the Year. Dr. Crew’s acclaimed book, Only Connect: The Way to Save Our Schools, continues to guide a vital public discussion.

### Rev. Shawn Dove

Shawn Dove serves as the CEO of the Campaign for Black Male Achievement (CBMA), a national membership organization dedicated to ensuring the growth, sustainability and impact of leaders and organizations focused on improving the life outcomes of America's Black men and boys. Dove launched CBMA at the Open Society Foundations in 2008 as the nation’s largest philanthropic initiative on this issue and has propelled CBMA into an independent entity, growing its membership to more than 5,000 leaders representing more than 2,000 organizations nation-wide. Over his career, Dove has demonstrated catalytic leadership in helping to establish and develop the field of Black Male Achievement. He served as a lead organizer of the Executives’ Alliance to Expand Opportunities for Boys & Young Men of Color; helped broker a partnership between Open Society Foundations, Bloomberg Philanthropies and the City of New York to launch the Young Men’s Initiative; and helped seed the launch of the White House’s My Brother’s Keeper Initiative. Under Dove’s direction, CBMA’s efforts led to the creation of the nation’s first-ever Black Male Achievement fellowship for social entrepreneurs, and played a key role in the formation of Cities United, a national strategy that facilitates partnerships between mayors, municipal leaders and
community-based organizations to eliminate violent deaths of Black men and boys in over 50 cities. Prior to heading up the Campaign for Black Male Achievement, Dove held over 20 years of leadership experience as a youth development professional, community-builder and advocate for children and families. A graduate of Wesleyan University and Columbia University Institute for Non-Profit Management., he was a recipient of the Charles H. Revson Fellowship at Columbia University and awarded a 2014 Prime Movers Fellowship for social movement leaders.

Chancellor Carmen Fariña
Carmen Fariña is Chancellor of the New York City Department of Education, the largest school district in the United States, serving 1.1 million students in over 1,800 schools. During her five-decade career, she has distinguished herself as an innovative teacher, principal, district superintendent, and deputy chancellor. As Chancellor—a role she assumed in January 2014—her priorities include meeting the needs of the whole child; engaging parents and families; ensuring collaboration, trust, and accountability within the system; and supporting rigorous Common-Core based standards to raise student achievement.

During her first full year as Chancellor, Fariña oversaw the historic expansion of pre-kindergarten to more than 53,000 four-year-olds; expanded the Community School model to provide more wrap-around services to students and families; created a stand-alone, cabinet-level department to support English Language Learners; and increased funding for arts education and after-school programs for middle school students. She also developed new leadership models, transforming the role of superintendents to better support principals; launched the Framework for Great Schools, a holistic research-based approach to school improvement; and created Learning Partners and Showcase Schools, demonstration sites of excellence that allow schools and educators to work collaboratively to strengthen their practices.

Fariña holds a Bachelor of Science from New York University and master’s degrees from Brooklyn College (Bilingual Education), Fordham University (Gifted/Arts Education), and Pace University (Administration and Supervision). She is co-author of A School Leader’s Guide to Excellence: Collaborating Our Way to Better Schools (Heinemann, 2008). Her honors include the Sloan Public Service Award (1989), presented annually to exemplary civil servants. In 2015, Crain’s New York Business selected Fariña as one of the 50 most powerful women in New York City and People en Español named her one of its 25 most powerful women. Also in 2015, Manhattanville College awarded her an honorary Doctor of Humane Letters degree. The mother of two adult daughters and proud grandmother of three young boys, Fariña lives in Brooklyn with her husband of 50 years.

Dr. Edward Fergus
Dr. Edward Fergus is Assistant Professor of Educational Leadership and Policy at Steinhardt School of Culture, Education and Human Development at New York University. Dr. Fergus current work is on the educational outcomes of boys of color, disproportionality in special education and suspensions, and school climate conditions for low-income and marginalized populations. Most recently served as Deputy Director of the Metropolitan Center for Urban Education (2004-2013) and during this time he directed the state contract with the New York State Department of Education on disproportionality in special education and suspension, and conducted numerous research studies on educational equity pertinent to boys of color, school practices, and evaluations of school programs. Fergus was also appointed in 2011 to the Yonkers Public Schools Board of Education (2011-2013), and currently serves on the Governor’s New York State Juvenile Justice Advisory Group (2010 – present), member of the PASE board (2013-present), and is an expert consultant for the U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division on Educational Opportunities (2014-present). He has published numerous articles on disproportionality in special education, race/ethnicity in schools, and is the author of Skin Color and Identity Formation: Perceptions of Opportunity and Academic Orientation among Mexican and Puerto Rican Youth (Routledge Press, 2004), co-editor of Invisible No More: Disenfranchisement of Latino Men and Boys (Routledge Press, 2011), and co-author of Schooling For Resilience: Improving Trajectory of Black and Latino boys (Harvard Education Press, 2014).

Dr. Fergus received a bachelor’s degree in Political Science and Education from Beloit College and a Doctorate in Educational Policy and Social Foundations from the University of Michigan.

Dr. Ronald Ferguson
Ronald Ferguson is an MIT-trained economist who has taught at Harvard University since 1983. His teaching and publications cover a variety of issues in education and economic development. In addition to teaching and writing, Dr. Ferguson consults actively with school departments and agencies at all levels of government on efforts to raise achievement levels and close achievement gaps. He is the creator of the Tripod Project for School Improvement, including the widely used Tripod Student Survey Assessments, the faculty co-chair and director of the Achievement Gap Initiative at Harvard University. He was also recently a faculty co-chair of the Pathways to Prosperity Initiative on adolescent-to-adult transitions at Harvard’s Graduate School of Education. After 31 years as full-time faculty, he has recently moved into an adjunct position.
Most of his research since the mid-1990s has focused on racial achievement gaps, appearing in publications of the National Research Council, the Brookings Institution, and the US Department of Education, in addition to various books and journals. His most recent book is Toward Excellence with Equity: An emerging vision for closing the achievement gap, published by Harvard Education Press. Dr. Ferguson earned an undergraduate degree from Cornell University and Ph.D. from MIT, both in economics.

Ms. Catalina R. Fortino
Catalina R. Fortino is a nationally recognized expert in professional development, curriculum, assessments and program development in the teaching profession and has distinguished herself among the educators who specialize in school reform for high-needs schools. A NYSUT vice president since April 2014, Fortino was the vice president for education and the director of the United Federation of Teachers’ Teacher Center.

Before turning her focus to professional development and the Teacher Center, Fortino was an early childhood teacher, a teacher of bilingual early childhood special education and a bilingual educational evaluator.

She has been the chair of the NYSUT Bilingual Committee of Practitioners, the co-chair of the New York State Professional Standards and Practices Board for Teaching, a member of the New York State Committee of Title I Practitioners and a member of the American Federation of Teachers English Language Learners Taskforce.

Fortino has a bachelor of science degree in early childhood education and a master’s degree in special education and bilingual education Queens College. She also studied curriculum development at Teachers College.

Mr. Kesi Foster
Kesi Foster coordinates the work of the Urban Youth Collaborative. For the past three years, he has worked with parents and youth in communities of color, building campaigns to fight for educational justice in our public school systems. He has provided research, facilitation, outreach and organizing assistance for the New York City A+ Coalition and the P.S. 2013 campaign. Both campaigns were designed to challenge education policies that were negatively impacting students and families in New York City, and to shape New York City’s 2013 Mayoral election around an education vision shared by the community. As Coordinator for the Annenberg Institute for School Reform’s Community Organizing and Engagement department, he supported the work of community organizations across the country working on equitable solutions for improving schools, such as Sustainable Community Schools and Ending the School-to-Prison pipeline. Previously, he has held positions as the Project Coordinator for the Right to Vote Campaign housed at New York University’s Brennan Center for Justice, and in workforce development, facilitating skill building workshops for formerly incarcerated individuals and residents of New York City’s Public Housing.

Urban Youth Collaborative Founded in 2004, the Urban Youth Collaborative (UYC) is a coalition of base-building youth organizing groups who work in some of NYC’s most marginalized neighborhoods and who come together to carry out strategic citywide campaigns for education reform. We fight for reforms that put youth first and are based in racial justice and equity in the public education system.

Mr. W. Cyrus Garrett
W. Cyrus Garrett currently serves as the Director of New York City’s Young Men’s Initiative in the Office of the Deputy Mayor for Strategic Policy. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Garrett served as a political analyst for Everytown for Gun Safety, which is funded by former Mayor Michael Bloomberg. He arrived at Everytown for Gun Safety after he served as the Deputy Director of Cabinet Planning for President Obama’s 2013 Inauguration. Mr. Garrett earned that honor after serving as a Deputy Field Director in Ohio for President Obama’s 2012 re-election campaign. Prior to the 2012 Presidential Election, Mr. Garrett served as a speechwriter to the Assistant Secretary of the Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE) for the U.S. Department of Education. From 2009-2011, Mr. Garrett served as the special advisor to Director Grayling Williams at the Department of Homeland Security’s Office of Counternarcotics Enforcement (CNE), and was the lead staffer on Western Hemisphere international affairs, congressional relations and conducting assessments on the flow of bulk cash and weapons from the U.S. into Mexico, Central and South America.

Prior to his appointment at Homeland Security, Mr. Garrett helped implement the inaugural White House Internship program during the spring of 2009. Mr. Garrett holds the distinctions as being one of the first 100 staffers to serve on President Barack Obama’s 2008 general campaign as a regional political director state of Indiana. Prior to joining the campaign, Cyrus Garrett worked as a gang and drug counselor at the Eldora State Training School for Boys in Iowa.

Mr. Garrett attended both the University of Illinois and University of Northern Iowa (UNI) and received his Bachelor’s degree in criminology from UNI. He is a native of Chicago, where he grew up with two older sisters.
Dr. Dorita P. Gibson

Dr. Dorita P. Gibson is the Senior Deputy Chancellor and Chancellor Fariña’s second in command at the New York City Department of Education (DOE). With more than 30 years’ experience in the public school system, Dr. Gibson has served as a teacher, assistant principal, principal, regional and supervising superintendent, and deputy chancellor. As Senior Deputy Chancellor for the largest school system in the country, Dr. Gibson oversees all aspects of the DOE’s cluster and network system for schools, superintendents, support for struggling schools, District 79 programs, and school communications. Prior to this role, Dr. Gibson served as Deputy Chancellor for Equity and Access where she worked to eliminate racial, ethnic and socioeconomic disparities, and continued the DOE’s efforts to provide every child from all neighborhoods and communities across New York City with equal opportunity and access to high-quality programs.

Dr. Gibson holds a doctoral degree from New York University (NYU), and currently is an adjunct professor at the NYU Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development. Dr. Gibson has a Master’s Degree in Special Education from NYU and a Bachelor’s Degree in Psychology from Albertus Magnus College, and received a license in Montessori Education from DePaul University. As a Dansforth Scholar, she received a professional diploma in Administration and Supervision from The City College of New York.

Dr. Gibson and her husband are proud parents of daughters Caitlin and Kelsey.

Honorable Deborah Glick

Deborah Glick has served as the Assemblymember representing the Village- East and West, SoHo, Tribeca and north Battery Park City for 25 years. Deborah is serving her 12th term in the Assembly. Deborah has always been a strong proponent of the arts and has consistently advocated for increases in funding statewide because of her conviction that the arts play a crucial role in the economic and cultural life of New York City and New York State. Currently, she is the sponsor of several measures to protect the rights of tenants, as well as legislation to provide a tax credit to renters.

In February 2007, Deborah was appointed Chair of the Assembly’s Higher Education Committee, which oversees all private and public higher education institutions, financial assistance for students, and professional licensing. Deborah also serves on the Ways and Means, Rules, Governmental Operations and Environmental Conservation Committees. Deborah passed a crucial truth in student lending reform. Additionally, in 2013, Deborah was also named Chair of the Assembly’s Intern Program. Deborah has also been active in protecting animals. Deborah brought the Humane Society and NRA together to ban internet hunting. Finally, in 2014, Deborah passed a ban on the sale, possession, breeding or transportation of Eurasian boars. Many of these animals, popular in canned hunts, have escaped and propagate in the wild causing extensive damage to agricultural crops, and endanger the quality of our water supply. Deborah attended New York City public schools from K-12, and holds an MBA from Fordham University.

Ms. Cheryl Hamilton

Cheryl Hamilton is Assistant Provost and Director of the Educational Opportunity Program/ Advancement on Individual Merit at Stony Brook University. The State University of New York’s Educational Opportunity Program provides access, academic support and financial aid to students who show promise for succeeding in college but who may not have otherwise been offered admission. Available primarily to full-time, matriculated students, the program supports students throughout their college careers within the University.

Cheryl is also the founding co-director of the University's Children's Defense Fund Freedom Schools Program. Cheryl serves as Affirmative Action Chairperson for the Stony Brook University Chapter of United University Professions, and is the Co-Chair of the statewide UUP Affirmative Action Committee. As president of the Council of EOP Directors in SUNY, she is also on the Board of the Directors of the Tri-State Consortium of Opportunity Programs in Higher Education. Cheryl actively serves on several campus-wide committees, and is on the Board of Directors of Herstory Writers Workshop.

Dr. Ramona Hernández

A native of the Dominican Republic, Dr. Ramona Hernández attended Lehman College until 1979, receiving a B.A. with honors in Latin American History and a minor in Puerto Rican Studies. She then pursued graduate work at New York University, earning an M.A. in 1982 in the Department of Latin American and Caribbean Studies, an M. Phil in 1995, and a Ph.D. in 1997, both in the Department of Sociology at the Graduate School of The City University of New York.

Currently, Dr. Hernández is at The City College of New York where she holds the positions of Director of the CUNY Dominican Studies Institute, Professor of Sociology at the City College of New York and Doctoral Faculty at the Graduate Center of CUNY. Her research interests include the mobility of workers from Latin America and the Caribbean, the socioeconomic conditions of Dominicans in the diaspora, particularly in the United States, and the restructuring of the world economy and its effects on working-class people.

Dr. Hernández is a member of the Editorial Board of the Latino Studies Journal and Camino Real: Estudios de las Hispanidades

At the moment, Dr. Hernández is writing a book about Dominican immigrants who came through the famous port of Ellis Island between 1892 and 1924; and editing the volume Narratives of Dominican Entrepreneurs in the U.S., with María Elizabeth Rodríguez and foreword by Alejandra Castillo.

Dr. Gerry House

Dr. Gerry House is president of the Institute for Student Achievement (ISA), a division of ETS, whose mission is to partner with schools and districts to transform public high schools so that students who are traditionally underserved and underperforming graduate prepared for success in college. Independent studies validate the positive effects of ISA’s model, including high college enrollment and persistence rates. In addition, the evaluation studies show that African American males in ISA schools outperform matched comparison students on key high school outcome measures, including attendance and graduation.

Prior to joining ISA, Dr. House was a teacher, counselor, principal and assistant superintendent and spent 15 years as school superintendent in Chapel Hill, North Carolina and Memphis, Tennessee. In both districts, Dr. House’s leadership resulted in greater equity and excellence for all students.

Dr. House is on the boards of the Alliance for Excellent Education, the Woodrow Wilson Fellowship Foundation and Adelphi University. She was recognized as the National Superintendent of the Year by the American Association of School Administrators (AASA), was a recipient of the Harold J. McGraw, Jr. Prize in Education and was presented with the first Alumni Leadership Award by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Education. In 2015 Dr. House was recognized by Diverse: Issues in Higher Education as one of 25 Outstanding Women in Higher Education.

Dr. House holds an Ed.D. in Education Administration from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, an M.A. in Counseling from Southern Illinois University, and a B.A. in English Education from North Carolina A&T State University.

Mr. Roderick Jenkins

Roderick Jenkins is a senior program officer with The New York Community Trust, managing over $3 million in youth development and workforce development grant making annually in New York City. Before joining The Trust, Jenkins was a social worker with the Harlem Children’s Zone’s Community Pride Project. Roderick holds an M.S.W. from the Silberman School of Social Work and a B.A from the Friends World program at Long Island University Brooklyn.

Honorable Judith S. Kaye

Judith S. Kaye joined Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP as Of Counsel in 2009, and focuses on litigation, arbitration and her "passion project": keeping kids in school and out of court. Before joining the firm, she served as Chief Judge of the State of New York and Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals for 15 years, until her retirement in 2008. She was appointed to the Court in 1983 by Governor Mario Cuomo, becoming the first woman ever to serve on New York’s highest court. Her judicial service thus spanned more than 25 years – from September 17, 1983 to December 31, 2008. Before her appointment to the bench, she practiced law at Sullivan & Cromwell, IBM and Olwine, Connelly, Chase, O'Donnell & Weyher, where she became that firm’s first female partner. She is a graduate of Barnard College (class of 1958) and New York University School of Law (cum laude, class of 1962). She has received numerous awards recognizing her judicial and scholarly accomplishments. She was also selected for inclusion in Chambers USA: America’s Leading Lawyers for Business 2015.

Dr. David E. Kirkland

David E. Kirkland, J.D., Ph.D. is an associate professor of English and Urban Education in the Department of Teaching and Learning at New York University’s (NYU) Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development. He also serves as Executive Director of The Metropolitan Center for Research on Equity and The Transformation of Schools. His transdisciplinary scholarship explores intersections among race, gender, and education. In so doing, he analyzes culture, language, and texts, and uses critical literary, ethnographic, and sociolinguistic research methods to answer complex questions at the center of equity in education. Dr. Kirkland taught middle and high school for several years in Michigan. He’s also organized youth empowerment and youth mentoring programs for over a decade. Dr. Kirkland has received many awards for his groundbreaking work in urban education, including the 2008 American Educational Research Association (AERA) Division G Outstanding Dissertation Award. He was a 2009-10 Ford Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow, a 2011-12 NAEd/Spencer Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow, and is a former fellow of the National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) Research Foundation’s “Cultivating New Voices among Scholars of Color” program. Dr. Kirkland has published
widely. His most recent publications include: *Black Skin, White Masks: Normalizing Whiteness and the Trouble with the Achievement Gap, English(es) in Urban Contexts: Politics, Pluralism, and Possibilities*, and *We Real Cool: Examining Black Males and Literacy. A Search Past Silence: The Literacy of Black Males*, the fifth book that Dr. Kirkland has authored, co-authored, edited, or co-edited, is a TC Press bestseller and winner of the 2014 AESA Critics Choice Award and the 2014 NCTE David H. Russell Award for Distinguished Research in the Teaching of English. He is also co-editor of the newly released *Students Right to Their Own Language*, a critical sourcebook published by Bedford/St. Martins Press.

### Mr. Timothy Kremer

Tim Kremer has been the executive director of the New York State School Boards Association (NYSSBA) since 1998. Before joining the NYSSBA, Mr. Kremer was employed by the Ohio School Boards Association for nearly 20 years. Mr. Kremer leads the NYSSBA staff in fulfilling its mission of providing advocacy, information, leadership development programs and customized consulting services for the state's nearly 700 school boards. He is a frequent spokesman for NYSSBA in addressing the membership, media, legislative bodies and other related organizations. Mr. Kremer's areas of expertise include association leadership, legislative advocacy, school board governance, executive recruitment and development, organizational change and employee relations. Mr. Kremer has co-authored three handbooks: one on the school board presidency, a second on the school board/superintendent relationship and a third regarding school district management team operations. Mr. Kremer has a master's degree in public administration from Ohio State University, where he specialized in human resource administration and labor relations. He also earned a bachelor's degree from Kent State University, majoring in political science.

### Mr. Khary Lazarre-White

Khary is a social entrepreneur, educator, non-profit executive, writer and attorney. In 1995 he co-founded The Brotherhood/Sister Sol, a nationally renowned, comprehensive youth development and educational organization that provides rites of passage programming, after school care, counseling, summer camps, job training, college preparation and scholarship, employment opportunities, community organizing training, legal representation, and month long international study programs to Africa and Latin America. Khary has extensive experience as a public speaker across the country, writes regular opinion pieces for The Huffington Post, and essays for publications that have included NYU Press, Nation Books, and MSNBC.com. He has appeared as a regular guest contributor on MSNBC, on CNN, and widely on other media sites as well. Khary has been recognized with an array of awards including from Oprah Winfrey, Ford Foundation, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Black Girls Rock, Andrew Goodman Foundation, Union Square and the Robert Crawford Achievement Prize. Khary received his Bachelors in Arts, with honors, from Brown University, and his Juris Doctorate from the Yale Law School where his focus was international human rights law and constitutional law.

### Dr. Andrew Livanis

Andrew Livanis is a NYS certified school psychologist, a NYS licensed psychologist, a Board Certified Behavior Analyst, and NYS licensed Behavior Analyst. Dr. Livanis has worked in a variety of settings and has founded large scale behavioral programs in public and private schools to include children with autism spectrum disorder as well as emotional and behavioral issues. He has worked with other schools to: implement curricula to improve the language functioning of children with autism; implement school-wide positive behavioral supports for all children; and engage in program review and analysis. Dr. Livanis is the Co-chair of the Department of Counseling and School Psychology at LIU in Downtown Brooklyn, and serves as the founder and director of the Applied Behavior Analysis program. Dr. Livanis has spent a great deal of time developing the combined Behavior Analysis in School Psychology program at LIU-Brooklyn (BASP), which focuses on preparing school psychologists who are behavior analysts. Dr. Livanis is the founder and chief psychologist of the Astoria Behavioral Clinic (www.astoriabebehavior.com) which provides direct psychological services for children with developmental and emotional disabilities, parent and school consultation, as well as supervision of BCBA candidates.

### Mr. Ernest Logan

Ernest Logan worked for nearly 25 years in the NYC public schools. He began teaching English at PS 224, D-19, Brooklyn soon after graduating from SUNY Cortland and within five years he was a curriculum writer for the Office of Curriculum and Development. In 1983, he became the Assistant Principal at JHS 263, D-23, Brooklyn, and in 1991, he was appointed as Principal of I.S. 55, D-23. In 1997 he took a leave of absence to join CSA’s staff as a Field Service representative. Rising through the ranks, he was elected President in November 2006 by acclamation and again in November 2009 and 2012. He began his third term as CSA’s President on Feb. 1, 2013. In addition to his responsibilities at the union, Mr. Logan is a board member for New Visions for Public Schools, the Research Alliance for New York City Schools, the NYC Independent Budget Office and the Council for Unity. Mr. Logan is also the Executive Vice President of CSA’s national union, The American Federation of School Administrators (AFSA) AFL-CIO and, as
Ernest A. Logan Scholarship at SUNY Cortland, which provides tuition assistance for NYC public school students. Ernest is a Deacon at the Mount Calvary Baptist Church in Harlem, where he also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

### Ms. Barbara Martin
Barbara Martin is the Director of the COPE Program at Bronx Community College. College Opportunity to Prepare for Employment Program (COPE) is a collaboration between The City University of New York (CUNY) and The City of New York Human Resources Administration (HRA) that provides supportive services to CUNY students receiving public assistance. Ms. Martin previously worked in the Department of Social Work at Harlem Hospital Center as well as in the Departments of Pediatrics, Obstetrics, Gynecology and Adolescent Family Planning. She received her Master’s in Social Work from Atlanta University and completed post graduate work at the Columbia University School of Social Work. Ms Martin has received numerous awards and recognitions for her work from a variety of organizations including the Coalition of 100 Black Women, the Jack and Jill Foundation, and the Bronx Community College Black Male Initiative project.

### Dr. Félix V. Matos Rodríguez
Félix V. Matos Rodríguez, the tenth president of Queens College of the City University of New York, has a career spanning academia and the public sector. A cum laude graduate in Latin American Studies from Yale University, Matos Rodríguez received his PhD in history from Columbia University. He taught at Yale, Northeastern University, Boston College, the Universidad Interamericana–Recinto Metro, City College, and Hunter College, where he also directed the Center for Puerto Rican Studies, one of the largest and most important Latino research centers in the United States. Subsequently, Matos Rodríguez was appointed senior social welfare and health advisor to the governor of Puerto Rico. From 2006 to 2008, Matos Rodríguez served as the Commonwealth's cabinet secretary of the Department of Family Services. Matos Rodríguez returned to higher education in 2009 as president of Eugenio María de Hostos Community College/CUNY. Matos Rodríguez has an extensive publication record in the fields of Women's, Puerto Rican, Caribbean, and Latino Studies and Migration. A member of the Council on Foreign Relations, Matos Rodríguez is also an Aspen Institute Ascend Fellow. He also serves on the boards of the Hispanic Association of Colleges and Universities (HACU), and Phipps Houses.

### Dr. Aletha Maybank
Aletha Maybank, MD, MPH is highly proficient physician with demonstrated excellence in public health, health communications/media, preventive medicine, and leadership. Currently, Dr. Maybank is an Associate Commissioner at the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and serves as the Founding Director of the Center for Health Equity. The newly created Center for Health Equity aligns efforts in advancing health equity across the City and ensures the deployment of resources to reduce health and mental hygiene disparities across all neighborhoods in NYC. The Center focuses on these key areas: leveraging community assets to better integrate primary care and public health to serve the health needs of communities; building inter-agency collaboration to implement multi-sectorial approach to addressing the root causes of health disparities; and increasing organizational capacity that strengthens the agency’s lens of addressing health equity. Previously she led the Brooklyn District Public Health Office as Assistant Commissioner in the NYC Health Department. Prior to her tenure at the NYC Health Department, Dr. Maybank successfully launched the Office of Minority Health as its Founding Director in the Suffolk County Department of Health Services in Long Island NY. Dr. Maybanks is an Assistant Professor in the Masters in Public Health Program at Long Island University Brooklyn Campus teaching on topics related to health inequities, health communications, public health leadership and management, and community organizing in health.

### Dr. Carlos N. Medina
Carlos N. Medina is the Chief Diversity Officer and Senior Associate Vice Chancellor for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion at SUNY. He is one of only a handful to hold the position within higher education systems nationally. Since August 2011, Medina has led SUNY’s efforts in promoting and advancing the University’s diversity goals and ensuring that they are properly captured within all university policies and procedures. He provides leadership and strategic direction to SUNY campuses in connection with the recruitment and retention of faculty, staff, and administrators who come from groups within our society that are underrepresented in higher education and in SUNY. He currently serves as co-chair of the SUNY-wide Diversity Task Force leading the charge of identifying new ways in which the System’s diversity can be increased to
better reflect and be aligned with that of New York State. He is also a member of the Chancellor’s cabinet assisting with strategic planning leading to implementation of SUNY-wide policies and initiatives.

**Honorable Velmanette Montgomery**

Velmanette Montgomery is recognized for her effective leadership and steadfast commitment to her constituents of north and central Brooklyn as well as to New Yorkers statewide.

In her role as the Ranking Democrat on the Senate Committee on Children and Families, Senator Montgomery is committed to helping young people achieve positive outcomes through reform of the State's juvenile justice, foster care and adoptive care systems.

Senator Montgomery continues to be one of New York's leading proponents of school-based health care as a model system for delivering comprehensive primary and mental health services to children of all ages, in the school setting where youth spend most of their day. The Senator’s Teen Health Agenda includes legislation that requires, among other things, the teaching of age appropriate, medically accurate sexuality education in kindergarten through 12th grade. Senator Montgomery is the co-sponsor of the law that allows for the certification of nurse practitioners, and she spearheaded the campaign to stem the spread of AIDS among intravenous drug users through legalized needle exchange programs.

As a respected advocate for criminal justice reform and a member of the Senate Committee on Crime Victims, Crime and Correction, Senator Montgomery sponsored a law that prevents New Yorkers from being arbitrarily denied a license to barber or practice cosmetology just because they spent time in prison. She also authored a law that prohibits the shackling of a pregnant woman in prison while being transported to the hospital to deliver her baby.

Other recent Montgomery laws include a measure that prevent the NYS Office of Children and Families from posting the home address or personal information of day care providers on the Internet; a proposal that provided over $400 million in federal funding for the repair of NYCHA buildings, and a law that prohibits employers from discriminating in the granting of funeral or bereavement leave to its employees who are in a committed same-sex relationship.

**Honorable Walter T. Mosley**

Walter T. Mosley was elected in November 2012 to represent Brooklyn’s 57th district in the New York State Assembly. As former Second Vice Chair of the Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic, and Asian Legislative Caucus and a member of the committees on Housing, Codes, Corrections, Banks, and Education, Assemblyman Mosley is dedicated to helping struggling working families and giving his community a powerful voice in Albany. Assemblyman Mosley was recently appointed chair of the sub-committee on Regulated Mortgage Lenders. Assemblyman Mosley is also a proud member of the New York State Caucus of Environmental Legislators, State Legislators Against Illegal Guns (SLAIG), the American State Legislators For Gun Violence Prevention (ASLGVP), and the bi-partisan coalition, Leaders Eradicating All Poverty (LEAP).

In addition to serving as a member of the Assembly, Mosley is also the District Leader and New York State Committeeeman for the 57th district. Prior to being elected, Assemblyman Mosley served as the Special Assistant & External Relations Specialist to the NYS Senate Minority Leader, where he facilitated internal and external governmental affairs matters on behalf of the Senate Minority Conference. Assemblyman Mosley received his bachelor’s degree in Criminology, with a minor in African Studies and American History, from The Pennsylvania State University at University Park, graduating with honors for his exceptional academic performance. In 1998, he then received his law degree from the historic Howard University in Washington D.C. Currently, Assemblyman Mosley serves as an adjunct professor at Berkeley College, teaching courses in criminal justice, government, and media relations.

**Dr. Khalil Gibran Muhammad**

Khalil Gibran Muhammad is a Visiting Professor at the CUNY Graduate Center and the Director of the Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture, a research division of the New York Public Library and one of the world's leading research facilities dedicated to the history of the African diaspora. Khalil holds a doctorate in US history from Rutgers University (2004) and is a former associate professor of history at Indiana University. He is the author of The Condemnation of Blackness: Race, Crime, and the Making of Modern Urban America (Harvard), which won the 2011 John Hope Franklin Best Book award in American Studies. He is a contributing author of a 2014 National Research Council study, The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring Causes and Consequences (National Academies Press). His research focuses on racial criminalization in modern U.S. History.

Khalil's scholarship has been featured in a number of national print and broadcast media outlets, including the New York Times, New Yorker, Washington Post, NPR and MSNBC. Muhammad is a former associate editor of The Journal of American History and prior Andrew W. Mellon fellow at the Vera Institute of Justice.

He is the recipient of numerous awards and honors for his commitment to public engagement, including Crain Business Magazine's 40 under 40 (2011), Ebony Power 100 (2013) and The Root 100 of Black Influencers (2012 and 2013). He also holds
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr. Jai Nanda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jai Nanda is the Founder and Executive Director of the Urban Dove and Urban Dove Team Charter School. Urban Dove, founded in 1998, is an award-winning non-profit organization that provides positive, educational programs to New York City's at-risk youth. Urban Dove serves hundreds of children each year, using a unique combination of peer mentoring, recreation and education. Jai founded Urban Dove TEAM Charter School in 2012. Located in Bedford-Stuyvesant, Urban Dove TEAM is an incredibly unique and innovative alternative high school for Overage/Under-credited students who are at high-risk of dropping out. UD Team is the only school of its kind – serving exclusively OA/UC students under the age of 16. UD Team uses a unique Sports-based Youth Development framework to re-engage students and put them back on track to graduation and post-secondary education. Jai was born and raised in New York City. After completing a public school education, he graduated from the University of Michigan. Before founding Urban Dove, Jai worked as a teacher in the New York City school system both at the high school level and at the City University of New York.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr. Michael T. Nettles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael T. Nettles is Senior Vice President and the Edmund W. Gordon Chair of ETS’s Policy Evaluation &amp; Research Center (PERC), and heads up the Early Childhood Research Center. Nettles has a national reputation as a policy researcher on educational assessment, student performance and achievement, educational equity, and higher education finance policy. His publications reflect his broad interest in public policy, student and faculty access, opportunity, achievement and assessment at both the K–12 and postsecondary levels. His current professional activities include serving as a member of the National Research Council Board on Testing and Assessment (BOTA). Nettles is a member of the Bank Street College of Education Board of Trustees. He also serves on the Board of the National Science Foundation-sponsored Center on Research on Teaching and Learning (CRTL); the Joint Advisory Board for Education Research Centers in the state of Texas; the Board of the Center for Enrollment Research, Policy, and Practice (CERPP) at the University of Southern California; the National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment (NCIEA), Inc.; the Harvard University Medical School’s Office of Diversity and Community Partnership Research Council; and the Advisory Board of the Community Links Foundation. Nettles also served for a decade on the National Assessment Governing Board, which oversees and develops policies for the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). Nettles earned a B.A. in political science from the University of Tennessee. He received a master’s degree in political science and higher education, and a Ph.D. in education from Iowa State University.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honorable Catherine Nolan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Nolan represents the 37th Assembly District in Queens County, which includes the historic New York City neighborhoods of Sunnyside, Ridgewood, Long Island City, Queensbridge, Ravenswood, Astoria, Woodside, Maspeth, Dutch Kills and Blissville. She was first elected to the Assembly in 1984. A resident of the district for most of her life, she is a graduate of St. Aloysius R.C. School and Grover Cleveland High School. Ms. Nolan graduated from New York University cum laude with a B.A. degree in Political Science. Speaker Sheldon Silver appointed Ms. Nolan to Chair the Assembly’s Committee on Education in 2006. Prior to Chairing the Education Committee, Ms. Nolan chaired the Assembly’s Committee on Banks from 2003. Ms. Nolan also chaired the Committee on Labor. In addition, she serves on the Ways and Means Committee, the Veterans’ Affairs Committee and the Corporations, Authorities and Commissions Committee. She also serves on the Assembly Majority Steering Committee, and the Rules Committee. Prior to that, she chaired the NYS Assembly Commission on State-Federal Relations, where she focused on fighting for more federal aid for public transportation. Assemblywoman Nolan is also a proud past Chair of the Legislative Women’s Caucus. Assemblywoman Nolan is active in many civic associations in her district where she has been the recipient of numerous awards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr. Gregory Owens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Owens is a licensed master social, and has worked for the NY State Division for Youth/Office for Children and Family Services for 28 years. He is currently the Director of Strategic Partnerships and Collaborations in the Division of Child Welfare and Community Services. Mr. Owens worked as a treating clinician for the National Football League, is a trainer and consultant in leadership development, mentoring, and effective approaches for working with young Black males. He has consulted on racial disproportionality in the juvenile justice systems in Ohio, Michigan and Hawaii. He has participated as a member of the Open Society Foundation Campaign for Black Male Achievement, the national advisory board on Improving Outcomes for African American Students and the Standing Committee on Violence Against Women.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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American Males in the Child Welfare System: Identifying Effective Programs and Services, and the Alliance Network of Social Service Administrators Committed to Racial Equity, and is an advisory board member of the Child Welfare Adoption Leadership Institute (CWALI): Developing and Supporting Emerging Leaders of Color.

Mr. Owens received his BA in Sociology from Rider University and a master's degree in Social Work from the University of Pennsylvania. He is married, has a daughter in college, and is a Deacon at the Macedonia Baptist Church in Colonie, NY.

Dr. Roberto Padilla

Roberto Padilla is the Superintendent of the Newburgh Enlarged City School District in Newburgh, New York. From humble beginnings as a child, Padilla learned early on in his life the true meaning of resiliency and grit. He is a life-long educator having been a teacher, assistant principal, principal, coach, and leadership consultant. Even today, he considers himself a teacher who just happens to be a superintendent.

Padilla was a teacher and principal in New York City. He is widely recognized for his leadership in turning around failing schools and supporting school district leaders both nationally and internationally on change leadership. He considers himself to be an equity warrior whose purpose is to give all children a fighting chance at having a productive life. He is committed to placing effective teachers and school leaders in every school.

Dr. Padilla was appointed to Harvard University's Principal Center's advisory board where he also has served as a group leader and moderator. He received his doctorate from Fordham University. Padilla is a graduate of the Association of Latino Administrators and Superintendents Academy and the AASA Superintendent National Certification program. He has served on many non-profit Boards and leadership panels throughout his career.

Padilla and his wife, Eury, have three children.

Honorable Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes

Assembly Member Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes, has faithfully served New York State’s 141st Assembly district since 2003. An advocate with clear and principled service, she has always put policy before politics. In February 2015, she was appointed as Chair of the Assembly's Committee on Governmental Operations. From 2013 to 2015, she was elected as Chair of the New York State Association of Black & Puerto Rican Legislators, with the responsibility of organizing the annual conference weekend to raise college scholarship funds for students of color. As a graduate of Buffalo Public Schools including Buffalo State College, she earned a Master’s Degree in Student Personnel Administration and a Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education. Assemblymember Peoples-Stokes attributes her achievements and abilities to her strong faith and family. She is a member of both True Bethel Baptist Church and St. Lukes AME Zion Church and currently resides in Buffalo, New York with her husband.

Dr. Robert Reidy, Jr.

Dr. Robert Reidy, Jr., is the Executive Director of the New York State Council of School Superintendents, a statewide professional association for chief school officers. THE COUNCIL develops excellence in educational leadership, advocates for high quality services to children and promotes the importance of leadership in improving public education. Prior to his work at The Council, Dr. Reidy served as a Chief School Administrator for 32 years in Pennsylvania, New Hampshire and New York State. His service in New York included assignments in the Capital, Southern Tier and Lower Hudson regions. Dr. Reidy received his Ph. D. from the University of Connecticut, his M. A. from Central Michigan University and his Bachelor's degree from Worcester State College. He is a former adjunct professor at the College of Saint Rose and Fordham University. He is also a former Charles Stewart Mott Foundation fellow.

He and his wife, Michelle, have two children – Christopher and Danielle. Chris and his wife, Molly, have a four year old daughter.

Dr. Luis O. Reyes

Luis O. Reyes, Ph.D., was appointed as a Research Associate at the Center for Puerto Rican Studies, Hunter College, CUNY, in 2010. He serves as Centro’s Director of Education. Dr. Reyes has served as Assistant Professor in various education departments, including Lehman, Hunter, Brooklyn and Baruch Colleges, CUNY, and at Long Island University, Brooklyn Campus. Dr. Reyes received his Ph.D. in Social Sciences in Education from Stanford University in California. Dr. Reyes was Aspira of New York’s Director of Research and Advocacy in the 1980s. He served as a Member of the New York City Board of Education in the 1990s. He coordinated the Coalition for Educational Excellence for English Language Learners (CEEELL) between 2002 and 2009, and is a founding member of the Latino Coalition for Early Care and Education (LCECE).

Dr. Frank D. Sanchez

In January 2011, Dr. Frank D. Sanchez was appointed as the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs at the City University of New York (CUNY). Today, CUNY is the largest urban, public university in America serving over 500,000 students across 24 colleges. For over 20 years Dr. Frank D. Sanchez has worked to advance campus student services, programs and policies...
aimed at increasing student success and degree completion. Dr. Sanchez has presented at numerous national conferences and consulted on an assortment of content areas including student recruitment, retention, policy, evidence-based practice and diversity with a devoted emphasis on serving low income and first-generation students. Dr. Sanchez holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Psychology with minors in Communication and Chicano Studies from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, a Master of Science degree in Student Affairs and Higher Education from Colorado State University and a Doctor of Philosophy in Higher Education Administration with a minor in Learning, Cognition and Instruction from Indiana University-Bloomington.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr. Jabali Sawicki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jabali Sawicki is an Instructional Designer at Zearn, a non-profit digital learning organization. Prior to joining Zearn, Jabali served as the founding principal and Head of School of Excellence Boys Charter School, of Bedford Stuyvesant located in Brooklyn, NY. As a member of Uncommon Schools, Excellence prepares its young boys to enter, succeed in, and graduate from outstanding college preparatory high schools and colleges. Prior to founding Excellence, Mr. Sawicki taught Science in Boston, MA at Roxbury Preparatory Charter School, one of the state's most successful urban charter schools. Jabali serves on the National Board of Summer Search (a leadership development program focused on low-income youth) and the Oprah Winfrey Leadership Academy in South Africa. Jabali is the founder and author of Black.Man.Teach., a blog celebrating the work of Black male teachers. Jabali is a graduate of Oberlin College where he received a dual degree in Biology and Philosophy. He received his Master's Degree in Educational Administration from Teachers College, Columbia University.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dr. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Yolanda Sealey-Ruiz is an Associate Professor of English Education at Teachers College, Columbia University, Yolanda earned her Master's degree from Teachers College, Columbia University, and her Ph.D. from New York University. Concerned with Equity Pedagogy, her work specifically examines the racial literacy knowledge of teachers, the development of culturally responsive pedagogy, and the literate identities of Black and Latino male secondary students. Dr. Sealey-Ruiz is co-founder of UMOJA Readers and Writers at a New York City high school, where she facilitates a critical thinking and writing course for adolescent males of color. She provides professional development on culturally responsive education for teachers and administrators around the country. Prior to joining the faculty at Teachers College, Dr. Sealey-Ruiz was a Research Associate with New York University's Metropolitan Center for Urban Education, and she worked in Corporate America for 13 years. She is founder of Racial Literacy Roundtables Series at Teachers College, an informal discussion group where graduate students and national scholars engage the Teachers College, Columbia University community on issues of race and diversity in education.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ms. Iesha Sekou</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iesha Sekou, a South Bronx native, is the founder and CEO of Street Corner Resources Inc., a not for profit 501(c)(3), founded in 2007. Its mission is to bring education, employment, training and other resources to the community. &quot;We must give young people an outlet to redirect their energy,&quot; is what you can hear her say on any given day. In conjunction with Harlem Renaissance High School, the Street Corner Resources I AM Peace studio was erected. It has become a safe-haven where young people go to discuss everyday issues that constantly plague inner-city youth. The studio also serves as a place for youth to safely gather and create positive-driven music through self-expression. Iesha works tirelessly to help today's youth believe in their own greatness, despite the negative images they are bombarded with on a daily basis. As founder of Street Corner Resources, Inc., Iesha encourages the use of innovative methods to challenge youth to improve their lives. &quot;Rekindling the inner spirit to succeed&quot; and &quot;To be or not to be,&quot; are some of Street Corner Resources' flagship workshops. Iesha is documented in Columbia University's Activist Women Voices, highlighting her work with young women and her efforts to assist them in avoiding teen pregnancy while acquiring a better self-image. She is also the host of Street Corner Resources LIVE, a community affairs radio show which airs every Monday from 8-9 a.m. on WHCR 90.3 FM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr. Diallo Shabazz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diallo Shabazz is a global education advisor and sustainability expert who has worked with government agencies and NGOs in North America, Asia, and Africa. He is currently Executive Director of One Hundred Black Men, an organization in New York committed to transforming economic and social policy, and launching independent programs and organizations that serve low-income communities. He previously served as Senior Director of Sustainability Education at the NYC Department of Education to support the nation’s largest school system on structuring public/private partnerships to improve career and technical education. From 2011 - 2013, Diallo was appointed as a North American Civil Society Representative for the United Nations Environment Programme, which he represented at ministerial-level meetings on the Post-2015 Agenda and sustainable development goals. Diallo formerly worked for the environmental organization Solar One on green workforce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
development, and also previously worked for the NAACP where he specialized in youth development and civil rights. An avid speaker and moderator, Diallo has hosted numerous forums and appeared on various media outlets including UN Web TV, New York Public Radio, and ABC’s 20/20.

### Dr. Deborah Shanley

For the last 20 years, Deb Shanley served as a Dean at both Brooklyn College (School of Education) and Medgar Evers College (School of Liberal Arts and Education). This year she returned to teaching in the Secondary Education Dept. at BC after spending a year with the Council of the Great City Schools (CGCS) to strengthen the relationships between K-12 membership districts and higher education. Deb is most proud of her team work with the Brooklyn College Academy's Early College and BC's Urban Community Teachers Project that has documented success with 100% graduation rates, 100% job placements in schools, the Peace Corps or related educational settings and more young men completing Masters degrees with four moving on to PhD programs. She served on the National Parks 21st Commission and continues to volunteer chairing committees including a National Park System Learning Summit in spring 2016 in DC. In her spare time, she serves on three Boards (CGSC, the National Network for Educational Renewal and the Middle College National Consortium) and is the Chairwoman of the Achievement First Brooklyn schools. She is currently co-editing a book on best practices of school- university partnerships within the CGCS and was invited to join the new Regulatory Task Force on Academic Policy in the NYC DOE.

### Dr. Warren Simmons

Warren Simmons directs the work of the Annenberg Institute for School Reform (AISR) at Brown University and teaches a course in Urban Systems and Structure in Brown University's Urban Education Policy Master's Program. AISR’s mission is to improve outcomes and practices in urban schools, especially those attended by traditionally underserved students. Before joining AISR in 1998, he was founding director of the Philadelphia Education Fund, a reform support organization that helped the School District of Philadelphia to fund, develop, and implement new academic standards, content-based professional development, standards-based curriculum resources, and comprehensive school reform.

Dr. Simmons received a B.A. in psychology from Macalester College and a Ph.D. in psychology from Cornell University. He is a recent recipient of the Distinguished Citizens Award from the National Governors Association and has served on the advisory groups and boards of several prominent national organizations. He currently co-chairs the Aspen Urban Superintendents Network and he was recently appointed by the National Research Council (NRC) to serve on the NRC’s Committee on Strengthening Science Education Through a Teacher Learning Continuum. Dr. Simmons was also recently elected a trustee at Roger Williams University and a member of the board of directors of the Nellie Mae Education Fund.

### Mr. Glenn Eric Singleton

Glenn hails from Baltimore, Maryland and earned his bachelor's degree from the University of Pennsylvania and his master's degree from the Graduate School of Education at Stanford University. In 1992, he founded Pacific Educational Group, Inc. (PEG). Singleton and his PEG associates deliver comprehensive training and coaching for leaders throughout the U.S., Canada, Australia and New Zealand. His team guides leaders in all sectors to heighten their awareness of race and implement effective strategies for eliminating racial disparities. He is author of *Courageous Conversations About Race*, which earned “Book of the Year” recognition from both the National Staff Development Council and ForeWord Magazine in 2006. His third and most recent book, *Courageous Conversations About Race, 2nd Edition*, was published in 2015. Singleton served as adjunct professor of Educational Leadership at San José State University from 2004–2012. In 2009, Singleton was elected to serve as a commissioner on the California State Board of Education African American Commission. He is founder of Foundation for a College Education of East Palo Alto, California, where he currently serves on the board of advisors. In 2014, Singleton was inducted into the Phi Beta Sigma Fraternity, Incorporated. Singleton resides in San Francisco, California.

### Ms. Michèle Stephenson

Award-winning filmmaker, artist and author, Michèle Stephenson, pulls from her Caribbean roots and international experience as a human rights attorney to tackle documentaries on communities of color related to race, equity and inclusion. Her work has appeared on a variety of broadcast and web platforms, including PBS, Showtime and MTV. Her most recent film, *American Promise*, was nominated for three Emmys including Best Documentary and Best News Coverage of a Contemporary Issue; the film also won honors at the Sundance and New York Film Festivals, the African American Film Critic’s Association and was awarded the PUMA BritDoc Impact Award. Stephenson's recent book, *Promises Kept*, written along with co-authors Joe Brewster and Hilary Beard, won an NAACP Image Award for Outstanding Literary Work.
Vanessa Threata has spent more than 10 years working to pursue positive outcomes for the most vulnerable populations in New York State. She currently is the Executive Director of SUNY's Cradle to Career Alliance, which was launched by Chancellor Nancy Zimpher in February of 2013. SUNY C2C or Alliance serves as a statewide intermediary of the StriveTogether National Network. SUNY C2C helps cradle-to-career partnerships adapt the StriveTogether framework and implement the StriveTogether Theory of Action. She is currently working with 8 communities across the State of New York strengthening collective impact in education partnerships.

Vanessa brings to this position her leadership and education experience helping to found a charter middle school for girls and her work as a classroom teacher, administrator, and principal, where she worked to ensure the quality of educational experiences for high-risk, high-need urban populations. Additionally, Vanessa brings her understanding of social, emotional, and mental health needs from her clinical training as an art therapist and work with geriatric and special needs adults. Vanessa earned a bachelor's degree from Dartmouth College, a master's degree in Art Therapy from The School of Art Institute of Chicago, and dual master's degrees in Literacy and Special Education from SUNY Albany.

Dr. Ivory A. Toldson

Dr. Ivory A. Toldson was appointed by President Barack Obama to devise national strategies to sustain and expand federal support to HBCUs, as the acting executive director of the White House Initiative on Historically Black Colleges and Universities. He is currently on leave from his position as full professor at Howard University. He has also served as senior research analyst for the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation, and editor-in-chief of The Journal of Negro Education.

Dr. Toldson conceptualized the White House Initiative on HBCUs All-Stars program, which identifies and engages the top HBCU scholars. Dr. Toldson has more than 65 publications, including 4 books, and more than 150 research presentations in 36 US states, Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, Scotland, South Africa, Paris, and Barcelona. He has been featured on MSNBC, C-SPAN2 Books, NPR News, POTUS on XM Satellite Radio, and numerous local radio stations. Dubbed a leader "who could conceivably navigate the path to the White House" by the Washington Post, one of "30 leaders in the fight for Black men," by Newsweek Magazine, and the "Problem Solver" by Diverse: Issues In Higher Education, Dr. Toldson, according to U.S. Secretary Arne Duncan, is "a prolific young scholar and myth buster."

After completing coursework for a Ph.D. in Counseling Psychology at Temple University, Dr. Toldson became a correctional and forensic psychology resident at the United States Penitentiary. There, he completed his dissertation on Black Men in the Criminal Justice System. He has received formal training in applied statistics from the University of Michigan, and held visiting research and teacher appointments at Emory, Drexel, and Morehouse School of Medicine.

Dr. Bolgen Vargas

Dr. Bolgen Vargas is Superintendent of the Rochester City School District and leads the district’s commitment to the goals of My Brother’s Keeper.

He became Superintendent in July 2012 after 13 months as Interim Superintendent. Dr. Vargas served previously as a Commissioner on the Rochester Board of Education for eight years, including four as president. Born in the Dominican Republic, Dr. Vargas immigrated to the United States as a high school student who spoke only Spanish. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in international studies and a master's degree in school counseling, both from the State University of New York at Brockport. He received his doctorate in Education Leadership and Organization from the University of Pennsylvania.

For his doctoral research, Dr. Vargas analyzed the success and failure rates of students facing multiple risk factors in Rochester's high school graduating class of 2009. He earned the honor of distinction for his dissertation, titled “Educational Success in the Face of Adversity as Measured by High School Graduation.”

Dr. Ron Walker

Ron Walker has over 45 years of experience serving as a teacher, principal, staff developer, and consultant in various educational communities. Currently, Ron serves as the Executive Director and is a founding member of the Coalition of Schools Educating Boys of Color (COSEBOC). The mission of COSEBOC, founded in 2007, is to connect, inspire, support and strengthen school leaders dedicated to the social, emotional and academic development of boys and young men of color.

Ron has also grown the visibility of COSEBOC and has made many presentations on the national and state level. He has presented to the U.S. Department of Education, College Board, California Association of African American Administrators and Superintendents, The American Public Health Association, The Council of Urban Boards of Education as well being interviewed by Soledad O'Brien on symposium on Educating Black Males. He was also invited to attend President Obama’s My Brother’s Keeper Forum held at the White House. Ron has authored two publications on leadership and is featured in numerous education articles.

Ron attributes any success that he has gained to his unrelenting belief in God, the lessons taught by his parents Solomon...
Mr. Paul Washington

Paul Washington is a longtime community activist who has participated in the movement for Social Justice for over 25 years. Former Chief of Staff to Council member Charles Barron Mr. Washington is a grass roots organizer engaging in Electoral Politics and Union organizing. He has written a number of articles on Black Political Empowerment, Police Mis-Conduct, Economic Justice issues, Black Male Development and Education. He is presently Coordinator of Outreach for the Male Development and Empowerment Center at Medgar Evers College and Vice-Chair for Cross Campus Chapter of HEOs (Higher Education Officers) for the Professional Staff Congress, the faculty and staff of the City University of New York. Mr. Washington has been an educational Counselor for Black Veterans for Social Justice which provided services for Homeless Men in Central Brooklyn. Mr. Washington has stated that working at “Pamoja House was one of the most important and valued positions he has held, for it placed me in the front line on fighting and educating people about the crisis of Black Males in America.” Mr. Washington has a B.A. in Social Science from the College of New Rochelle and a M.A. in Political Science and Public Administration from Brooklyn College. He is presently writing a biography on “Morris U. Schappes,” a scholar, activist, writer and Union organizer who taught at City College during the 1930s, who was wrongly convicted and sentenced to prison for his political affiliations and Union organizing.

Mr. Jermaine Wright

Jermaine Wright is the University Director of The City University of New York Black Male Initiative (CUNY BMI). A graduate of Binghamton University with a B.A. in Political Science and Sociology, Wright later earned a Master’s in Public Administration (MPA) degree with a concentration in Management and Operations from the John Jay College of Criminal Justice/CUNY. Prior to assuming the role of University Director, Wright served as the first CUNY BMI University Associate Director for six years. As the Associate Director, he developed and implemented structured mentorship programs for nearly 3,000 students CUNY wide within the BMI projects and managed/provided technical assistance to approximately 100 administrators/faculty members throughout CUNY system running BMI projects. Before CUNY, Wright worked at the National Urban League as a Program Manager for the Urban Youth Empowerment Program (UYEP), a comprehensive workforce development model for disconnected and adjudicated youth between the ages of 18-24. As a Program Manager, Wright managed several National Urban League affiliates across the nation that were operating UYEP. Additionally, he revised program policies/procedures to better serve participants’ needs. Wright’s other work experience includes monitoring the employment status of clients transitioning from public assistance to the workforce as a Site Supervisor at Harlem Congregations for Community Improvement, and developing workshops/special events for low-wage workers as an AmeriCorps member. Wright is also a doctoral student at Rutgers University-Newark in the School of Public Affairs and Administration (SPAA). His research interest includes the use performance information at educational institutions. In his spare time, Wright serves as a mentor to young people through Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity Inc., an international service organization predicated on achievement and the uplifting of under-served low-income communities. Wright’s email address is: jermaine.wright@cuny.edu

Rev. Dr. Alfonso Wyatt

Rev. Dr. Alfonso Wyatt is on the staff of The Greater Allen Cathedral A.M.E. Cathedral of New York. He provides vital leadership to youth, young adults and professionals in both the sacred and secular communities. He retired as vice president of The Fund for the City of New York after serving over two decades. Dr. Wyatt is founder of Strategic Destiny: Designing Futures Through Faith and Facts. Strategic Destiny collaborates with practitioners motivated by faith and secular practitioners motivated by evidence-based learning. He is an advisor and consultant to government, colleges, civic groups, cbo’s, public and charter schools, foundations, and the broader faith community. Dr. Wyatt, is a sought after speaker in his role as youth development practitioner, mentor, role model and public theologian. He attended Howard University, Columbia Teachers College, The Ackerman Institute for Family Therapy, Columbia Institute for Nonprofit Management and New York Theological Seminary. Rev. Dr. Wyatt is a founding Board member of The Harlem Children’s Zone Promise Academy.

Dr. Michael Yazurlo

Michael Yazurlo, Ed.D. is Superintendent of the Yonkers Public Schools, the fourth largest district in New York State. Dr. Yazurlo was born in Yonkers and was a young student in the Yonkers Public Schools, where he also served as a teacher and administrator.

He is the former Superintendent of Tuckahoe Union Free School District, where he served for 13 years, and an Associate Professor of Graduate Education at The College of Saint Rose and State University of New York at Stony Brook. Dr. Yazurlo began his career as a District science teacher, advancing to the position of assistant principal and later principal of PEARLS
Hawthorne School and Roosevelt High School, where he led for seven years.

A respected and beloved educator, Dr. Yazurlo was named Yonkers Public Schools Administrator of the Year in 1991. Dr. Yazurlo received a Bachelor of Science from New York Institute of Technology and both a Master of Science and Doctor of Education from Fordham University.

**Ms. Irma Zardoya**

Irma Zardoya is the President & CEO of the NYC Leadership Academy, a national organization focused on the development of quality school leadership programs. These efforts include: strategic consulting in the development of leadership programs, the development of aspiring principal preparation pipelines, principal mentor development, principal coach development, and principal supervisor training. Prior to the Leadership Academy, Irma had an extensive and successful career as a NYC educator and school leader, having served as teacher, principal, Regional Superintendent (Region One) and District Superintendent (District 10). Under her leadership, Region One was recognized for building an effective leadership continuum from teacher to superintendent, and for the many professional development opportunities and supports it offered teachers and administrators. During her tenure, unsuccessful large middle and high schools were converted to smaller more successful schools. Ms. Zardoya led the citywide implementation of the collaborative inquiry teacher team work in NYC which helped teachers and administrators look at student assessment data and other student work in an effort to improve instruction and increase student learning. Throughout her career, Ms. Zardoya has worked with large populations of ELL students and implemented many effective practices to address their special needs. Most recently, she was appointed to Governor Cuomo’s New NY Education Reform Commission, and is currently a participant in the US Department of Education’s initiative, “Our Students, Our Leaders: Increasing the Diversity of Education Leadership.”
ADDENDUM
The charge of the Workgroup is to develop and propose a series of policy recommendations that reflect informed judgement, innovative best practices and collaborative efforts that must be taken across the PreK-20 pipeline to right the inequities that have hampered the educational opportunities, and ultimately life opportunities for boys and young men of color in New York State. The Blue Ribbon Committee’s role is to provide input to the Regents Workgroup that will help advance a policy agenda for New York State specifically in the areas of Educational Policy, Legislative Policy and Budget. The recommendations will be presented to the entire NYS Board of Regents in December. The following are descriptions of the three area of focus.

- **Education Policy** is the principles and collection of laws and rules that govern the operation of the education system. Education occurs in many forms for many purposes through many institutions (i.e., early childhood education, PreK-12, two and four year colleges or universities, graduate and professional education, adult education and job training.) Education policy directly affects the education people engage in at all ages. It can also address problems or barriers that may exist. When drafting Education Policy, the following points should be considered: what is the purpose of the policy, the objectives (societal and personal) that it is designed to attain, the methods for attaining them and the tools for measuring their success or failure.

- **Legislative Policy** (aka, Legislation or statutory law) is law which has been proposed and ultimately enacted by a legislature or other governing body or the process of making it. Legislation can have many purposes: to regulate, to authorize, to proscribe, to provide (funds), to sanction, to grant, to declare or to restrict. The goal of the workgroup is to propose legislation for consideration by the NYS Legislature and Executive.

- **Budget Proposal** (aka, funding request) is a funding compliment to the proposed legislative policy. The budget proposal recommends funding needed to achieve the objectives of the proposed legislation and education policy. The lack of funding will have a direct impact on the State’s ability to improve the educational destiny of boys and young men of color.
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SUMMARY

Issue for Discussion

The Board will be presented with an overview of the “My Brother’s Keeper” initiative for discussion and determination of next steps.

Reason(s) for Consideration

Implementation of Policy.

Background Information

“That’s what ‘My Brother’s Keeper’ is all about. Helping more of our young people stay on track. Providing the support they need to think more broadly about their future. Building on what works – when it works, in those critical life-changing moments.”
- President Barack Obama, February 27, 2014

In February 2014, as part of his plan to make 2014 a year of action focused on expanding opportunity for all Americans, the President unveiled the “My Brother’s Keeper” (MBK) initiative to address persistent opportunity gaps faced by young men of color and ensure that all young people can reach their full potential. As part of the initiative’s launch, the President also established the My Brother’s Keeper Task Force to review public and private sector programs, policies, and strategies and determine ways the federal government can better support these efforts, and how to better involve State and local officials, the private sector, and the philanthropic community.

There are over two million Black males in the U.S. with a college degree, many of whom have made significant contributions in business, science, education and the arts
(Schott Report, 2015). Yet in the face of these contributions, there still remain systemic challenges that create outcomes far below those we should desire for any person.

Today, too many Black and Latino males do not reach their full potential in our schools. A number of reports and studies, including the Council of the Great City Schools’ report—A Call for Change: The Social and Educational Factors Contributing to the Outcomes of Black Males in Urban Schools—indicate that too often our schools have not served these students well. There is now broad consensus that the nation’s public schools need to vastly improve the quality of education these students need in order to succeed in college and careers.

Black and Latino students have and continue to experience a pattern of inequality in our nation’s schools. According to data from the Department of Education’s Office of Civil Rights, Black and Latino students are suspended and expelled at much higher rates than White students and attend schools with less-experienced teachers. Many also attend schools that do not offer advanced math and science courses.

Young males in particular are at a disadvantage. Black and Latino males are less likely to graduate from high school than White males, but also less likely than Black or Latino females. And in elementary school, they already fall far behind their White counterparts in reading skills: According to the National Assessment of Educational Progress, only 14 percent of Black males and 18 percent of Latino males scored proficient or above on the fourth-grade reading tests in 2013, compared with 42 percent of White males and 21 percent of both Black and Latino females.

In September 2014, President Obama issued a challenge to cities, towns, counties and tribes across the country to become “MBK Communities.” This challenge represents a call to action for all members of our communities, and mayors in particular, as they often sit at the intersection of many of the vital forces and structural components needed to enact sustainable change through policy, programs, and partnerships.

The MBK Community Challenge encourages communities (cities, rural municipalities, and tribal nations) to implement a coherent cradle-to-college-and-career strategy for improving the life outcomes of all young people to ensure that they can reach their full potential, regardless of who they are, where they come from, or the circumstances into which they are born.

My Brother’s Keeper is focused on six milestones:

- **Getting a Healthy Start and Entering School Ready to Learn**
  All children should have a healthy start and enter school ready – cognitively, physically, socially and emotionally.
- **Reading at Grade Level by Third Grade**
  All children should be reading at grade level by age 8 – the age at which reading to learn becomes essential.
- **Graduating from High School Ready for College and Career**
  Every American child should have the option to attend postsecondary
education and receive the education and training needed for quality jobs of today and tomorrow.

- **Completing postsecondary education or training**
  Tuition dollars spent on postsecondary education must result in successful program completion and the creation of life-long opportunity.

- **Successfully Entering the Workforce**
  Anyone who wants a job should be able to get a job that allows them to support themselves and their families.

- **Keeping Kids on Track and Giving Them Second Chances**
  All children should be safe from violent crime; and individuals who are confined should receive the education, training and treatment they need for a second chance.

The My Brother’s Keeper initiative will also address the needs of Asian-American and Native American males.

These milestones are consistent with the priorities of the New York State education reform agenda.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that the Department establish a workgroup to articulate the alignment between MBK and the New York State reform agenda and report back to the Board this summer with recommendations.
Attachment

Currently, 60 of the nation’s largest school districts have joined the MBK initiative to improve the educational futures of young Black and Latino boys, beginning in preschool and extending through high school graduation.

The school districts, which represent about 40 percent of all Black and Latino boys living below the poverty line, have committed to expand quality preschool access; track data on Black and Latino boys so educators can intervene as soon as signs of struggle emerge; increase the number of boys of color who take gifted, honors or Advanced Placement courses and exams; work to reduce the number of minority boys who are suspended or expelled; and increase graduation rates among Black and Latino boys.

Council of Great City School District – Member Districts

- Albuquerque Public Schools
- Anchorage School District
- Atlanta Public Schools
- Austin Independent School District
- Baltimore City Public Schools
- Birmingham City Schools
- Boston Public Schools
- Bridgeport Public Schools
- Broward County Public Schools
- Buffalo Public Schools
- Charleston County School District
- Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools
- Chicago Public Schools
- Cincinnati Public Schools
- Clark County School District
- Cleveland Metropolitan School District
- Columbus City Schools
- Dallas Independent School District
- Dayton Public Schools
- Denver Public Schools
- Des Moines Public Schools
- Jefferson County Public Schools
- Kansas City Public Schools
- Long Beach Unified School District
- Los Angeles Unified School District
- Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
- Miami-Dade County Public Schools
- Milwaukee Public Schools
- Minneapolis Public Schools
- New Orleans Public Schools
- New York City Department of Education
- Newark Public Schools
- Norfolk Public Schools
- Oakland Unified School District
- Oklahoma City Public Schools
- Omaha Public Schools
- Orange County Public Schools
- The School District of Palm Beach County
- The School District of
• Detroit Public Schools
• District of Columbia Public Schools
• Duval County Public Schools
• East Baton Rouge Parish School System
• El Paso Independent School District
• Fort Worth Independent School District
• Fresno Unified School District
• Guilford County Schools
• Hawaii State Department of Education
• Hillsborough County School District
• Houston Independent School District
• Indianapolis Public Schools
• Jackson Public Schools

Philadelphia
• Pittsburgh Public Schools
• Portland Public Schools
• Providence Public School District
• Richmond Public Schools
• Rochester City School District
• Sacramento City Unified School District
• San Diego Unified School District
• San Francisco Unified School District
• Santa Ana Unified School District
• Seattle Public Schools
• Shelby County Schools (formerly Memphis City Schools)
• St. Louis Public Schools
• St. Paul Public Schools
• Toledo Public Schools
• Wichita Public Schools
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Over the past several years, diverse groups representing foundations, think tanks, and national/local leaders from a variety of arenas have emphasized an aggressive agenda dedicated to improving college readiness rates of our nation's students. However, the goals expressed by these and other stakeholders are unlikely to be achieved in the absence of a greater policy and action emphasis that supports all students, especially students who are victims of the access and opportunity gap. These disparities are most apparent for boys and young men of color.

Throughout the educational pipeline, both nationally and locally, too many males of color do not realize their full potential in our nation's schools and school systems. Numerous reports and studies have indicated that too often our schools have not served these students well. In many cases, in fact, we have simply failed them.¹

Boys of color graduate at lower rates; drop out at higher rates; participate less in Advanced Placement courses and preparatory tests, such as the PSAT; and are suspended from school at dramatically higher rates than their white counterparts.² There is broad consensus that the nation’s public schools need to vastly improve the quality of education these students receive in order to succeed in college and careers.

In February 2014, as part of his plan to make 2014 a year of action focused on expanding opportunities for all Americans, President Obama unveiled the “My Brother's Keeper” initiative to address persistent opportunity gaps faced by young men of color and ensure that all young people can reach their full potential.

My Brother’s Keeper is focused on six milestones:

- Getting a Healthy Start and Entering School Ready to Learn – All children should have a healthy start and enter school ready – cognitively, physically, socially, and emotionally.

- Reading at Grade Level by Third Grade – All children should be reading at grade level by age 8 – the age at which reading to learn becomes essential.

- Graduating from High School Ready for College and Career – All youth should receive a quality high school education and graduate with the skills and tools needed to advance to postsecondary education or training.


- Completing Postsecondary Education or Training – Every American should have the option to attend postsecondary education and receive the education and training needed for the quality jobs of today and tomorrow.

- Successfully Entering the Workforce – Anyone who wants a job should be able to get a job that allows them to support themselves and their families.

- Keeping Kids on Track and Giving Them Second Chances – All youth and young adults should be safe from violent crime; and individuals who are confined should receive the education, training, and treatment they need for a second chance.

**Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color**

New York has not proven to be an exception in failing to provide males of color with the necessary tools to realize their full potential in our school systems. Male students of color are falling behind early in their educational careers. The opportunity gap that persists in the state is particularly evident when looking at state test results in elementary school. The percentage of African-American and Latino male students performing at proficiency is more than half that of their white male counterparts on 3-8 English Language Arts and Math state tests.

This trend continues into high school and beyond. Male students of color in New York drop out of high school at a rate that is more than double that of white male students, and of those who remain in school, less than 60 percent are graduating from high school. This is compared with 85 percent graduation rate for white male students. Of students who graduated in 2014, just 15 percent of African-American and 19 percent of Latino students were deemed ready to do college-level coursework compared to nearly 51 percent of White students.

In light of these staggering statistics (which demonstrate only a fraction of the ways in which boys and young men of color are disadvantaged in New York’s school system) and to address the goals of My Brother’s Keeper in New York, the Board of Regents established the Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color. Providing boys and young men of color with sustainable educational advancement opportunities is a matter of both social justice and economic importance. Providing boys and young men of color with greater access to the opportunities to earn a college degree or specialized postsecondary training can change the course of their lives and the lives of generations that follow.

The Workgroup was charged with developing a series of educational policy, budget and legislative recommendations that reflect informed judgment, innovative “best” practices and collaborative efforts that must be taken across the Pre K- 20 pipeline to right the inequities that have impeded access to educational opportunities, and ultimately life opportunities for boys and young men of color in New York State. The Workgroup began its deliberations by identifying ten priority areas for action that was later refined to the following six:
1. Ensuring equitable access to quality schools, programs, curriculum, and opportunities during Pre K through Grade 12 and Postsecondary Education;

2. Establishing prevention, early warning, and intervention services;

3. Executing differentiated approaches based on need and culture that are racially, ethnically, linguistically, and socioeconomically appropriate;

4. Responding to structural and institutional racism;

5. Providing access to comprehensive and coordinated support services; and


Blue Ribbon Committee

The Workgroup convened a Blue Ribbon Committee (See pages 10-12) consisting of state, regional and national experts including practitioners representing education Pre K—20, community based organizations, youth development, health, elected officials, and state-wide professional organizations (New York State United Teachers, United Federation of Teachers, Council of School Supervisors and Administrators, New York State Council of School Superintendents, New York State School Boards Association). The committee was charged with examining the educational challenges and opportunities boys and young men of color face on a daily basis and to recommend strategies to address the challenges and expand opportunities to increase their educational successes. The Blue Ribbon Committee held two full day work sessions; one at Medgar Evers College, CUNY in Brooklyn on September 29, 2015 in which 120 people participated; and one at Nazareth College in Rochester on November 9, 2015 in which 114 people participated. Participants included Blue Ribbon Committee members; Regents; Youth Panelists; Superintendents; members of the NYS legislature; College Presidents; the City of Rochester’s Mayor; members of the business community; community-based organizations; and Department staff.

Both Blue Ribbon Committee meetings included a morning Young People’s Panel which provided the panelists, based on their backgrounds and individual journeys, an opportunity to share their experiences, opinions, and recommendations on how schools can improve. A video of the meetings including interviews with the students was developed by SED Communication Office. The morning panels were followed by six breakout sessions focused on each of the six priority areas. Blue Ribbon Committee members were asked to focus on recommendations that will help the Workgroup meet its charge of advancing a policy agenda for New York State on improving outcomes for boys and young men of color. Members were asked to categorize their recommendations into the areas of Educational Policy, Legislative Policy, and Budget Proposals.
Workgroup and Blue Ribbon Committee Recommendations to the Board of Regents

This is not the first time that we have had this kind of conversation in this country and state, but we are at a critical historical point in New York State to aggressively move beyond conversation to concrete action and policy change focused on: the recruitment, development, preparation and retention of professional staff with the necessary knowledge, pedagogical skills, and dispositions to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color; the importance of stakeholder relationships; the need to involve multiple institutions and agencies around a developmental/holistic approach; providing greater clarity on the roadmap leading to college and career success; and building equitable school systems. The Workgroup to Improve Outcomes for Boys and Young Men of Color and the Blue Ribbon Committee submit the following set of recommendations to the Board of Regents with the goal of making New York State the first state in the nation to develop a statewide policy specifically addressing the goals of My Brother’s Keeper:

Educational Policy

1. Challenge school districts to support teachers, administrators, and pupil personnel services staff in ongoing professional development; and encourage college and universities offering teacher preparation programs to incorporate training that supports the expansion of knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to provide competent educational approaches and practices to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color.

2. Expand the definition of college and career readiness in order to establish a clear/discriminable path to college and career success which addresses milestones for kindergarten readiness, early grade reading, middle grade math, high school graduation, post-secondary enrollment, and post-secondary degree completion.

3. Convene a statewide council to review and analyze New York State data and identify critical data elements the Board of Regents need to collect to assess and address issues related to the impact of racial disparities in service delivery. The council would present a written report on findings and recommended actions to the Board of Regents.

4. Create a Pre K–12 Statewide Office of Family and Community Engagement within the Department to create a statewide policy with best practices and guidance for school districts related to providing families, community-based organizations, and local associations with necessary information about the Pre K–12 educational process. This new Office would encourage their participation in improving outcomes for all students, with an emphasis on improving outcomes for boys and young men of color. The Office would support the development of training programs for parents, students and personnel on how to engage,
interact, and sustain relationships. This office will also advocate services to educate parents and communities on how to navigate the educational system and query how they can support their child.

5. Encourage all school districts to offer boys and young men of color high-quality coursework such as Advanced Placement courses; Honors Programs; Science, Technology Engineering and Math (STEM) programs; Arts and Fine Arts Programs, among others. Research has shown that higher expectations result in higher performance; simply, students with high expectations perform at a higher level than those with low expectations.

6. Develop and implement a plan that would accelerate the rate of individuals of color, including a targeted emphasis on men of color, entering the teaching profession in New York State school systems, including creating pathways for school personnel to become teachers. The teaching force in the State should be as diverse as the student population being served by our schools.

7. Serve as a resource to school districts that accept the My Brother’s Keeper Challenge and implement a coherent cradle-to-college and career strategy aimed at improving life outcomes for boys and young men of color. Support their local planning process, assist them in developing successful strategies for action, and track their progress.

**Legislative Policy and Budget Proposal**

1. Create a New York State Interagency Joint Council to provide coordination among State Departments of Health, Education, the Office of Mental Health as well as other State agencies to develop and monitor current and future policy, plans, and partnerships among schools, community-based organizations, and businesses to address important health and educational outcomes of students across the continuum of Pre K-16. Particular focus should be on schools and districts with greatest inequities and highest population of boys and young men of color. A singular person would lead the Joint Council, and be directly responsible to each agency head to ensure the goals of the Joint Council are met.

2. Provide $12.5 million for expanding family and community engagement programs, with a significant portion of the funding directed to school districts targeted at improving outcomes for boys and young men of color. Funds would also be needed for the Department to support the newly formed Office of Family and Community Engagement. (State Aid and Budget Proposal)

3. Create a set aside of $6.5 million in Career and Technical Education (CTE) funding for expanding participation rates of boys and young men of color in these types of programs. High quality CTE programs provide opportunities for students to demonstrate and reinforce both academic and technical skills as well as experiences in work-based learning where on the job mentoring can play a
critical role in developing life-long, transferable employability skills for a constantly changing global economy. More examples of the NYS P-TECH\textsuperscript{3} model need to be implemented in our large cities to provide targeted populations with the opportunities to experience academic and career-focused success at an early grade level. Outreach in middle schools for such programs helps students and families make decisions on education, and careers that can transform lives. They provide work-based learning opportunities that enable students to connect what they are learning to real-life career scenarios and choices. Redefining college readiness to include these components will also help lead our students to successful rewarding employment and success in life. (State Aid Proposal)

4. Invest $5.5 million in funding the expansion and development of exemplary school models and practices that demonstrate cultural and linguistic responsiveness to the needs of boys and young men of color, e.g. schools that create a 9-16 continuum for the eventual placement of college graduates into teaching and other educational professions. Direct a majority of the requested $5.5 million to the big four city school districts outside of New York City with a portion available through an RFP process to other districts. (Budget Proposal)

5. Dedicate an additional $5 million\textsuperscript{4} in Teacher Opportunity Corps funding to support the recruitment and retention of teachers of color by providing incentives such as tuition, fees, stipends, program development costs, faculty staff time, as well as creating pathways for school personnel to become teachers in high concentration of disadvantaged people. (Budget Proposal)

6. Provide $7 million as an incentive for school districts to accept the My Brother’s Keeper Challenge to encourage school districts to implement a coherent cradle-tocollege and career strategy aimed at improving life outcomes for boys and young men of color. (Budget Proposal)

7. Provide $10 million for supporting school professional development programs that expand knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to provide competent educational approaches and practices to improve outcomes for boys and young men of color. (State Aid Proposal)

\textsuperscript{3} NYS Pathways in Technology Early College High School (NYS P-TECH) partnerships provide nearly 6,000 students with a high school diploma, college degree and pathway to a job. Students earn an associate degree at no cost to their families and will be first in line for jobs with participating companies when they graduate.

\textsuperscript{4} The 2016-17 Regents Budget Priority for Supporting NYS Access & Opportunity Programs discussed at the November 2015 Regents meeting requested $3 million for Teacher Opportunity Corps, this proposal would add an addition $5 million for a total requested increase of $8 million.
Next Steps

On December 15, 2015 the Board of Regents approved the following recommendations and the Department:

- Advocated for the inclusion of these recommendations during the upcoming State Budget process and Legislative Session;

- Advanced the implementation of the Education policy recommendations, including conducting an assessment of tasks to be completed, establishing timelines, and identifying and securing any additional needed resources; and

- Continues to gather information from other areas across the State related to improving outcomes for boys and young men of color.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Antonio Aponte</td>
<td>Director of Educational Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys Club of New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. David Banks</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Academy Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Luis Barrios</td>
<td>Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jay College of Criminal Justice, CUNY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Michael Blake</td>
<td>Assemblymember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York State Assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Leroy Barr</td>
<td>Assistant Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Kriner Cash</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Puente Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Kevin Casey</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Administrators Association of NYS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Sharon Contreras</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syracuse City School District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorable Marcos A. Crespo</td>
<td>Assemblymember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York State Assembly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Michael Casserly</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Suzanne Carothers</td>
<td>Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Rudolph Crew</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
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